

(A)

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT
NAINITAL
Scrutiny Report**

1. Filed on.....
2. Case No.....
3. CNR number.....
4. Court fees paid and if sufficient.....
5. If No, Deficiency.....
6. Limitation began on.....Expired on.....
7. If barred by Limitation, there is delay of.....days
8. If Delay Condonation Application is filed.....
9. If any Caveat has been filed.....If Yes by.....
If yes Why.....
10. Caveator served/not served.....
11. Notice served on Opposite Party on.....
12. This is.....
.....
13. Defects, if any :-
 - (1).....
 - (2).....
 - (3).....
 - (4).....
 - (5).....
14. Remarks, if any.....

A.R.O./R.O./S.O.

S.O./A.R/(Stamp Reporting)

Rajesh

(B)

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT
NAINITAL
Presentation Form**

(To be filed up by the Party/Advocate)

1. Case Category Writ Petition (PIL)
2. District Nainital
3. Titled as :- Piyush Joshi Vs State of Uttarakhand and others
4. Name of Advocate(s) with Bar Council Registration Numbers,
Contact Numbers, e-mail Addresses etc.....

Dushyant Mainali Advocate, Bar Council Registration

Number UA-228/2005 D-517 Contact Numbers, 9837046240

e-mail addresses : dushyant.mainali@gmail.com

5. Petitioner/Appellant/Petitioner
6. Copies served on whom: CSC Office
7. Mode of service.
8. Date of service /12/2023
9. Any other information.....

Date:- /12/2023

Place:- Nainital

(Dushyant Mainali)

(Nikhil Bhatt)

Advocate

Counsel for the Petitioner

Piyush

(C)

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL**

INDEX

IN

WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. OF 2023
(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

DISTRICT - NAINITAL

Piyush Joshi (Male) aged about 25 years

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Uttarakhand and others

.....Respondents

Sl.No.	Particulars				Page No.
1.	Scrutiny Report	A			
2.	Presentation form	B			
3.	Index	C-C ₃			
4.	Receipt of Court Fees Paid	D			
5.	Brief details of Date and Events of the case	E-E ₃			
6.	Writ Petition	1-23			
7.	Affidavit	24-25			
8.	Annexure No.1 The copy of the impugned official communications dated 18.12.2023, issued by Respondent no. 1	26-28			
9.	Annexure No.2 The copy of the impugned official communication dated 22.12.2023 issued by Respondent No. 6	29-32			
10.	Annexure No.3 The copy of the impugned official	33-			

Piyush

	communication dated 25.12.2023 issued by office of Respondent No. 1	35			
11.	Annexure No.4 The copies of the site photographs of mining sites of river Gaula at Haldwani, Lalkuan showing the present unscientific and illegal method of weight measurement.	36- 38			
12.	Annexure No.5 The copy of environmental clearance dated 23.01.2013	39- 50			
13.	Annexure No.6 The copy of extended environmental clearance to respondent no. 3 dated 01.03.2021	54- 56			
14.	Annexure No.7 Relevant extract of the Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020	57- 72			
15.	Annexure No.8 Relevant extract of the Uttarakhand Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2023	73- 76			
16.	Annexure No.9 The Uttarakhand Minor Mineral Balu, Bajri, Boulder Picking Up (Chugan Policy) 2016	77- 86			
17.	Annexure No.10 The relevant extract of Sustainable Sand Mining	87			

Rijush

	Management Guidelines 2016 issued by MoEF&CC	90			
18.	<u>Annexure No.11</u> The relevant extract of Uttarakhand Minerals (Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transport and Storage) Rules, 2021	91- 94			
19.	<u>Annexure No.12</u> The relevant extract of Process of Minor Mineral Picking Up issued by Uttarakhand Van Vikas Nigam	95- 96			
20.	<u>Annexure No.13</u> The copy of the relevant extract of the compliance audit report	97- 101			
21.	<u>Annexure No.14</u> The copy of the relevant photographs showing transportation of RBM being done in night	102			
22.	<u>Annexure No.15</u> The copy of the judgment dated 26.10.2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court	103- 121			
23.	<u>Annexure No.16</u> The copy of the orders passed in SLP (Civil) No. 25326-25328 of 2023	122 124			
24.	<u>Annexure No.17</u> The copy of the office memorandum dated 08.12.2023	125 126			
25.	<u>Annexure No.18</u> The copy of the representations dated 23.12.2023 sent by	127			

Piyush

C/3

	the petitioner to various authorities	130			
26.	Stay Application	131-133			
27.	Affidavit in support of Stay Application	134-135			
28.	Vakalatnama	136			
29.					
30.					
31.					
32.					
33.					
34.					
35.					
36.					
37.					
38.					
39.					

Entries from Serial No.1 to Serial No. 28 have been filed by me.

Dated /12/2023

Dushyant Mainali

Nikhil Bhatt
Advocate
Counsel for the Petitioner

Piyush

(E)

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT
NAINITAL
DATE AND EVENTS**

IN

WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. OF 2023
(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

DISTRICT - NAINITAL

Piyush Joshi (Male) aged about 25 years

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Uttarakhand and others

.....Respondents

S.No.	Dates	Events
1.	23.01.2013	the river Gaula, Kosi of District Nainital have been granted forest clearance by the Central Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, after conducting environment impact assessment. The river Gaula, was granted forest clearance on 23.01.2013, for a period of 10 years for collection of stone, boulders and other minor minerals from its river bed
2.	01.03.2021	on 01.03.2021, the Government of India has granted extension of environmental clearance to respondent no. 3, for collection of minor minerals with specific condition that respondent no. 3

Piyush

		shall implement the conditions prescribed in Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020.
3.	18.12.2023, 22.12.2023 & 25.12.2023	That the respondents by way of impugned communications dated 18.12.2023, 22.12.2023 and 25.12.2023 have permitted illegal mining by doing away the electronic weight measurement for river bed material (RBM) being procured from the mining sites of respondent no. 3 corporation and by introducing manual measurement and assessing the RBM on the basis of volume instead of weight, in utter disregard of the conditions of the mining lease, central guidelines, Mineral Concession Rules of Uttarakhand, directions of this Hon'ble Court and different rules and regulations which prohibit manual measurement of RBM. Thus, the petitioner is challenging the departmental communications dated 18.12.2023, 22.12.2023 and 25.12.2023, issued by official respondents of mining department of Uttarakhand. The act of the respondents is promoting illegal mining and the natural resource is being extracted

Prakash

		unscientifically, which is not only causing loss of ecology but is also causing heavy revenue loss to the state.
4.		In the river Gaula, District Nainital, for which mining is permitted in the mining season falling in the month of December 2023, the extracted RBM is being laden in the trucks and dumpers without any actual weight measurement and the same is being assessed manually, by measuring the volume of the material and by doing away with the system of electronic weighbridge, which is required to be mandatorily installed at the mining site of Respondent no.3 but in this year, the transportation of RBM is being done only on the basis of rough assessment of weight through volume by measuring the volume of material with the help of measuring tape.
5.		That the manual assessment of RBM at the mining sites of respondent no. 3 in District Nainital is utter violation of Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020 and as well as other rules, regulations and directions.
6.		Despite there being clear statutory regime for scientific measurement of weight of RBM extracted from rivers, the state has permitted the illegal mining by

Rajesh

		opening flood gates for overloading and illegal mining by permitting such illegal method of manual measurement and doing away with and electronic weighbridge as stipulated by the rules.
7.		The impugned communication permitting the assessment of RBM manually are prescribing the method of bulk density to assess weight which is in fact applicable for joint inspection to be carried out for the purpose of assessing any RBM in mining lots, stocks stone crushers for the purpose of imposing penalty and fine. The object behind such bulk density measurement system is to assess the RBM in those areas where electronic weighbridge is not available but for the mining lease of respondent no. 3, installation of electronic weighbridge before permitting transportation of RBM is a mandatory and statutory condition.


Dated /12/2023

Dushyant Mainali

Nikhil Bhatt

Advocate

Counsel for the petitioner



①

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL**

WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. OF 2023
(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

DISTRICT – NAINITAL


Piyush Joshi (Male) aged about 25 years S/o Sri Girish Chandra Joshi R/o Halduchaur Dauliya, D Class, Lalkuan, District Nainital.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Uttarakhand through its Secretary, Industrial Development Department, Mining, Government of Uttarakhand Dehradun.
2. Director, Geology and Mining, Geology and Mining Unit, Uttarakhand, Bhopalpani Dehradun.
3. Managing Director, Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, Dehradun.
4. Regional Manager, Kumaun Area, Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation.
5. District Magistrate Nainital/Chairperson District Anti-Illegal Mining Force Nainital.
6. District Mining Officer, Nainital.
7. Regional Transport Officer, Haldwani.
8. Union of India through Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, New Delhi through its Secretary.

.....Respondents



Piyush Joshi

2

To,

The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and his other companion
Judges of this Hon'ble High Court.

The most humble Petition of the above named Petitioner
most respectfully showeth as under:-

1. That the Petitioner whose name is Piyush Joshi, R/o Halduchaur Dauliya, D Class, Lalkuan, District Nainital, is a social worker, associated with *Madhuri Foundation*, Uttarakhand Yuva Ekta Manch and is also the President of RTI Activist Association, Uttarakhand (Regd.). The petitioner has always raised voice of subjugated people, environment, ecology, before the authorities and also against the illegalities and corruption in larger public interest and has previously filed a Writ Petition (PIL) No. 176 of 2022, in respect of corruption prevalent in higher education and the same is pending adjudication. Thus, he is a public spirited person and is filing the present writ petition entirely on *pro bono publico* basis.
2. That by means of the present writ petition, the petitioner is challenging the act of the respondents, in permitting illegal mining by doing away the electronic weight measurement for river bed material (RBM) being procured from the mining sites of respondent no. 3 corporation and by introducing manual measurement and assessing the RBM on the basis of volume instead



Piyush Joshi

3

of weight, in utter disregard of the conditions of the mining lease, central guidelines, Mineral Concession Rules of Uttarakhand, directions of this Hon'ble Court and different rules and regulations which prohibit manual measurement of RBM. Thus, the petitioner is challenging the departmental communications dated 18.12.2023, 22.12.2023 and 25.12.2023, issued by official respondents of mining department of Uttarakhand. The act of the respondents is promoting illegal mining and the natural resource is being extracted unscientifically, which is not only causing loss of ecology but is also causing heavy revenue loss to the state. That thus, the subject matter of the present writ petition, is covered under rule 3-C of the chapter XXI-of the rules of this Court under the heading "*Writs in the Nature of Public Interest Litigation under Article 226 of the Constitution of India*". The copy of the official communications dated 18.12.2023, issued by Respondent no. 1 is annexed as **Annexure No. 1**; the copy of official communication dated 22.12.2023 issued by Respondent No. 6 is annexed as **Annexure No. 2**; and the copy of official communication dated 25.12.2023 issued by office of Respondent No. 1 is annexed as **Annexure No. 3**; to the writ petition.

3. That it is submitted, the petitioner has not filed any other writ petition for the present cause of action and as per the best of the knowledge of the petitioner no



Prijush

4

other writ petition in respect of present cause of action is pending before this Hon'ble Court.


4. That the questions involved in the present Writ Petition (PIL) are of larger public interest and pertain to adverse impact of excessive mining being done by not measuring the actual weight of RBM at the mining sites of Respondent No. 3 and thus, permitting overloading as well of mineral carrying vehicles which is risking the lives of commuters just to satisfy the commercial interest of the mining lobby, which wants to remove the maximum RBM, without getting the same measured by electronic weigh bridge and the state by way of impugned permissions to measure extracted mineral manually, have even dared to flout the law as well as the directions passed by this Hon'ble Court and permitting the transportation of RBM without actual weight measurement and thus permitting overloading of vehicles and excessive extraction of natural resource. That the matter involved in the present writ petition is not *res-integra* between the parties and also not barred by the provisions of *res-judicata* or constructive *res-judicata* in the matter involved and there are important questions of public interest being raised in this petition.
5. That on the very onset the brief facts giving rise to the filing of the present writ petition are being narrated herein.



Rijesh

5

6. That in the district Nainital, the respondent no. 3 Forest Development Corporation conducts mining of RBM in river Gaula which flows through Tehsil Haldwani & Lalkuan of District Nainital and in river Kosi of Ramnagar and river Nandhaur & Kailash of Chorgaliya. From the river bed of the mining sites, the excavated mined RBM is transported through dumpers and trucks upto stone crushers for crushing of boulders and as most of the stone crushers are situated inside the villages of Tehsil Lalkuan and Haldwani, all village roads are used for transportation of RBM which creates potholes and damages these roads very badly and results into accidents of common commuters.
7. That in the river Gaula, District Nainital, for which mining is permitted in the mining season falling in the month of December 2023, the extracted RBM is being laden in the trucks and dumpers without any actual weight measurement and the same is being assessed manually, by measuring the volume of the material and by doing away with the system of electronic weighbridge, which is required to be mandatorily installed at the mining site of Respondent no.3 but in this year, the transportation of RBM is being done only on the basis of rough assessment of weight through volume by measuring the volume of material with the help of measuring tape. The copies of the site photographs of mining sites of river Gaula at Haldwani, Lalkuan



Rajesh

6

showing the present unscientific and illegal method of weight measurement are being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 4** to this writ petition.

8. That the river Gaula, Kosi of District Nainital have been granted forest clearance by the Central Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, after conducting environment impact assessment. The river Gaula, was granted forest clearance on 23.01.2013, for a period of 10 years for collection of stone, boulders and other minor minerals from its river bed. Amongst various condition of clearance imposed by the Central MoEF&CC, the following conditions are pointworthy to be mentioned here as:

- To regulate and maintain record of quantity of minor minerals, the state forest department shall set up adequate number of check post.
- Collection time shall be from sunrise to sunset.
- Extraction of minor minerals shall be done in a sustainable manner.
- The all acts, rules, regulations and guidelines shall be complied.

The copy of environmental clearance dated 23.01.2013 is being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 5** to this writ petition

9. That on 01.03.2021, the Government of India has granted extension of environmental clearance to



Prigusa

7

respondent no. 3, for collection of minor minerals with specific condition that respondent no. 3 shall implement the conditions prescribed in Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020. The copy of extended environmental clearance to respondent no. 3 dated 01.03.2021 along with the copy of joint inspection report is being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 6** to this writ petition.

10. That the manual assessment of RBM at the mining sites of respondent no. 3 in District Nainital is utter violation of Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020 and as well as other rules, regulations and directions, which are elaborated in the table propounded below:-

S. No.	Rule/Guideline/Direction	Condition/Provision	Annexed At
1.	Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020 issued by MoEF&CC	<p><u>Para 1.2.13.1 Level 1-Reach/ Stockyard level monitoring.</u></p> <p>f. The loading of sand should be monitored electronically and all transporting vehicles should pass through an electronically monitored weighbridge.</p> <p><u>Para 1.2.13.2 Level 2 - Transportation monitoring.</u></p> <p>Weighbridges with CCTV should be installed at all</p>	Relevant extract of the Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020 annexed at <u>Annexure No.7</u>




Prayush

the stockyards, active reaches to ascertain the exact quantity of sand being transported in the vehicle.

8.0 GENERAL APPROACH TO SUSTAINABLE SAND MINING
8.1 Pre-requisite for starting sand mining operation

viii) The monitoring infrastructures including weighbridge and adequate fencing of the lease area, CCTV, Transport permits, etc, as suggested in this document shall be ensured in order to reduce unrecorded dispatch.

7. The mineral concession holders shall maintain electronic weighbridges at the appropriate location identified by the district mining officer, in order to ensure that all mined minerals from that particular mine are accounted for before the material is dispatched from the mine. The weighing bridge shall have the provision of CCTV camera and all dispatch from the mine shall be accounted for.




Angush

<p>2.</p>	<p>Uttarakhand Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2023</p>	<p>43- पट्टेदार आधुनिक तौल मशीन एवं सी०सी०टी०वी० कैमरा आदि की व्यवस्था करेगा :- (क) पट्टाधारक के द्वारा चुगान/खनन पट्टा क्षेत्र के गेट पर कम्प्यूटाईज्ड धर्मकांटा एवं वाहनों के प्रवेश व निकासी पर निगरानी के लिये स्वयं के व्यय पर 350 डिग्रीकोण पर दृश्यता रिकार्डिंग के योग्य आधुनिक आई०पी० बेस्ड सी०सी०टी०वी० कैमरा लगाने सहित चैक पोस्ट / गेट का निर्माण करेगा।</p>	<p>Relevant extract of the Uttarakhand Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2023 annexed at Annexure No.8</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p>Uttarakhand Minor Mineral Balu, Bajri, Boulder Picking Up (Chugan Policy) 2016</p>	<p>22 चुगान प्रशासन का सफल संचालन (1) चुगान पट्टा क्षेत्रों से निकासी किये गये उपखनिज की मात्रा का आंगणन आयतन (Volume) में न करके भार (Weight) के अनुसार किया जायेगा। (3) प्रत्येक पट्टाधारक के द्वारा चुगान पट्टा क्षेत्र के प्रवेश एवं निकासी गेटों पर कम्प्यूटाईज्ड धर्मकांटा एवं सी०सी०टी०वी० कैमरा स्वयं के व्यय पर स्थापित किया जायेगा तथा रिकार्डिंग की सी०डी० प्रत्येक माह भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म विभाग के जिला कार्यालय व जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत किया</p>	<p>The Uttarakhand Minor Mineral Balu, Bajri, Boulder Picking Up (Chugan Policy) 2016 is annexed at Annexure No.9</p>




Prigust

		किया जायेगा। सीडी के परीक्षणोपरान्त रिपोर्ट निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई के द्वारा शासन को प्रस्तुत की जायेगी।	
4.	Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 issued by MoEF&CC	<p><u>STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS FOR SAND MINING</u></p> <p><u>Monitoring the Mining of Mineral and its Transportation</u></p> <p>25 The State / District Level Environment Committee should use technology like Bar Coding, Information and Communications Technology (ICT), Web based and ICT enabled services, mobile SMS App etc. to account for weight of mineral being taken out of the lease area and the number of trucks moving out with the mineral</p> <p><u>Noise Management</u></p> <p>28 Restricted working hours. Sand mining operation has to be carried out between 6 am to 7 pm.</p>	The relevant extract of Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 issued by MoEF&CC is annexed at <u>Annexure No. 10</u>
5.	Uttarakhand Minerals (Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transport and Storage) Rules, 2021	<p><u>Chapter – 03 Storage of Minerals</u></p> <p>12. Standards for storage and other conditions:-</p> <p>(5) The license holder shall install electronic weighing machine compulsorily at his own expense in the premises of the storage site along with installation of CCTV cameras at his own expense at the entrance and exit gates of the storage site</p>	The relevant extract of Uttarakhand Minerals (Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transport and Storage) Rules, 2021 is annexed at <u>Annexure No. 11</u>




Rijus

		(6) The licensee shall have to ensure proper arrangement for place, for the purpose of boundaries of the storage place and an office for upkeep of the records for weighing machine and loading-unloading	
6.	Process of Minor Mineral Picking Up issued by Uttarakhand Van Vikas Nigam	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Electronic weighbridges shall be installed at the gates of mining lots.• After issuance of exit slips to vehicles through khaman management system app, the laden vehicles will pass through the electronic weigh bridge.• Uttarakhand Van Vikas Nigam is using Electronic weighbridges which is resulting into increase in revenue collections.	The relevant extract of Process of Minor Mineral Picking Up issued by Uttarakhand Van Vikas Nigam is annexed at <u>Annexure No.12</u>



Prakash

11. That despite there being clear statutory regime for scientific measurement of weight of RBM extracted from rivers, the state has permitted the illegal mining by opening flood gates for overloading and illegal mining by permitting such illegal method of manual measurement and doing away with and electronic weighbridge as stipulated by the rules.
12. That the impugned communication permitting the assessment of RBM manually are prescribing the method of bulk density to assess weight which is in fact applicable for joint inspection to be carried out for the purpose of assessing any RBM in mining lots, stocks stone crushers for the purpose of imposing penalty and fine. The object behind such bulk density measurement system is to assess the RBM in those areas where electronic weighbridge is not available but for the mining lease of respondent no. 3, installation of electronic weighbridge before permitting transportation of RBM is a mandatory and statutory condition.
13. That the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) has prepared a compliance audit report of mining related to revenue department of Uttarakhand and has categorically observed that unverified weighing machines are resulting into issuance of suspected transit passes and has found the same as a serious lapse resulting into illegal mining and being violative of the provisions of Legal Metrology Act 2009. The copy of



Rijus

the relevant extract of the compliance audit report of mining are being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 13** to this writ petition.

14. That despite there being clear cut prohibition on mining after sunset at the mining sites of Gaula river allotted to respondent no. 3, mining is being permitted even in the night time without any weight measurement of the RBM laden vehicles. The copy of the relevant photographs showing transportation of RBM being done in night are being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 14** to this writ petition.
15. That in the month of August 2023, the respondent no. 3 floated tender for establishment of computerized weighbridges in river Gaula and Kosi of District Nainital but the same was challenged by different bidders in view of violation of procurement rules. This Hon'ble Court while quashing the tender process was pleased to issue a mandamus, directing the respondent to undertake fresh tender process, directing a vigilance enquiry in the illegalities. The copy of the judgment dated 26.10.2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court are being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 15** to this writ petition.
16. That the respondent no. 3 instead of ensuring establishment of new electronic weighbridges at its mining sites in district Nainital, filed a SLP (Civil) before the Hon'ble Apex Court, wherein, no interim order was



Rayush

issued in their favour and the mandamus issued by this Hon'ble Court is still operative but the respondents in their impugned communications are citing the pendency of the SLP, the reason behind permitting such illegal weight measurement. The copy of the orders passed in SLP (Civil) No. 25326-25328 of 2023 are being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 16** to this writ petition.

17. That thus the natural resource of RBM is being extracted from the mining sites of respondent no. 3 illegally, without any electronic weighbridge being installed, whereas respondent no. 2 in its Office Memorandum dated 08.12.2023 has resolved that, in river Gaula the mining process shall be conducted through scientific process. The copy of the office memorandum dated 08.12.2023 are being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 17** to this writ petition.
18. That the illegal mining and overloading of RBM is resulting due to no electronic weight measurement of RBM and the environment and ecology of the area is badly being affected by such unrecorded extraction of minor mineral, which is causing heavy revenue loss as well.
19. That the petitioner has sent representation to different authorities highlighting that, the act of the respondents,




Prayush

in permitting illegal mining by doing away the electronic weight measurement for river bed material (RBM) being procured from the mining sites of respondent no. 3 corporation and by introducing manual measurement and assessing the RBM on the basis of volume instead of weight, is in utter disregard of the conditions of the mining lease, central guidelines, Mineral Concession Rules of Uttarakhand, directions of this Hon'ble Court and different rules and regulations which prohibit manual measurement of RBM. The copy of the representations dated 23.12.2023 sent by the petitioner to various authorities is are being filed herewith and marked as **Annexure No. 18** to this petition.

20. That it is relevant to note at this juncture, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, also took note of the adverse effects of sand mining in its Order dated 27 February, 2012 in *Deepak Kumar v. State of Haryana*, (2012) 4 SCC 629 in following words:

"Sand mining on either side of the rivers, upstream and in-stream, is one of the causes for environmental degradation and also a threat to the biodiversity. Over the years, India's rivers and Riparian ecology have been badly affected by the alarming rate of unrestricted sand mining which damage the ecosystem of rivers and the safety of bridges, weakening of river beds, destruction of natural habitats of organisms living on the river beds, affects fish breeding and migration, spells disaster for



Rajesh

the conservation of many bird species, increases saline water in the rivers etc. Extraction of alluvial material from within or near a streambed has a direct impact on the stream's physical habitat characteristics. These characteristics include bed elevation, substrate composition and stability, in-stream roughness elements, depth, velocity, turbidity, sediment transport, stream discharge and temperature. Altering these habitat characteristics can have deleterious impacts on both in-stream biota and the associated riparian habitat. The demand for sand continues to increase day by day as building and construction of new infrastructures and expansion of existing ones is continuous thereby placing immense pressure on the supply of the sand resource and hence mining activities are going on legally and illegally without any restrictions. Lack of proper planning and sand management cause disturbance of marine ecosystem and also upset the ability of natural marine processes to replenish the sand."

21. That sand is included in the definition of "minor mineral" as defined in clause (e) of Section 3 of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, and that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its said Order dated 27 February, 2012 in *Deepak Kumar v. State of Haryana*, (2012) 4 SCC 629, held as under: "leases of minor mineral including their renewal for an area of less than five hectares be granted by the States/Union



Rijush

Territories only after getting environmental clearance from the MoEF.”

22. That the entire state of Uttarakhand is in the grip of illegal river bed mining and uncontrolled mechanized excavation being done in the rivers which is affecting the ecology and aquatic life of the river systems and the flood plain. The activity of uncontrolled mechanized river bed mining is adversely affecting the ecosystem and the overall ecology of the state.
23. That river bed material and sand is critical to maintain the ecology of a river system. This has been repeatedly highlighted by several environmentalists and activists. Sand is important for ground water re-charge, on a riverbed it acts as a link between the flowing river and the water table and is part of the aquifer. Sand holds a lot of water, and when it is mindlessly mined and laden on to trucks, large quantities of water is lost in transit.
24. That we have seen the impact of tampering with the rivers and their resources in the recent Uttarakhand floods of 2013. When sand and boulders are removed in an unimpeded way using heavy machines, the erosion capacity of the river increases. Sand and boulders prevent the river from changing the course and act as a buffer for the riverbed. Unplanned and rampant removal of sand from riverbeds also amounts to destroying the habitat of biodiversity.



Prakash

25. That Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, also prescribe for high technology based monitoring, to combat illegal mining. The same modalities are not being adopted by the state that is the reason behind rampant illegal mining in the state.
26. That the Hon'ble Courts have always indicated through respective Judgments that there should be a proper balance between the protection of environment and the development process. A balance has to be found out and administrative actions ought to proceed in accordance therewith and not dehors the same.
27. That the Respondents have failed to discharge their functions in protecting the environment from the impacts of rampant illegal mining, therefore this public interest litigation is the only mode and proper way to get the directions to the Government and other responsible departments to ensure the restriction and stoppage of the increasing depletion of natural resources.
28. That thus the impugned communications are completely illegal, arbitrary and colorable exercise of power which acts against the public safety and larger public interest therefore the kind indulgence of this Hon'ble Court is warranted in the present matter.



Prakash

29. That in view of the facts and circumstances stated above it is most expedient and necessary in the interest of justice that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to quash the impugned communications dated 18.12.2023, 22.12.2023 and 25.12.2023, whereby, electronic weighbridge weight measurement method for mined RBM laden vehicles of mining sites of respondent no.3 in district Nainital has been done away with and manual volume assessment of RBM laden vehicles has been permitted by the respondents which is resulting into illegal mining and overloading, otherwise public at large shall suffer an irreparable loss and injury.
30. That the petitioner has no other alternative and efficacious remedy except by filing this public interest litigation to invoke the extraordinary jurisdiction Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, on the following amongst other grounds:-

GROUND S

- a) Because the manual assessment of RBM at the mining sites of respondent no. 3 in District Nainital is utter violation of Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020 and as well as other rules, regulations and directions.
- b) Because despite there being clear statutory regime for scientific measurement of weight of RBM extracted from rivers, the state has permitted the illegal mining by opening flood gates for overloading and illegal mining by



Riyush

permitting such illegal method of manual measurement and doing away with and electronic weighbridge as stipulated by the rules.


- c) Because the impugned communication permitting the assessment of RBM manually are prescribing the method of bulk density to assess weight which is in fact applicable for joint inspection to be carried out for the purpose of assessing any RBM in mining lots, stocks stone crushers for the purpose of imposing penalty and fine. The object behind such bulk density measurement system is to assess the RBM in those areas where electronic weighbridge is not available but for the mining lease of respondent no. 3, installation of electronic weighbridge before permitting transportation of RBM is a mandatory and statutory condition.
- d) Because the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) has prepared a compliance audit report of mining related to revenue department of Uttarakhand and has categorically observed that unverified weighing machines are resulting into issuance of suspected transit passes and has found the same as a serious lapse resulting into illegal mining and being violative of the provisions of Legal Metrology Act 2009.
- e) Because the respondent no. 3 instead of ensuring establishment of new electronic weighbridges at its mining sites in district Nainital, filed a SLP (Civil) before the Hon'ble Apex Court, wherein, no interim order was issued in their favour and the mandamus issued by this Hon'ble Court is still operative but the respondents in their impugned communications are citing the



[Handwritten signature]

pendency of the SLP, the reason behind permitting such illegal weight measurement.

- f) Because the natural resource of RBM is being extracted from the mining sites of respondent no. 3 illegally, without any electronic weighbridge being installed, whereas respondent no. 2 in its Office Memorandum dated 08.12.2023 has resolved that, in river Gaula the mining process shall be conducted through scientific process.
- g) Because the illegal mining and overloading of RBM is resulting due to no electronic weight measurement of RBM and the environment and ecology of the area is badly being affected by such unrecorded extraction of minor mineral, which is causing heavy revenue loss as well.
- h) Because Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, also prescribe for high technology based monitoring, to combat illegal mining. The same modalities are not being adopted by the state that is the reason behind rampant illegal mining in the state.
- i) Because thus the impugned communications are completely illegal, arbitrary and colorable exercise of power which acts against the public safety and larger public interest therefore the kind indulgence of this Hon'ble Court is warranted in the present matter.
- j) Hence this writ petition




[Handwritten signature]

PRAYER

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:-

- i) Issue a writ of certiorari quashing the official communication dated 18.12.2023, issued by Respondent no. 1 (annexed as **Annexure No. 1**); the official communication dated 22.12.2023 issued by Respondent No. 6 (annexed as **Annexure No. 2**); and the official communication dated 25.12.2023 issued by office of Respondent No. 1, (annexed as **Annexure No. 3**), whereby, electronic weighbridge weight measurement method for mined RBM laden vehicles of mining sites of respondent no.3 in district Nainital has been done away with and manual volume assessment of RBM laden vehicles has been permitted by the respondents which is resulting into illegal mining and overloading.
- ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing and commanding the respondent no. 2 and 3 to ensure installation of electronic weighbridges and other modern weight monitoring apparatus at the mining sites of respondent no. 3 in district Nainital, in terms of all applicable rules and guidelines.
- iii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing and commanding the respondents to prohibit the mining and transportation of RBM laden vehicles after sunset at the mining sites of respondent no. 3 in District Nainital.




[Handwritten Signature]

- iv) Issue any or further writ, order or direction of the nature, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.
- v) To award the cost of the petition in favour of the petitioner.

Dated /12/2023

Dushyant Mainali

Nikhil Bhatt
Advocate
Counsel for the Petitioner



Pignush

24

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL
AFFIDAVIT**

IN

WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. OF 2023
(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

DISTRICT - NAINITAL

Piyush Joshi (Male) aged about 25 years

.....Petitioner

VERSUS


State of Uttarakhand and others

.....Respondents

Affidavit of Piyush Joshi (Male)
aged about 25 years S/o Sri
Girish Chandra Joshi R/o
Halduchaur Dauliya, D Class,
Lalkuan, District Nainital.

Deponent

2023
AFFIDAVIT
54602
HIGH COURT OF
UTTARAKHAND
₹ 20/-



A-1 26/12/23

has sign / LTI / RTI on / /
photograph in my presence

I, the deponent above named do hereby solemnly affirm
and declare as under:-

1. That the deponent is the sole petitioner in the above noted writ petition (PIL) and as such he is well acquainted with the facts of the case deposed in the accompanying writ petition.



Piyush

I, the deponent above named do hereby solemnly affirm on oath and verify that the contents of paragraph no.1 of the affidavit and the contents of paragraph no. 1,3,4,5,6,11,12,18,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29, of the writ petition are based on my personal knowledge and those of paragraph no. 2,7,8,9,10,13, 14,15,16,17,19,.....of the writ petition are based on perusal of records and those of paragraph no. 30,..... of the writ petition are based on legal advice, which I believe to be true and no part of this writ petition is false and nothing material has been concealed.

So Help Me God

Piyush
Deponent

I, Dushyant Mainali, Advocate, do hereby identify Piyush Joshi, the deponent from his Aadhaar Card No. 726861094779 which he produced before me and I am satisfied that he is the same person making this affidavit.

Advocate
Reg.No.UA-228/2005
Bar Reg.No.D-517

Solemnly affirmed before me on this 26th..... day of December, 2023 at about 10:29 a.m./p.m. by the deponent, who has been identified by aforesaid identifier.

I have satisfied myself by examining the deponent that the deponent has understood the contents of this affidavit, which has been read over and explained to him by me.



AAVISH GAUR
(OATH COMMISSIONER)
Advocate
Reg. No.- UK - 612 / 2021
Oath Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand
ALMUNITAL
Sl. No. 54602
Dated...26/12/23

Piyush

संख्या-3048/VII-A-1/2023-11 ख/2010

प्रेषक,

बृजेश कुमार संत,
सचिव,
उत्तराखण्ड शासन।

सेवा में,

1. जिलाधिकारी,
नैनीताल।

2. जिलाधिकारी,
ऊधमसिंहनगर।

औद्योगिक विकास (खनन) अनुभाग-1

देहरादून, दिनांक 18 दिसम्बर, 2023

विषय: उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम को आवंटित आरक्षित वन क्षेत्र की नदियों कगश, मौला, कोसी एवं नचौर-कैलाश नदियों में उपखनिज चुगान एवं निकासी के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपर्युक्त विषयक निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय के पृष्ठांकन पत्र संख्या-4893, दिनांक 16.12.2023, प्रबन्ध निदेशक, उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, देहरादून के पत्र संख्या-3855/निविदायें (2044), दिनांक 18.12.2023 एवं जिला खान अधिकारी, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म विभाग, नैनीताल के पत्र संख्या-1125, दिनांक 18.12.2023 के सन्दर्भ में मुझे यह कहने का निदेश हुआ है कि खनन सत्र 2023-24 में मौला नदी के निकासी गेटों को उपखनिज तैल हेतु धर्मकांटों आदि की व्यवस्था न होने के कारण उपखनिज निकासी का कार्य बन्द होने के सम्बन्ध में दिनांक 03.11.2023 को सम्पन्न जिला खनन समिति, नैनीताल की बैठक के कार्यवृत्त दिनांक 30.11.2023 के बिन्दु संख्या-6 द्वारा लिये गये निर्णयानुरूप मा0 उच्च न्यायालय, नैनीताल एवं मा0 उच्चतम न्यायालय, नई दिल्ली में धर्मकांटों से सम्बन्धित लम्बित वादों में पारित होने वाले अन्तिम निर्णय के अधीन वैकल्पिक व्यवस्था के अन्तर्गत मौला, कोसी एवं नचौर नदी में आर0बी0एम0 एवं बोल्टर की राजस्व एवं जनहित में तत्काल प्रभाव से मैन्युअल निकासी किये जाने की कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित करें तथा कृत कार्यवाही से शासन को भी अविलम्ब अवगत करावें।

भवदीय,


(बृजेश कुमार संत)
सचिव

संख्या- (1)/VII-A-1/2023-11 ख/2010, तददिनांकित।

प्रतिलिपि निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:-

1. प्रमुख सचिव, वन एवं पर्यावरण विभाग, उत्तराखण्ड शासन।
2. प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (HOFF), उत्तराखण्ड।
3. आयुक्त, कुमाऊँ गण्डल नैनीताल।
4. प्रबन्ध निदेशक, वन विकास निगम, देहरादून।
5. निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय, देहरादून।
6. जिला खान अधिकारी, नैनीताल/ऊधमसिंहनगर।

आज्ञा से,



Rajesh

7. Guard File.

By order of,
(Laxman Singh) Additional Secretary
No.-3048/V11-A-1/2023-11B/2010

Sender,

Brijesh Kumar Sant,
Secretary, Government of Uttarakhand.

To,


1. District Magistrate Nainital.
2. District Magistrate, Udham Singh Nagar.

Industrial Development (Mining) Section-1 Dehradun,
Date 18 December, 2023

Subject: Regarding mining and extraction of minerals in the rivers of reserved forest area allotted to Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, namely Gaula, Kosi and Gandhor-Kailash rivers.

Sir,

Vide letter no. 4893, dated 16.12.2023, of the Director, Geology and Mining Directorate, dated 16.12.2023, letter no. 3855/Tenders (2044), dated 18.12.2023, of the Managing Director, Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, Dehradun and that of District Mines Officer, Geology, on the above mentioned subject, and with reference to Mining Department,



Request

Nainital's letter no. 1125, dated 18.12.2023, I have been directed to say that in the mining season 2023-24, the extraction gates of Gaula River will not be filled with minerals due to lack of arrangement for weighing the minerals. As per the decision taken vide point no. 6 of the minutes dated 30.11.2023 of the meeting of the District Mining Committee, Nainital held on 03.11.2023, regarding the closure of the extraction work and in view of the directions of the High Court of India, Nainital and the Honorable Supreme Court, New Delhi under the final decision to be passed in the matters related pending cases of the weighing machines, under the alternative arrangement and in the interest of revenue and public, with a view to ensure the action of manual extraction of RBM and boulders in Gaula, Kosi and Nandaur rivers with immediate effect, to ensure taking action as above and inform the government immediately about the action so taken.

Yours sincerely,

(Brijesh Kumar Sant)

Secretary

No. (1)/VII-A-1/2023-11B/2010, dated the same.




Brijesh

Copy sent to the following for information and necessary action:

1. Principal Secretary, Forest and Environment Department, Government of Uttarakhand.
2. Chief Conservator of Forests (HOPF), Uttarakhand.
3. Commissioner, Kumaon Gandal Nainital.
4. Managing Director, Forest Development Corporation, Dehradun.
5. Director, Directorate of Geology and Mining, Dehradun.
6. District Mines Officer, Nainital/Udhamsinghnagar.

By permission of,

Aad
AAYUSH GAUR
Advocate
Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021
Dist. Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand
NAINITAL
Sl. No.....54602.....
Dated...26/12/23.....



Aayush

भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म विभाग,
जनपद नैनीताल स्थित हल्द्वानी।

सेवा में,

01. प्रभागीय वन विकास प्रबन्धक,
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम,
खनन प्रभाग हल्द्वानी।

02. प्रभागीय वन विकास प्रबन्धक,
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम,
नन्धौर।

03. प्रभागीय वन विकास प्रबन्धक,
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम,
रामनगर।

पत्रांक: 1143/भू0खनि0वि0/ बल्क डे0-खनन/2023-24

दिनांक: 22 दिसम्बर, 2023

विषय: खनन में लगाये गये बल्क डेन्सिटी के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय निदेशक भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय भोपालपानी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून के कार्यालय आदेश संख्या 2562/का0आ0/ई0नि0/भू0खनि0नि0/2023-24 दिनांक 22 अगस्त 2023 का संदर्भ ग्रहण करने का कष्ट करें, जिसके द्वारा संयुक्त निरीक्षण आख्याओ में उपखनिज की मात्रा का निर्धारण करने में उत्तराखण्ड शासन औद्योगिक विकास अनुभाग-1, की अधिसूचना संख्या 1578/VII-1/80/ खनन/2016 T.C. दिनांक 03 जनवरी 2023 के क्रम में नदी तल उपखनिज लॉटों में औसतानुसार Bulk Density 2.2 के स्थान पर 1.8 ली जानी है।

अतः उपरोक्त के क्रम में पत्र इस अनुरोध के साथ प्रेषित कि उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम के पक्ष में स्वीकृत खनन पट्टा गेटों से उपखनिज की निकासी हेतु पूर्व Bulk Density 2.2 के स्थान पर वर्तमान में Bulk Density (1.8) के अनुसार आगणन करते हुए उपखनिज की निकासी करना सुनिश्चित करें।

संलग्नक: उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय



(ताजबर सिंह नेगी)

खान अधिकारी


जनपद नैनीताल/बागेश्वर

संख्या एवं दिनांक उपरोक्तानुसार।

प्रतिलिपि: निम्नलिखित को सादर सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

- 1- सचिव खनन उत्तराखण्ड शासन देहरादून।
- 2- प्रबन्ध निदेशक, उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम देहरादून।
- 3- महा प्रबन्धक(कु0म0) उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम हल्द्वानी।
- 4- जिलाधिकारी महोदय, नैनीताल।
5. उपजिलाधिकारी हल्द्वानी/लालकुआं/रामनगर।
- 6- निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय, भोपालपानी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।





(खान अधिकारी)

जनपद नैनीताल/बागेश्वर

E-mail sent by

Geology and Mining Department, Haldwani located in
Nainital District

To,

01. Divisional Forest Development Manager,
Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation,
Mining Division, Haldwani.

02. Divisional Forest Development Manager,
Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation,
Nandhaur.

03. Divisional Forest Development Manager,
Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation,
Ramnagar.

Letter No. 1143/Geo-Mining/Bulk Day-Mining/2023-
24 Date: December 22, 2023

Subject: Regarding bulk density applied in mining.

Sir,

On the above subject, please take reference of the office order number 2562/K.A./E.N./Geology and Mining Directorate/2023-24 dated 22 August 2023 of the Director Geology and Mining Directorate, Bhopalpani, Uttarakhand, Dehradun, by which the quantity of minor minerals is determined in the joint



Rajesh

inspection reports. Uttarakhand Government Industrial Development Section-1, Notification No. 1578/VII-1/80/Mining/2016 T.C. dated January 03, 2023, the average bulk density in river bed mineral lots is to be taken as 1.8 instead of 2.2.

Therefore, in reference of the above, this letter is being sent with the request that for the sanctioned lease gates of Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, to ensure the extraction of mineral in river bed mineral lots calculated as per Bulk Density (1.8) instead of earlier Bulk Density 2.2.

Attachments: As above.

Yours sincerely

(Tajbar Singh Negi)

Mines Officer District Nainital / Bageshwar

No. and date as above.

Copy: Sent to the following for respectful information.

- 1- Secretary Mining, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
- 2- Managing Director, Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation Dehradun.
- 3- General Manager (KUM), Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, Haldwani.
- 4- Madam District Magistrate, Nainital.



Rajesh

32

5. Sub-Collector Haldwani/Lalkuan/Ramnagar.

6- Director, Directorate of Geology and Mining,
Bhopalpani, Uttarakhand, Dehradun.

(Mines Officer)
District Nainital/Bageshwar

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No.- UK - 612 / 2021

Oath Commissioner

High Court of Uttarakhand

NAINITAL

Sl. No.....

Dated.....



Aayush

प्रेषक,

लक्ष्मण सिंह,
अपर सचिव,
उत्तराखण्ड शासन।

33

सेवा में,

1. प्रबन्ध निदेशक,
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम,
देहरादून।

2. जिलाधिकारी,
नैनीताल/ऊधमसिंहनगर।

औद्योगिक विकास (खनन) अनुभाग-1

देहरादून, दिनांक 25 दिसम्बर, 2023

विषय:

उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम को आवंटित आरक्षित वन क्षेत्र की नदियों कमशः गौला, कोसी एवं नन्धौर-कैलाश नदियों में उपखनिज चुगान एवं निकासी के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपर्युक्त विषयक क्षेत्रीय प्रबन्धक (कु0क्षे0), उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम हल्द्वानी के पत्र दिनांक 22.12.2023 एवं जिला खनन समिति, नैनीताल की बैठक के कार्यवृत्त दिनांक 23.12.2023 के परिप्रेक्ष्य में प्रेषित जिलाधिकारी, नैनीताल के पत्र संख्या-44/30-जी.सी./2023, दिनांक 23.12.2023 में उल्लिखित मैन्युअल निकासी से उत्पन्न हो रही व्यवहारिक समस्या के संदर्भ में शासन के पूर्व संदर्भित पत्र संख्या 3048/VII-A-1/2023-11 ख/2010, दिनांक 18.12.2023 में आंशिक संशोधन करते हुये मुझे यह कहने का निर्देश हुआ है कि राजस्व एवं जनहित में गौला, कोसी एवं नन्धौर-कैलाश नदियों में आर0बी0एम0 एवं बोल्टडर की इलेक्ट्रॉनिक/कम्प्यूटरीकृत निकासी के सम्बन्ध में मा0 न्यायालयों द्वारा पारित आदेशों के क्रम में तत्काल अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही करने का कष्ट करें, तब तक वैकल्पिक व्यवस्था के रूप में मैन्युअल निकासी के आधार पर भी उपखनिज की निकासी का कार्य सुचारु रूप से संचालित रखने का कष्ट करें, ताकि उक्त नदियों में खनन कार्य के बाधित न होने से राजस्व हानि से बचा जा सके।


भवदीय,



(लक्ष्मण सिंह)
अपर सचिव

संख्या- /VII-A-1/2023-11 ख/2010 एवं तददिनांक।

प्रतिलिपि निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:-

1. प्रमुख सचिव, वन एवं पर्यावरण विभाग, उत्तराखण्ड शासन।
2. प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (HOFF) उत्तराखण्ड।
3. आयुक्त, कुमाऊँ मण्डल नैनीताल।
4. निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय, देहरादून।
5. क्षेत्रीय प्रबन्धक (कु0क्षे0), उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, हल्द्वानी, नैनीताल।
6. जिला खान अधिकारी, नैनीताल/ऊधमसिंहनगर।
7. गार्ड फाईल।





आज्ञा से,

(लक्ष्मण सिंह)
अपर सचिव

No.-3092/VII-A-1/2023-11 B/2010

Sender,

Laxman Singh,
Additional Secretary,
Government of Uttarakhand.

To,

1. Managing Director, Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, Dehradun.

2. District Magistrate, Nainital/Udham Singh Nagar.

Industrial Development (Mining) Section-1 Subject:
Dehradun, Date 25 December, 2023

Regarding -Mining And Extraction Of Minerals In The Rivers Of Reserved Forest Area Allotted To Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, Namely Gaula, Kosi And Nandhor-Kailash Rivers.

Sir,

On the aforesaid subject in the context of the above mentioned letter dated 22.12.2023 of the Regional Manager (Kshetra), Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation Haldwani and the minutes of the meeting of the District Mining Committee, Nainital dated 23.12.2023, in relation to the practical problems arising from manual extraction mentioned in the District Magistrate, Nainital's letter no.44/30-GC/2023 dated 23.12.2023, by making the partial amendment in the previously referred government letter No. 3048/VII-



Ayush

A-1/2023-11 B/2010, dated 18.12.2023, I am directed to say that in the interest of revenue and public interest, please take immediate action in accordance with the orders passed by the Honorable Courts regarding the electronic/computerized extraction of RBMs and boulders in the Gaula, Kosi and Nandaur-Kailash rivers. Till then, efforts should be made to keep the work of extraction of minerals running smoothly on the basis of manual extraction as an alternative arrangement, so that revenue loss can be avoided due to interruption of mining work in the said rivers.

Yours sincerely,

(Laxman Singh)

Additional Secretary

No. /VII-A-1/2023-11 B/2010 and dated.



Copy sent to the following for information and necessary action:

1. Principal Secretary, Forest and Environment Department, Government of Uttarakhand.
2. Chief Conservator of Forests (HOFF) Uttarakhand.
3. Commissioner, Kumaon Division Nainital.
4. Director, Directorate of Geology and Mining, Dehradun.
5. Regional Manager (KU00), Uttarakhand Than Vikas Nigam, Haldwani, Nainital.
6. District Mines Officer, Nainital/Udham Singh Nagar.

Adv
AAYUSH GAUR
 Advocate
 Reg. No. - UK - 612 / 2021
 Oath Commissioner
 High Court of Uttarakhand
 NAINITAL
 No. 54602
 dated 26/12/23





Ayush



High Court, Nainital

Rijush



AL
AAYUSH GAUR
 Advocate
 Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021
 Oath Commissioner
 High Court of Uttarakhand
 BAINITAL
 SI. No. *54602*.....
 Dated. *26/12/23*.....



Aayush

F. No. 8-61/ 1999 - FC
Government of India
Ministry of Environment & Forests
(FC Division)

Paryavaran Bhawan,
CGO Complex,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003
Dated: 23rd January, 2013

To,
The Principal Secretary (Forests)
Forest and Revenue Department,
Government of Uttarakhand,
Dehradun

Sub: Proposal to obtain prior approval of the Central Government under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, for collection of stone, boulders and other minor minerals from 1497 ha. area in river bed of Gola river for a period of ten years by the Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation.

En

I am directed to refer to the Addl. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & the Nodal Officer, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, Government of Uttarakhand's letter No. 208/ 1G-2726 (Naini) dated 20.07.2010 and No. 878/ 1G - 2726 (Naini) dated 09.10.2012 on the above mentioned subject seeking prior approval of the Central Government under Section-2 of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and to say that the said proposal has been examined by the Forest Advisory Committee constituted by the Central Government under Section-3 of the aforesaid Act. After careful consideration of the proposal by the Forest Advisory Committee constituted by the Central Government under Section-3 of the said Act, in-principle approval for the said diversion was granted vide this Ministry's letter of even number dated 10.01.2013 subject to fulfillment of certain conditions. The State Government has furnished compliance report in respect of conditions stipulated in the in-principle approval and has requested the Central Government to grant final approval.

In this connection, I am directed to say that on the basis of the compliance report furnished by the Addl. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & the Nodal Officer, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, Government of Uttarakhand vide letters No. 1624/1G-2726 (Naini) dated 11.01.2013 and No. 1651/1G - 2726 (Naini) dated 19.01.2013 and the Divisional Forest Officer, Terai East Forest Division vide letter No.91/ T.C. dated 19.01.2013, approval of the Central Government is hereby granted under Section-2 of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 for collection of stone, boulders and other minor minerals from 1497 hectares area in river bed of Gola river for a period of ten years by the Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, subject to fulfilment of the following conditions:


- (i) Legal status of the diverted forest land shall remain unchanged;



Rajesh

23/1/2013


- (ii) Compensatory afforestation over the degraded forest land equal in extent to the forest land being diverted shall be raised and maintained by the State Forest Department from funds realised from the User Agency
- (iii) The State Government shall realise from the user agency the additional amount of NPV, if so determined, as per the final decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and transfer the same to the ad-hoc CAMPA;
- (iv) The User Agency shall obtain the Environment Clearance as per the provisions of the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, if required;
- (v) The State Government and the user agency shall work with local residents of Bindu Katha to give safe and interrupted passage to the wild animals passing through the Gola corridor, expedite payment of compensation in case of any damage to property etc. and generally increase awareness of the methods of co-existence of man and wildlife;
- (vi) The State Government shall not allow any new facility/ structure within the Gola corridor to ensure its restoration in future. The State Government shall also ensure that the boundary of the ITBP battalion headquarters be shifted towards south so as to ensure that it is located entirely on southern side of the Gola corridor and that the corridor is maintained free of fresh obstructions from the Highway/ Railway line upto the Bindu Katha settlement;
- (vii) To eliminate disturbance from collection of minor minerals on movement of wild animals along the Gola corridor, collection of minor minerals in a 2.50 km long stretch of the river bed located on the Gola corridor shall be prohibited. However, in case it is observed that non-collection of minor mineral from the corridor results in major floods in adjoining areas, the user agency, under strict supervision of the State Forest Department and representative of the Chief Wildlife Warden, may undertake periodic accelerated collection (during the period having least frequency of wildlife movement) of minor minerals from said stretch of the river bed, to maintain river geometry;
- (viii) To restore the functionality and utility of the migratory corridor linking Corbet Tiger Reserve with the Pawalgarh Conservation Reserve, the State Government may explore feasibility to relocate the settlements from the Sundarkhal village by using State CAMPA funds;
- (ix) The State Government shall constitute a committee under Chairmanship of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Government of Uttarakhand and having the representative of the Ministry of Environment & Forests, Wildlife Institute of India and NGOs such as WWF-India, WII, IUCN etc. as its members, before start of mining/ collection of minor minerals, to review annually the status of compliance of the stipulated conditions and issue appropriate direction to the user agency in case of any deviation as well as any hazard due to non-removal of minor minerals from the protected corridor. The collection of minor minerals after 31st day of January in a year shall be allowed only after receipt of certificate from the Monitoring Committee that the conditions stipulated in the approval accorded under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and the instructions issued by the Monitoring Committee have satisfactorily been complied in collection of the minor minerals during the previous calendar year;



Rajesh

20/11/2015

- (x) To ensure extraction of minor minerals in a sustainable manner, the user agency shall formulate a transparent and unbiased procedure for engagement of labourers for extraction of the minor minerals from the diverted forest land;
- (xi) Fifty percent of the net profit earned by the user agency from the collection of minor minerals shall be deposited in a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) to be constituted by the State Government under the Chairmanship of the Chief Wildlife Warden, Government of Uttarakhand. The amount to be deposited in the SPV shall be used exclusively for river training activities and management & protection of forest & wildlife in vicinity of forest land diverted for collection of minor minerals;
- (xii) The total quantity of minor minerals extracted during a year shall not be more than 54.25 lakh cubic meter;
- (xiii) Extraction of minor minerals shall be restricted to the middle half of the of the width of river bed after leaving intact one-fourth of width of the river bed along its each bank;
- (xiv) To ensure maintenance of river geometry, collection of minor minerals during a working season shall start from centre of the river width and shall gradually extend to the boundary of the permissible area. The maximum permissible depth for collection of minor minerals at centre of the river width shall be limited to 3m and it shall gradually be reduced till it reaches boundary of the permissible zone;
- (xv) To regulate and maintain record of the quantity of minor minerals extracted during a season, the State Forest Department shall set up adequate number of check posts during the collection season;
- (xvi) Extraction of minor mineral shall be restricted from 1st October to 31st May of the subsequent year;
- (xvii) Minor minerals shall be collected manually by using hand tools. Use of explosive and heavy machineries for breaking/collection of minor minerals shall be strictly prohibited;
- (xviii) Collection time shall be from sun-rise to sun-set;
- (xix) No labour camp shall be set up in the forest area for the labourers engaged in collection of the minor minerals;
- (xx) Breaking of boulders shall be undertaken outside the forest boundaries;
- (xxi) The labourers engaged in collection work shall be provided free of cost, fuel wood/alternate source of energy to avoid any pressure on adjoining forests;
- (xxii) The boundary of the forest land being diverted shall be demarcated on ground at the project cost, by erecting four feet high reinforced cement concrete pillars, each inscribed with its serial number, DGPS coordinates, forward and back bearing, and distance from adjoin pillars etc.
- (xxiii) The forest land shall not be used for any purpose other than that specified in the proposal;
- (xxiv) User agency shall submit annual self-monitoring report, indicating status of compliance to the conditions stipulated in the approval, to the State Government and the concerned Regional Office of this Ministry;



Rajesh

22/11/2015

- (xxv) Any other condition that the Central Regional Office of this Ministry, Lucknow and the State Government of Uttarakhand may stipulate, from time to time, in the interest of conservation, protection and development of forests & wildlife; and
- (xxvi) The User Agency and the State Government shall ensure compliance to provisions of the all Acts, Rules, Regulations and Guidelines, for the time being in force, as applicable to the project.

Yours faithfully

sd/

(H.C. Chaudhary)

Assistant Inspector General of Forests

Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
2. The Nodal Officer, Forest Department, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
3. The Chief Conservator of Forest, Regional Office, Lucknow.
4. User Agency.
- ✓ 5. Monitoring Cell, Ministry of Environment and Forests.
6. Guard File.

sd/
22/10/2013

(H. C. Chaudhary)

Assistant Inspector General of Forests



Rajesh

F.No. 8-61/1999- PC

43

Government of India

Ministry of Environment & Forests

(FC Division)

Paryavaran Bhawan, CGO
Complex, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi-110003 Dated:
23 January, 2013

To,

'The Principal Secretary (Forests)

Forest and Revenue Department,

Government of Uttarakhand,

Dehradun

Sub: Proposal to obtain prior approval of the Central Government under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, for collection of stone, boulders and other minor minerals from 1497 ha. Area in river bed of Gola river for a period of ten years by the Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the Addl. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & the Nodal Officer, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, Government of Uttarakhand's letter No. 208/IG-2726 (Naini) dated 20.07.2010 and No. 878/ 1G 2726 (Naini.) dated 09.10.2012 on the above mentioned subject seeking prior approval of the Central Government under Section-2 of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980



Prakash

and to say that the said proposal has been examined by the Forest Advisory Committee constituted by the Central Government under Section-3 of the aforesaid Act. After careful consideration of the proposal by the Forest Advisory Committees constituted by the Central Government under Section-3 of the said Act, in-principle approval for the said diversion was granted vide this Ministry's letter of even number dated 10.01.2013 subject to fulfillment of certain conditions. The State Government has furnished compliance report in respect of conditions stipulated in the in-principle approval and has requested the Central Government to grant final approval.

In this connection, I am directed to say that on the basis of the compliance report furnished by the AddL. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & the Nodal Officer, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, Government of Uttarakhand vide letters No. 1624/1G-2726 (Naini) dated 11.01.2013 and No. 1651/1G-2726 (Naini) dated 19.01.2013 and the Divisional Forest Officer, Irai East Forest Division vide letter No.91/ T.C. dated 19.01.2013, approval of the Central Government is hereby granted under Section-2 of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 for collection of stone, boulders and other minor minerals from 1497 hectares area in river bed of Gola river for a period of ten years by the Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:

- (i) Legal status of the diverted forest land shall remain unchanged;
- (ii) Compensatory afforestation over the degraded forest land equal in extent to the forest land being diverted shall be



Reyresh

raised and maintained by the State Forest Department from funds realised from the User Agency.


- (iii) The State Government shall realise from the user agency the additional amount of NPV, if so as so determined as per the hand decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and transfer the same to the ad-hoc CAMPA;
- (iv) The User Agency shall obtain the Environment Clearance as per the provisions of the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, if required;
- (v) The State Government and the user agency shall work with local residents of Bindu Katha to give safe and interrupted passage to the wild animals passing through the Gola corridor, expedite payment of compensation in case of any damage to property etc. and generally increase awareness of the methods of co-existence of man and wildlife;
- (vi) The State Government shall not allow any new facility/ structure within the Gola corridor to ensure its restoration in future. The State Government shall also ensure that the boundary of the ITBP battalion headquarters be shifted towards south so as to ensure that it is located entirely on southern side of the Gola corridor and that the Bindu Katha settlement;
- (vii) To eliminate disturbance from collection of minor minerals on movement of wild animals along the Gola corridor, collection of minor minerals in a 2.50 km long stretch of the river bed located on the Gola corridor shall be prohibited. However, in case it is observed that non-collection of minor mineral from the corridor



Rajesh

results in major floods in adjoining areas, the user agency, under strict supervision of the State Forest Department and representative of the Chief Wildlife Warden, may undertake periodic accelerated collection (during the period having least frequency of wildlife movement) of minor minerals from said stretch of the river bed, to maintain river geometry;

- (viii) To restore the functionality and utility of the migratory corridor linking Corbet Tiger Reserve with the Pawalgarh Conservation Reserve, the State Government may explore feasibility to relocate the settlements from the Sundarkhal village by using State CAMPA funds;
- (ix) The State Government shall constitute a committee under Chairmanship of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Government of Uttarakhand and having the representative of the Ministry of Environment & Forests, Wildlife Institute of India and NGOs such as WWF-India, WII, IUCN etc. as its members, before start of mining collection of minor minerals, to review annually the status of compliance of the stipulated conditions and issue appropriate direction to the user agency in case of any deviation as well as any hazard due to non-removal of minor minerals from the protected corridor. The collection of minor minerals after 31st day of January in a year shall be allowed only after receipt of certificate from the Monitoring Committee that the conditions stipulated in the approval accorded under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and the instructions issued by the Monitoring Committee have satisfactorily been complied in



Rajesh


collection of the minor minerals during the previous calendar year;

- (x) To ensure extraction of minor minerals in a sustainable manner, the user agency shall formulate a transparent and unbiased procedure for engagement of labourers for extraction of the minor minerals from the diverted forest land;
- (xi) Fifty percent of the net profit earned by the user agency from the collection of minor Minerals shall be deposited in a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) to be constituted by the State Government under the Chairmanship of the Chief Wildlife Warden, Government Of Uttarakhand. The amount to be deposited in the SPV shall be used exclusively for River training, activities and management & protection of forest & wildlife in vicinity of Forest land diverted for collection of minor minerals;
- (xii) The total quantity of minor minerals extracted during a year shall not be more than 54.25 Lakh cubic meter;
- (xiii) Extraction of minor minerals shall be restricted to the middle half of the of the width of river bed after leaving intact one-fourth of width of the river bed along its each bank;
- (xiv) To ensure maintenance of river geometry, collection of minor minerals during a working season shall start from centre of the river width and shall gradually extend to the boundary of the permissible area. The maximum permissible depth for collection of minor minerals at centre of the river width shall be limited to 3m and it shall gradually be reduced till it reaches boundary of the permissible zone;



Rajesh

- (xv) To regulate and maintain record of the quantity of minor minerals extracted during a season, the State Forest Department shall set up adequate number of check posts during the collection season;
- (xvi) Extraction of minor mineral shall be restricted from 1st October to 31st May of the subsequent year;
- (xvii) Minor minerals shall be collected manually by using hand tools. Use of explosive and heavy machineries for breaking/collection of minor minerals shall be strictly prohibited;
- (xviii) Collection time shall be from sun-rise to sun-set;
- (xix) No labour camp shall be set up in the forest area for the labourers engaged in collection of the minor minerals;
- (xx) Breaking of boulders shall be undertaken outside the forest boundaries;
- (xxi) The labourers engaged in collection work shall be provided free of cost, fuel wood/alternate source of energy to avoid any pressure on adjoining forests;
- (xxii) The boundary of the forest land being diverted shall be demarcated on ground at the project cost, by erecting four feet high reinforced cement concrete pillars, each inscribed with its serial number, DGPS coordinates, forward and back bearing, and distance from adjoin pillars etc.
- (xxiii) The forest land shall not be used for any purpose other than that specified in the proposal;
- (xxiv) User agency shall submit annual self-monitoring report, indicating status of compliance to the conditions stipulated in the



Rupesh

approval, to the State Government and the concerned Regional Office of this Ministry;

(xxv) Any other condition that the Central Regional Office of this Ministry, Lucknow and the State Government of Uttarakhand may stipulate, from time to time, in the interest of conservation, protection and development of forests & wildlife; and

(xxvi) The User Agency and the State Government shall ensure compliance to provisions of the all Acts, Rules, Regulations and Guidelines, for the time being in force, as applicable to the project.

Yours faithfully

Sd

(H.C. Chaudhary)

Assistant Inspector General of Forests

Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
2. The Nodal Officer, Forest Department, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
3. The Chief Conservator of Forest, Regional Office, Lucknow.
4. User Agency.
5. Monitoring Cell, Ministry of Environment and Forests.
6. Guard file.

A
AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No. - UK - 612 / 2021

Commissioner

High Court of Uttarakhand

JAUNTAI

Sl. No. 54602

Dated. 26/12/23

Sd

(H. C. Chaudhary)

Assistant Inspector General of Forests



Aayush

No. J-110105/363/2009-IA.II (M)
Government of India
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
Impact Assessment Division

2nd Floor, Prithvi Wing,
Indira Paryavaran Bhavan,
Jor Bagh Road, Aliganj,
New Delhi-110 003

Dated: 1st March, 2021

To,

M/s Uttaranchal Forest Development Corporation
Aranya Vikas Bhawan,
73, Nehru Road,
Dehradun-248 001
E-mail: uafdemd@yahoo.com, Vanvikas12@gmail.com

Sir,

Subject: Collection of Minor Minerals (Reta, Bajri, Boulder) from the River Bed of Gola River by M/s Uttarakhand van vikas nigam, located in Tehsil(s) Haldwani and Lal Kuan in the East Tarai and the Haldwani Forest Division, district Nainital, Uttarakhand – Extension of validity of Environmental Clearance regarding.

Ref: Proposal No. IA/UK/MIN/8724/2011;

This is with reference to proposal of M/s Uttarakhand Van Vikas Nigam is for Amendment w.r.t. the extension of validity of EC coterminous with the validity of Forest Clearance i.e. 22nd January, 2023. The EC was granted vide Letter No. J-11015/363/2009-IA-II(M) dated 13.04.2011 for an annual collection of 1,17,00,000 tonnes (117 Lakh tonnes) of Minor Minerals (Reta, Bajri, Boulder) from the River Bed of Gola River by M/s Uttaranchal Forest Development Corporation, located in Tehsil(s) Haldwani and Lal Kuan in the East Tarai and the Haldwani Forest Division, district nainital, Uttarakhand.

2. As per EIA Notification dated 14th September, 2006 as amended from time to time, the project falls under Category B or Activity 1(a) as the mining lease area is more than 100 ha.

M/s Uttaranchal Forest Development Corporation

Page



Lawyer's name

Signature

3. Project Proponent has submitted that Environmental Clearance was granted vide Letter No.J-11015/363/2009-IA-II(M) dated 13.04.2011 for an annual collection of 1,17,00,000 tonnes(117 Lakh tonnes) of Minor Minerals (Reta, Bajri, Boulder) from the River Bed of Gola River by M/s Uttaranchal Forest Development Corporation, located in Tehsil(s) Haldwani and Lal Kuan in the East Tarai and the Haldwani Forest Division, district Nainital, Uttarakhand. Para 6 of EC letter specifically mentions that, "The Ministry of Environment and Forests has examined the application in accordance with the EIA Notification, 2006 and hereby accords environmental clearance No.J-11015/363/2009-IA-II(M) dated 13.04.2011 for a period of 10 years or till the forestry clearance whichever is earlier....".

4. Project Proponent vide its Proposal No. IA/UK/MIN/8724/2011 dated 29.08.2020 has applied for amendment in EC w.r.t. the extension of validity of EC coterminous with the validity of Forest Clearance i.e. 22nd January, 2023. PP has stated that MoEF&CC vide its letter F. No. 8-61/1999-FC dated 23.01.2013 granted Forest Clearance for a period of 10 years and is valid till 22.01.2023. Since, EC is also valid for a period of 10 years i.e. till 12.04.2021, therefore, PP has requested for extension of validity of Environmental Clearance coterminous with the validity of Forest Clearance i.e. 22nd January, 2023 for hassle free operations.

5. Based on the documents submitted and discussion held during 21st EAC meeting held on 23-25, September, 2020 the Committee recommended the proposal of extension of validity of Environmental Clearance dated 13.04.2011 till 22.01.2023 subject to the following additional conditions.


- I. The PP has to furnish the Certified Environmental Clearance Compliance report.
- II. All other terms and conditions prescribed under EC dated 13.04.2011 shall remain unchanged.

6. The Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change has examined the proposal in accordance with the Environmental Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 and further amendments thereto; and after accepting the recommendation of EAC meeting held during 23-25, September, 2020 hereby decided to accord the amendment of Environmental Clearance under the provisions there to the above mentioned proposal for grant of extension of validity of EC coterminous with the validity of Forest Clearance i.e. 22nd January, 2023 for M/s Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation located at Gaula River Reserve, Khanan Haldwani located at Gaula River Reserve Forest, District Nainital, Uttarakhand. All other terms & conditions of the Environmental Clearance granted vide J-11015/363/2009-IA-II(M) dated 13.04.2011 shall remain same and Environmental Clearance is valid up to 22.01.2023.

Handwritten signature

M/s Uttaranchal Forest Development Corporation

Handwritten signature: Ryush



7. The PP shall implement the conditions prescribed in Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining 2020, as applicable for PP. Implementation report with supporting documents & photographs before and after shall be submitted to the Regional Office of MoEF&CC before 1st July of every year for the activities carried out during previous year
8. The Ministry or any other competent authority may alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.
9. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
10. The above conditions will be enforced inter-alia, under the provisions of the Water (Prevention & control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and rules made there under and also any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India/High Court and any other Court of law relating to the subject matter.
11. Any appeal against this environmental clearance shall lie with the national Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
12. These issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,

Pankaj Verma

(Pankaj Verma)

Scientist 'E'

Email- pankaj.verma@nic.in

Tel./Fax- 011- 24695264


Copy to:

- 1). The Secretary, Ministry of Mines, Government of India, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 2). The Secretary, Department of Mines & Geology, Government of Uttarakhand, Secretariat, Dehradun.
- 3). The Secretary, Department of Environment, Government of Uttarakhand, Secretariat, Dehradun.
- 4). Chief Wildlife Warden, Government of Uttarakhand, Secretariat, Dehradun.

M/s Uttaranchal Forest Development Corporation

Page 2

Rajesh



- 5). The Chief Conservator of Forests, Central Region, Ministry of Environment and Forests, B-1/72, Sector A, Aliganj, Lucknow-226020.
- 6). The Member Secretary, Central Pollution Control Board, Parivesh Bhavan, CBD-cum-Office complex, East Arjun Nagar, New Delhi-1100032.
- 7). The Member Secretary, Central Ground Water Authority, A-2, W3, Curzon Road Barracks, K.G. Marg, New Delhi-110001.
- 8). The Member Secretary, Uttarakhand Environment Protection & Pollution Control Board, E-115, Nehru Colony, Hardwar Road, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.
- 9). The Controller General, Indian Bureau of Mines, Indira Bhavan, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440 001.
- 10). The District Collector, Nainital District, Uttarakhand.
- 11). Guard File.
- 12). PARIVESH PORTAL.

(Pankaj Verma)
Scientist 'E'
Email- pankaj.verma@nic.in
Tel./Fax- 011- 24695264



Piyush

संयुक्त निरीक्षण आख्या (गौला नदी)

कार्यालय प्रभागीय लैंगिक प्रबन्धक उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, खनन गौला हल्द्वानी प्रभाग, हल्द्वानी जिला नैनीताल के पत्र संख्या 787/नवीनीकरण प्रस्ताव/गौला नदी दिनांक 27.08.2021 के द्वारा गौला नदी से आगामी 10 वर्षों के लिए उपखनिज के चुगान के नवीनीकरण किये जाने हेतु जिलाधिकारी नैनीताल को प्रेषित पत्र के क्रम में जिलाधिकारी नैनीताल के पत्र सं० 1550/30-जी०सी०/2021-22 दिनांक 27 अगस्त, 2021 के अनुपालन में भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई, उद्योग निदेशालय उत्तराखण्ड हल्द्वानी-नैनीताल के पत्र संख्या 624/भू०खनि०ई०/खनन-गौला /2021-22 दिनांक 31.08.2021 के द्वारा उत्तराखण्ड बालू, बजरी बोल्डर चुगान नीति, 2016 में दिये गये प्राविधानों के दृष्टिगत उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम (गौला नदी) में स्वीकृत उपखनिज खनन पट्टा के आगामी 10 वर्षों हेतु नवीनीकरण किये जाने हेतु आवेदित क्षेत्र का संयुक्त निरीक्षण राजस्व विभाग, सिंचाई विभाग, वन विभाग, एवं खनन विभाग (गठित समिति) के द्वारा उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम के प्रतिनिधि की उपस्थिति में निर्धारित दिनांक 03.09.2021 को सम्पन्न किया गया। आवेदक द्वारा खनन पट्टा हेतु निर्धारित आवेदन प्रपत्र एम०एम०-1 क पर आवेदन किया गया है तथा खनन पट्टा आवेदन शुल्क रू० 1,00,000/- ई चालान सं० 08530921E0497805 दिनांक 03 सितम्बर 2021 द्वारा निर्धारित लेखा शीर्षक में जमा कर दिया गया है। जिसकी संयुक्त निरीक्षण आख्या निम्नवत् है:-

राजस्व विभाग:- उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम गौला नदी द्वारा पूर्व से आवंटित खनन लॉट कुल क्षेत्रफल 1497है० जिसमें से नदी के दोनों किनारों के 25-25 प्रतिशत भाग को छोड़त हुए वर्तमान में उपखनिज चुगान कार्य किया जा रहा है, जिसमें खनन पट्टा आगामी 10 वर्षों के लिए नवीनीकरण किये जाने हेतु आवेदन पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया है। गौला नदी में वर्तमान में पर्याप्त मात्रा में उपखनिज उपलब्ध है जिसमें आगामी दस वर्षों तक खनन पट्टा नवीनीकरण किया जा सकता है।

वन विभाग:- प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी/प्रतिनिधि के द्वारा अवगत कराया गया है कि खनन/चुगान हेतु प्रस्तावित क्षेत्र आरक्षित वन क्षेत्रान्तर्गत स्थित है तथा खनन चुगान स्थल राष्ट्रीय पार्क/संचुरी से 10 किमी० से अधिक की दूरी पर स्थित है। गौला नदी में वर्तमान में पर्याप्त मात्रा में उपखनिज उपलब्ध है जिसमें आगामी दस वर्षों तक खनन पट्टा नवीनीकरण किया जा सकता है। वन विकास निगम को खनन पट्टा के नवीनीकरण करने से पूर्व भारत सरकार से F.C (Forest Clearance) लेना आवश्यक होगा।

सिंचाई विभाग:- सिंचाई विभाग के प्रतिनिधि द्वारा अवगत कराया गया है कि आवेदित क्षेत्र गौला नदी में स्थित है। नदी के दोनों किनारों से 25-25 प्रतिशत दूरी छोड़कर तथा चुगान क्षेत्र में Underground Water Lable या अधिकतम 3.00 मीटर की गहराई जो भी न्यून हो तक खनन कार्य किया जा सकता है। इसके अतिरिक्त पूल से 100 मीटर अपस्ट्रीम तथा 100 मीटर डाउन स्ट्रीम क्षेत्र को प्रतिबंधित करते हुए उपखनिज का चुगान कार्य अनुमत गहराई तक किया जा सकता है।

भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई:- वन विकास निगम के पत्र में गौला नदी में हेतु पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा प्राप्त पर्यावरणीय स्वीकृति में कुल प्रस्तावित क्षेत्रफल 1497है० के सापेक्ष नदी के दोनों किनारों से 25-25 प्रतिशत भाग को छोड़कर मध्य के 50 प्रतिशत क्षेत्रफल अर्थात् 748.5 है० भाग में खनन हेतु स्वीकृति

[Handwritten signatures]



[Handwritten signature]

13 अप्रैल 2011 से 10 वर्षों हेतु प्रदान की गयी है। जिसकी अवधि पुनः बढ़ाकर जनवरी 2023 तक की गई है। उपरोक्त खनन लॉट हेतु पर्यावरणीय स्वीकृति में तत्समय में कुल 54.25 लाख घन मीटर उपखनिज प्रतिवर्ष निकासी किये जाने हेतु स्वीकृति प्रदान है।

आरक्षित वन क्षेत्रान्तर्गत जनपद नैनीताल स्थित गौला नदी में उपखनिज चुगान के लिए प्रचुर मात्रा में उपखनिज रेता, बजरी, बोल्टर मिश्रित अवस्था में एकत्रित है। प्रस्तावित स्थल से नियमानुसार नदी पुल, शैक्षणिक संस्थान, आवसीय भवन, श्मशान घाट, सड़क आदि 100 मीटर की दूरी पर छोड़ते हुए खनन कार्य किया जाएगा। प्रश्नगत क्षेत्र से खनिजों की निकासी हेतु पहुचमार्ग उपलब्ध है। उल्लेखनीय है कि शासन के कार्यालय ज्ञाप संख्या 1561/VII-1/80-ख/2016 दिनांक 30 सितम्बर, 2016 के द्वारा राज्य में प्रख्यापित उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर चुगान नीति-2016 के बिन्दु संख्या 3 क मे उल्लेख है कि उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में निक्षेपित उप खनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर की अधिकतम मात्रा वही मानी जायेगी जो पर्यावरणीय स्वीकृति में निर्धारित की गयी है। पर्यावरण वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय भारत सरकार के पत्र संख्या 11015/363/2009-IA.II(M) दिनांक 13 अप्रैल 2011 के द्वारा गौला नदी से प्रतिवर्ष कुल 117 लाख टन उपखनिज की मात्रा निर्धारित की गयी है। संशोधित पर्यावरण वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय भारत सरकार के पत्र F.NO- 861/1999-FC दिनांक 23.01.2013 से 10 वर्ष हेतु अनुमन्य की गयी है।

भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई, उधोग निदेशालय उत्तराखण्ड देहरादून के कार्यालय ज्ञाप संख्या 515/खनन/भू0खनि0ई0/मा0प्लान/2020-21 दिनांक 31 मई 2021 द्वारा अनुमोदित खनन योजना की शर्त संख्या 04 में प्रथम वर्ष एवं द्वितीय वर्ष में 54.25 लाख घन मीटर (119,35,000टन) उपखनिज का खनन/चुगान किया जायेगा उल्लेखित है।

उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम के पक्ष में (गौला नदी) स्वीकृत खनन पट्टे के अग्रेत्तर 10 वर्षों के लिए नवीनीकरण किये जाने हेतु गठित समिति द्वारा संस्तुति निम्नलिखित प्रतिबंध/शर्तों के अधीन की जाती है।

आवश्यक प्रतिबंध/शर्तें:-

- 1- पट्टाधारक उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम द्वारा स्वामित्व का भुगतान निर्धारित लेखा शीषक में जमा करना होगा। जमा चालान की प्रति भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई जनपद नैनीताल स्थित हल्द्वानी, के कार्यालय तथा जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत करेगा। उपखनिज निकासी का विवरण (प्रपत्र एम0एम0 -12) प्रत्येक माह भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई जनपद नैनीताल स्थित हल्द्वानी, व जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय नैनीताल में प्रस्तुत करेगा।
- 2- पट्टाधारक द्वारा स्वीकृत क्षेत्र में संरक्षित चुगान एवं पर्यावरण की सुरक्षा के लिये शासन एवं जिलाधिकारी, उपनिदेशक खनन, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा समय-समय पर जो दिशा निर्देश जारी किये जायेंगे उनका अनुपालन पट्टाधारक द्वारा सुनिश्चित किया जायेगा।
- 3- उपखनिज के चुगान हेतु किसी भी प्रकार के विस्फोटकों का प्रयोग किया जाना प्रतिबन्धित होगा।



Handwritten signatures and initials are present at the bottom of the page, including a large signature on the left and several smaller initials and signatures on the right, including one that appears to be 'Rajesh'.

- 4- यदि खनन/चुगान के दौरान क्षेत्र का कोई भी भाग संवेदनशील हो जाता है या अवैध खनन में लिप्तता पायी जाती है तो खनन के दौरान निकलने वाला समस्त उप खनिज अवैध खनन की श्रेणी में आयेगा और उसकी क्षतिपूर्ति हेतु आवश्यक कार्यवाही, जिलाधिकारी महोदय के माध्यम से की जायेगी।
- 5- खनन/चुगान करते समय किसी प्रकार की कोई दुर्घटना घटित होती है तो उसकी सम्पूर्ण जिम्मेदारी पट्टाधारक की रहेगी जो कि मान्य होगी।
- 6- यदि पट्टाधारक द्वारा निर्धारित गहराई से अधिक गहराई तक खुदान किया जाता है तो निकाला गया उपखनिज अवैध खनन की श्रेणी में आयेगा।
- 7- पर्यावरण वन एवं जलवायु मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा जनवरी 2020 में जारी सैन्ड माईनिंग गाईडलाईन के अनुसार कार्य करना होगा।
- 8 पर्यावरण वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा (Forest Clearance) लिया जाना अनिवार्य होगा।
- 9- वन संरक्षण अधिनियम-1980, वन संरक्षण नियमावली 1981 और अन्य सम्बन्धित अधिनियम और नियमावली, आदेश और दिशा निर्देश जो कि इस खनन पट्टे हेतु समय-समय पर दिये जाये लागू होंगे।
- 10- उपखनिज की मात्रा का निर्धारण अनुमोदित खनन योजना के अनुसार या पर्यावरणीय अनुमति के अनुसार होगा।

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021


Commissioner

High Court of Uttarakhand

NAINITAL

Sl. No. 54602

Dated 26/12/23



राजस्व उपनिरीक्षक
तहसील हल्द्वानी

भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई
नैनीताल

सहायक अभियन्ता
प्रतिनिधि सिचाई विभाग

प्रतिनिधि
प्रभागीय वसाधिकारी तराई पूर्वी
वन प्रभाग हलद्वानी।
सदस्य

उपनिदेशक
भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई
सदस्य सचिव

उपजिलाधिकारी
मुख्यालय (नैनीताल)

Aayush



सत्यमेव जयते

Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining



Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate change

January, 2020



Prayush

Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining

Table of Content

Sl. No.	Contents	Page
1	Introduction	02
2	Need for Policy Guidelines	04
3	Objective of Guidelines	09
4	Requirements for Monitoring & Enforcement	10
5	Replenishment Study	27
6	Enforcement Provisions	34
7	Recommendation of High Power Committee	41
8	General Approach for Sustainable Sand Mining	45
9	Monitoring Mechanism	48

Table of Annexure

Annexure		Page
Annexure - I	Details of Sand/M-Sand Sources	64
Annexure - II	List of Potential Mining Leases (Expiring & Proposed)	65
Annexure - III	Cluster & Contiguous Cluster details	66
Annexure - IV	Transportation Routes for individual leases and leases in Cluster	67
Annexure - V	Final List of Potential Mining Leases (Existing & Proposed)	68
Annexure - VI	Final List of Cluster & Contiguous Cluster	69
Annexure - VII	Final Transportation Routes for individual leases and leases in Cluster	70
Annexure - VIII	Salient provision for sand mining in the state of Tamil Nadu	71



Rajesh

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change formulated the Sustainable Sand Management Guidelines 2016 which focuses on the Management of Sand Mining in the Country. But in the recent past, it has been observed that apart from management and systematic mining practices there is an urgent need to have a guideline for effective enforcement of regulatory provision and their monitoring.

Section 23 C of MMDR, Act 1957 empowered the State Government to make rules for preventing illegal mining, transportation and storage of minerals. But in the recent past, it has been observed that there was large number of illegal mining cases in the Country and in some cases, many of the officers lost their lives while executing their duties for curbing illegal mining incidence. The illegal and uncontrolled illegal mining leads to loss of revenue to the State and degradation of the environment.

India is developing at a faster pace and much technological advancement has already been taken place in the surveillance and remote monitoring in the field of mining. Thus, it is prudent to utilize the technological advancement for the effective monitoring of the mining activities particularly sand mining in the country.

Use of latest remote surveillance and IT services helps in effective monitoring of the sand mining activity in-country and also assist the government in controlling the illegal mining activity in the country. Thus, there is a need for an effective policy for monitoring of sand mining in the Country which can be enforced on the ground. These guidelines focus on the effective monitoring of the sand mining since from the identification of sand mineral sources to its dispatch and end-use by consumers and the general public. Further, the effective monitoring and enforcement require efforts from not only Government agencies but also by consumers and the general public.



Rajesh

Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining

It is the responsibility of every citizen of India to protect the environment and effective monitoring can only be possible when all the stakeholders viz. Central Government, State Government, Leaseholders/Mine Owners, Distributors, Dealers, Transporters and Consumers (bulk & retail) will contribute towards sustainable mining, and comply with all the statutory provisions. It is felt necessary to identify the minimum requirements across all geographical region to have a uniform protocol for monitoring and enforcement of regulatory provision prescribed for sustainable sand and gravel mining.

This document will serve as a guideline for collection of critical information for enforcement of the regulatory provision(s) and also highlights the essential infrastructural requirements necessary for effective monitoring for Sustainable Sand Mining.

The document is prepared in consideration of various orders/directions issued by Hon'ble NGT in matters pertaining to illegal sand mining and also based on the reports submitted by expert committees and investigation teams.

Further, this document is supplemental to the existing "Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guideline-2016" (SSMG-2016), and these two guidelines viz. "Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining" (EMGSM-2020) and SSMG-2016 shall be read and implemented in sync with each other. In case, any ambiguity or variation between the provision of both these document arises, the provision made in "Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining-2020 "shall prevail.




Rajesh

2.0 NEED FOR POLICY GUIDELINES

The Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change (MoEF&CC) published Environmental Impact Assessment Notification 1994 which is only applicable for the Major Minerals more than 5 ha. In order to cover the minor minerals also into the preview of EIA, the MoEF&CC issued EIA Notification 2006 for Major & Minor Mineral more than 5 Ha. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in its Judgment dated the 27th February 2012 in I.A. No.12- 13 of 2011 in Special Leave Petition (C) No.19628-19629 of 2009, in the matter of Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana and Others etc. made prior environment clearance mandatory for mining of minor minerals irrespective of the area of mining lease. In order to comply with the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Ministry issued S.O.141 (E) dated 15.01.2016. Further, MoEF&CC published Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 for scientific and sustainable sand mining in the Country. The recommendations for the management of sustainable sand extraction are the key objective of the Guidelines. Special emphasis is given on monitoring of the mined out material, which is key to the success of the environmental management plan. Use of IT and IT-enabled services for effective monitoring of the quantity of mined out material and transportation along with process re-engineering has been made a part of the Guidelines. Guidelines support the fundamental concept, promote environmental protection, limit negative physiological, hydrogeological and social impacts underpinning sustainable economic growth.

The Hon'ble NGT in its order dated 04.09.2018 in O.A. 173/2018 in the matter of Sudarsan Das vs. State of West Bengal & Ors. Inter-alia observed that ***"There can be no two views that an effective institutional monitoring mechanism is required not only at the stage when Environmental Clearance is granted but also at subsequent stages". "The guidelines focus on the preparation of District Survey Report and the Management Plan" ... We are of the view that all the safeguards which are suggested***




A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ajayush", is written over a horizontal line.

in sustainable sand mining guidelines as well as notification dated 15.01.2016 ought to be scrupulously followed."...It is a known fact that in spite of the above-suggested guidelines being in existence, on the ground level, illegal mining is still going on. The existing mechanism has not been successful and effective in remedying the situation." ..."
Since there is an utter failure in the current monitoring mechanism followed by the State Boards, SEIAAs and DEIAAs, it is required to be revised for effective monitoring of sand and gravel mining and a dedicated monitoring mechanism be set up."

The Hon'ble NGT in its order dated 04.09.2018 in O.A. 173/2018 in the matter of Sudarsan Das vs. State of West Bengal & Ors. directed that ***MoEF&CC has issued directions from time to time under Section 3 and 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. The MoEF&CC needs to revise its directions keeping in mind the following:***

- *Mining Surveillance System discussed in para 23 above be finalized in consultation with ISRO Hyderabad.*
- *Safeguards suggested in Sustainable Sand Mining Guidelines published by the MoEF&CC in the year 2016.*
- *Suggestions in the High Power Committee Report.*
- *The requirement of demarcation of boundaries being published in respect of different leases in the public domain.*
- *Need to issue SOP laying down mechanism to evaluate loss to the ecology and to recover the cost of restoration of such damage from the legal or illegal miners. Such evaluation must include the cost of mining material as well as the cost of ecological restoration and the net present value of future ecosystem services forgone.*
- *Need to set up a dedicated institutional mechanism for effective monitoring of sand and gravel mining which may also take care of mining done without any Environmental Clearance as well as mining done in violation of Environmental Clearance conditions.*



Rupesh

- *The Mining Department may make a provision for keeping apart at least 25% of the value of mined material for the restoration of the area affected by the mining and also for compensating the inhabitants affected by the mining.*
- *One of the conditions of every lease of mine or minerals would be that there will be independent environmental audit at least once in a year by reputed third party entity and report of such audit be placed in the public domain.*
- *In the course of such an environmental audit, a three-member committee of the local inhabitants will also be associated. Composition of three members committee may preferably include ex-servicemen, a former teacher and former civil servant. The Committee will be nominated by the District Magistrate.*

The Hon'ble NGT in its order dated 05.09.2018 in O.A. 44/2016 in the matter of Mushtakeem Vs. MoEF & CC & Ors. Inter-alia observed the following:

"Para 20. In Original Application No. 481/2016, the allegation is that there is the connivance of the District Administration with the miners and mining is going in violation of conditions of Environmental Clearance. According to the applicant, an effective mechanism is required to be evolved so that illegal mining does not place."

*"Para 22. We proceed to consider the main question proposed for the consideration stated earlier hereinabove as to **how to ensure the protection of the environment by checking illegal mining.**"*



Prajush

"Para 23. We have dealt with the identical issue relating to the illegal sand mining in the border districts in the State of West Bengal and Odisha in the order dated 04th September 2018 in Sudarsan Das Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors., Original Application No. 173 of 2018. We have directed the MoEF&CC to revise the guidelines on the subject for an effective mechanism for sand mining, relevant portions of which are reproduced below: -..."

The Hon'ble NGT in its order dated 10.09.2018 in O.A. 304/2015 in the matter of Jai Singh & Anr.Vs. Union of India Ors. inter-alia observed the following:

*"Para 6. After disposal of the above matters, a disturbing event widely reported in media which took place on 07th September 2018 has been brought to our notice. **A Deputy Ranger who tried to stop illegal mining was killed by mining mafia at Morena in the State of M.P.***

"Para 7. The above disturbing event may also be kept in mind by the MoEF, while considering the issuance of revised guidelines in light of the judgment dated 05th September 2018 (Supra)."

The Hon'ble NGT in its order dated 05.04.2019 in O.A. 360/2015 in the matter of National Green Tribunal Bar Association & Anr.Vs. Union of India & Ors. inter-alia observed the following:

"The 2016 Guidelines need revision in the light of the report of High Powered Committee in September 2016, failure of Monitoring mechanism followed by State Boards, SEIAs, DEIAAs and MSS system developed by Ministry of Mines & IBM with the assistance of BISAG and MAITY and other observations quoted in paras 12 to 15 above.

50. As noted earlier in paras 17, 23, 27, 31 and 35, States of West Bengal, Odisha, Gujarat, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Punjab, Haryana and Uttar



Pradesh are required to follow SSMG, 2016 as may be revised by MoEF&CC and even other States where illegal sand mining is taking place.

The States may review the monitoring mechanism in terms of several directions of the Tribunal and guidelines of MoEF&CC.

The international conservation concern regarding natural wealth is a universal demand. Article 51(a) subsection (G) of the constitution requires every citizen of India to protect and improve the natural environment including forest, lakes, rivers, wildlife and to have compassion for the living creature.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of M.C. Mehta Vs. Kamal Nath (1997) 1 SCC 388 held that under Article of Indian Constitution incorporates the "Public Trust Doctrine" and as such extends to the protection of all-natural resources which includes the protection of flora and fauna.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum Vs. Union of India & Ors (1996) held that the precautionary principle is part of the Environmental Law in India. It further stated that onus of proof is on the actor of the developer/industrialize to show that its actions are environmentally benign."




can fill the online request, pay the amount, select the transporter and give its feedback after the receipt of the sand. The transportation can also be controlled as the tippers used for transportation is registered tippers with GPS facility, the transportation route is well defined for easy monitoring, control over overloading of tippers, control over spillage of mineral etc. The State Govt. shall also make provision for penalizing the persons/agency buying the sand and RBM from the illegal sources.

6.4.2 The Ministry of Mines in its Sand Mining Framework also mentioned the following different level of monitoring:

Para 1.2.13.1 Level 1- Reach/ Stockyard level monitoring

For monitoring of the active reaches:

- a. *Quantity of sand to be extracted from the reach should be based on the quantity of sand assessed in the reach by the Joint Inspection Team.*
- b. *The lease boundary should be demarcated with geo-coordinates or geo-fenced to ensure that sand extraction is going on only within the permitted area.*
- c. *De-casting from river beds should be monitored on a regular basis to keep a track of excavated quantity.*
- d. *After every two years, a mandatory audit of the quantity extracted and quantity permitted along with the replenishment rate.*
- e. *Mandatory e-pass/ e-permit should be made available at reach level for transportation of any sand by any GPS enabled vehicle with the provision of entering the vehicle number of the sand carrying vehicle and expected delivery address and customer name/ mobile number. Also, provision should be made available for stockyards/ stockiest of sand. In the case of*



Rajesh


- nomination based (controlled pricing) business model, the margin of private stockist should be capped over a fixed percentage of notified prices.*
- f. *At the stockyard, the stock supervisor should verify the authenticity of online payment receipt before issuing the transit pass. The loading of sand should be monitored electronically and all transporting vehicles should pass through an electronically monitored weighbridge. g. Real-time data capture for transportation*

Para 1.2.13.2 Level 2 - Transportation monitoring

To make transportation monitoring effective and useful, all the sand carrying vehicles (tractors/ trucks) should be registered with the department and GPS equipment should be installed in all the sand carrying vehicles. Weighbridges with CCTV should be installed at all the stockyards, active reaches to ascertain the exact quantity of sand being transported in the vehicle. Check posts with CCTV cameras should be established near all major consumption centres to check if all the transporting vehicles are carrying a valid transport permit. The transport permit generated should contain the security features mentioned under section 5.11 so that one permit cannot be re-used by generating photocopies of the permit.

Para 1.2.13.3 Level 3 - End consumer monitoring/ bulk consumer

For end consumer monitoring, a customer grievance redressal center should be established to enquire about the grievances faced by the sand consumers. The telephone number of the call center should be advertised so that it reaches the general public through which anyone in the State can register his/her complain related to the sand, be it in terms of price or any other grievance. Additionally, profiles of customers should be analyzed such as the delivery of sand at the same address, usage pattern and its comparison with the estimated usage, as mentioned in purpose, etc. Further, surprise checking




Rajesh

8.0 GENERAL APPROACH TO SUSTAINABLE SAND MINING

8.1 Pre-requisite for starting sand mining operation

- i) All district to prepare a comprehensive mining plan for the district as per the provision of District Survey Report. These reports shall be put on the website of District Administration. No mining shall be allowed in the area which has not been identified in the comprehensive mining plan of the District.
- ii) Replenishment study should be conducted on regular basis.
- iii) All potential rivers mining zone/area shall be identified and put for auction with proper geo-tagged details by the auctioning authority concerned.
- iv) The latitude and longitude of each mining lease shall be clearly mentioned in Letter of Intent issued to the potential mine lease. Such information shall be provided on the website of the district administration.
- v) The provision of these guidelines shall be considered while identifying the potential stretches /locations and boundaries of the leases for the minable area.
- vi) The Lol holder shall seek Environmental Clearance as per the provision of EIA Notification, and the regulatory authority shall ensure that the provision suggested in "Sustainable Sand Mining & Management 2016" and in this documents, as applicable are part of the clearance conditions.
- vii) There shall be no river bed mining operation allowed in monsoon




Ryesh

period. The period as defined by IMD Nagpur for each state shall be adhered with.

- viii) The monitoring infrastructures including weighbridge and adequate fencing of the lease area, CCTV, Transport permits, etc, as suggested in this document shall be ensured in order to reduce unrecorded dispatch.
- ix) Regular monitoring of mined minerals and its transportation and storage shall be ensured and all information shall be captured at centralized database so that easy tracking of illegal material can be done.
- x) Annual audit of each mining lease shall be carried out wherein three independent member of repute, nominated by District administration shall also participate.

8.2 Mining of Sand from Agricultural Fields

This practice is prevalent in Haryana; to ensure that mining from outside doesn't affect rivers, no mining is permitted in an area up to a width of 100 meters from the active edge of embankments or distance prescribed by Irrigation department whichever is critical. The top layer of soil varying between 1 and 2 meters is removed and stacked separately and thereafter the sand deposit which maybe 10-15 meter deep is mined. After removing the sand layer up to a maximum depth of 09 meters or the maximum mineable minerals, as permitted by competent authority. The topsoil stacked is spread out on the field and the same is brought under the cultivation. Though the level of this land (mined out area) is lowered to the depth of the excavation and in initial years of cultivation the productivity is low, but the productivity of the fields improves with continued cultivation and addition of organic manure in the field. In Haryana, some leases are of large area



Ryush

Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining

Step 10	Field Survey for identification, monitoring and verification of ecological species based on the information available and documents mentioned in the Pre-requisite section.
Step 11	Preparation of inventory of machinery used/observed on the field (optional)
Step 12	Preparation of inventory of hydraulic structures observed on the field (optional)
Step 13	Water sampling for assessment of water quality including physical and biological parameters. (optional)
Step 14	Reconciliation collation of data/information and compilation to maintain violation.
Step 15	Identification of restoration plan and computation of cost of the restoration plan.

9.4 Monitoring Mechanism

A uniform monitoring mechanism is required to assess the regulatory provision in quantitative terms, with robust institutional and legal framework. Based on past experience and suggestions available, the following requirements are suggested for defining a mechanism for monitoring of mining activities which will help in identification of mining which is operating either illegally or are violating the regulatory provisions. Some suggestion will facilitate direct or indirect information to help in such an assessment.

1. All precaution shall be taken to ensure that the water stream flows unhindered and process of Natural river meandering doesn't get affected due to mining activity.
2. River mining from outside shall not affect rivers, no mining shall be permitted in an area up to a width of 100 meters from the active edge of embankments or distance prescribed by the Irrigation department.



Ryssh

3. The mining from the area outside river bed shall be permitted subject to the condition that a safety margin of two meters (2 m) shall be maintained above the groundwater table while undertaking mining and no mining operation shall be permissible below this level unless specific permission is obtained from the Competent Authority. Further, the mining should not exceed nine-meter (9 m) at any point in time.
4. Survey shall be carried out for identifying the stretches having habitation of freshwater turtles or turtle nesting zones. Similarly, stretches shall be identified for other species of significant importance to the river ecosystem. Such stretch with adequate buffer distance shall be declared as no-mining zone and no mining shall be permitted. The regulatory authority as defined for granting Environmental Clearance, while considering the application of issuance of ToR and/or EC for the adjacent block (to non-mining zone) of mining shall take due precaution and impose requisite conditions to safeguard the interest of such species of importance.
5. District administration shall provide detailed information on its website about the sand mines in its district for public information, with an objective to extend all information in public domain so that the citizens are aware of the mining activities and can also report to the district administration on any deviation observed. Appropriate feedback and its redressal mechanism shall also be made operational. The details shall include, but not limited to, lease area, geo-coordinates of lease area and mineable area, transport routes, permitted capacity, regulatory conditions for operation including mining, environmental and social commitments etc.



Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining

6. A website needs to be maintain to track the movement of centralised sand mining and a Centralised server system should be made to manage the data related to sand mining across India.
7. The mineral concession holders shall maintain electronic weighbridges at the appropriate location identified by the district mining officer, in order to ensure that all mined minerals from that particular mine are accounted for before the material is dispatched from the mine. The weighing bridge shall have the provision of CCTV camera and all dispatch from the mine shall be accounted for.
8. The mineral movement shall be monitored and controlled through the use of transit permit with security features like printing on IBA approved MICR papers, Unique bar/QR, fugitive ink background, invisible ink mark, void pantographs and watermarks papers or through use of RFID tagged transit permits and IT /IT-enabled services. Such monitoring system shall be created and made operationalised by State Mining department and district level mining officer shall be responsible for ensuring that all legal and operational mines are connected and providing the requisite information on the system. Regular check and associated report shall be submitted to DLTF and uploaded on the website.
9. State Government shall constitute a District Level Task Force (DLTF) under the Chairmanship of Deputy Commissioner/District Magistrate/Collector with Superintendents of Police and other related senior functionaries (District Forest Officer, District transport officer, Regional officer- SPCBs, Senior Officer of Irrigation Department, District Mining Officer) with one/two independent member nominated by the Commissioner concerned. The independent member shall be retired government officials/teacher or ex-serviceman or ex-judiciary member.

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate


Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021

Commissioner
of Uttarakhand

MAINITAL

No. 54602

Dated 26/12/23



Rajesh



सरकारी गजट, उत्तराखण्ड

उत्तराखण्ड सरकार द्वारा प्रकाशित

असाधारण

विधायी परिशिष्ट
भाग-4, खण्ड (क)
(सामान्य परिनियम नियम)

देहरादून, शुक्रवार, 16 जून, 2023 ई0
ज्येष्ठ 26, 1945 शक सम्वत्

उत्तराखण्ड शासन
औद्योगिक विकास अनुभाग-1

संख्या 977/VII-A-1/2023-24 ख/2007
देहरादून, 16 जून, 2023

अधिसूचना

सा0प0नि0-16

राज्यपाल, खान एवं खनिज (विकास और विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1957 (केन्द्रीय अधिनियम संख्या 67, वर्ष 1957) की धारा 15 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करके उत्तराखण्ड राज्य के परिप्रेक्ष्य में उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 तथा इस विषय पर विद्यमान समस्त नियमों एवं आदेशों को अतिक्रमित करते हुए उत्तराखण्ड उप-खनिज (परिहार) नियमावली-2023 को निम्नवत प्रख्यापित किये जाने की सहर्ष स्वीकृति प्रदान करते हैं, अर्थात् :-

उत्तराखण्ड उप-खनिज (परिहार) नियमावली-2023
अध्याय-1
प्रारम्भिक



1. संक्षिप्त, शीर्षक्रम, प्रसार, प्रारम्भ और प्रयुक्ति:-

- (1) यह नियमावली उत्तराखण्ड उप-खनिज (परिहार) नियमावली, 2023 (Uttarakhand Minor Minerals (Concession) Rules, 2023 कहलायेगी।
- (2) इनका प्रसार समस्त उत्तराखण्ड में होगा।
- (3) यह गजट में प्रकाशित होने के दिनांक से प्रचलित होगी।

Ruysh

- (4) यह राज्य में उपलब्ध समस्त उपखनिजों पर प्रवृत्त होगी।
- (5) यह नियमावली राज्य सरकार द्वारा सरकारी विभागों, सरकारी निगमों या कानूनी निगमों से खनन कार्य को कराने के अधिकार को प्रभावित नहीं करेगी।
2. परिभाषाएँ :- जब तक की प्रसंग द्वारा अन्यथा अपेक्षित न हो, इस नियमावली में :
- (1) "अधिनियम" का तात्पर्य माइन्स एण्ड मिनरल्स (डेवलपमेन्ट एण्ड रेगुलेशन) एक्ट, 1957 (एक्ट संख्या 67 आफ 1957) समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित से है।
- (क) "समिति" का तात्पर्य जिस क्षेत्र में खनिज क्षेत्र स्थित है, उस जनपद के उप जिलाधिकारी की अध्यक्षता में खनिज क्षेत्रों के चिन्हीकरण/निरीक्षण हेतु गठित समिति से है।
- (ख) "जिला खनन समिति" का तात्पर्य जिलाधिकारी की अध्यक्षता में गठित समिति, जिसमें प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, जिला खान अधिकारी, सम्भागीय परिवहन अधिकारी, पुलिस अधीक्षक तथा अधिशासी अभियन्ता, सिंचाई विभाग सदस्य होंगे, से अभिप्रेत है।
- (ग) "महानिदेशक" का तात्पर्य महानिदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय उत्तराखण्ड से है।
- (घ) "निदेशक" का तात्पर्य निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय उत्तराखण्ड से है।
- (2) "जिलाधिकारी" से तात्पर्य उस जिले के कलेक्टर से है, जिसमें भूमि स्थित हैं।
- (क) "जिला खान अधिकारी" से तात्पर्य उस जिले के खान अधिकारी से है, जिसमें भूमि स्थित है।
- (3) "प्रपत्र" का तात्पर्य इस नियमावली के तृतीय अनुसूची में दिये गये प्रपत्र से है।
- (क) "स्वस्थाने चट्टान किस्म के खनिज निक्षेप" का तात्पर्य चट्टानों के रूप में पाये जाने वाले खनिज जैसे सोपस्टोन, सिलिका सैण्ड, बैराईट, डोलोमाईट, स्लेट, क्वार्ट्जाईट, पत्थर, जिप्सम आदि समस्त उपखनिज से है, जो अपनी उत्पत्ति के स्थान से विस्थापित न हुआ हो।
- (4) "खनन और स्वामी" के वही अर्थ होंगे, जो माइन्स एक्ट 1952 (एक्ट सं0 35, 1952) में दिये गये हैं।
- (5) "खनन सक्रियाओं" का तात्पर्य किसी उप खनिज को लब्ध करने के प्रयोजन के लिये की गई सक्रियाओं (operation) से है।
- (6) "खनन पट्टा" का तात्पर्य उस खनन पट्टे (Mining Lease) से है, जो इन नियमों के अधीन एक नियत अवधि हेतु उप-खनिजों के विदोहन हेतु पर्यावरणीय अनुमति एवं अन्य वांछित अनुमतियाँ प्राप्ति के उपरान्त शासन अथवा शासन द्वारा प्राधिकृत अधिकारी द्वारा स्वीकृत किया गया हो।
- (7) "खनन अनुज्ञा-पत्र" का तात्पर्य उस अनुज्ञा-पत्र (परमिट) से है, जो इन नियमों के अधीन अनुज्ञा-पत्र में नियत अवधि के भीतर उप-खनिजों की निर्दिष्ट मात्रा को निकालने के लिये दिया गया हो।
- (8) "उप-खनिज" का तात्पर्य इमारती पत्थर (Building stone), बालू (Sand), बजरी (gravel), बोल्टर (Boulder), आर0बी0एम0 (बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर मिश्रित अवस्था में), मामूली मृदा (clay) नियत प्रयोजनों के लिये प्रयुक्त होने वाली बालू (Sand) से भिन्न मामूली बालू, खनिज सोपस्टोन, सिलिका सैण्ड, बैराईट, स्लेट अथवा किसी ऐसे खनिज से है, जिसे केन्द्र सरकार ने समय-समय पर घोषित किया है या जिसके उप-खनिज होने के बारे में माइन्स एण्ड मिनरल्स (रेगुलेशन एण्ड डेवलपमेन्ट) एक्ट, 1957 (1957 की एक्ट संख्या 67) की धारा-3 के खण्ड (ड़) के अधीन सरकारी गजट में विज्ञप्ति द्वारा घोषित करे।
- (क) "खनिमुख मूल्य" का तात्पर्य खनिमुख पर मूल्य या उत्पादन के बिन्दु पर उपखनिज के विक्रय-मूल्य से है।



Rajesh


- (9) "रेलवे" और "रेलवे के प्रशासन" के क्रमशः वही अर्थ होंगे, जो उनके लिये इण्डियन रेलवेज एक्ट, 1890 (एक्ट संख्या 9, 1890) में दिये गये हैं।
- (10) "River Bed Material (आर0बी0एम0)" नदी/नाला/गंधेरा में अवस्थित या लगी हुई भूमि में उपलब्ध रेता, बजरी, बोल्टर, मिश्रित अवस्था में या पृथक-पृथक अवस्था में उपलब्ध हो, से तात्पर्य है।
- (11) "नदी तल उपखनिज क्षेत्रों हेतु खनन सत्र" का तात्पर्य वर्षाकाल के उपरान्त 01 अक्टूबर से 30 जून तक की अवधि से है।
- (12) "स्वस्थानों (In-Situ) चट्टानों एवं नदी तल से भिन्न उपखनिज क्षेत्रों हेतु खनन सत्र" का तात्पर्य 01 अप्रैल से 31 मार्च तक की अवधि से है।
- (13) पर्वतीय क्षेत्र:- पर्वतीय क्षेत्र के अन्तर्गत जिला उत्तरकाशी, चमोली, रुद्रप्रयाग, बागेश्वर एवं पिथौरागढ़, टिहरी गढ़वाल (तहसील नरेन्द्रनगर का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर), पौड़ी गढ़वाल (तहसील कोटद्वार का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर), अल्मोडा (सम्पूर्ण भाग), चम्पावत (तहसील पूर्णागिरी का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर), नैनीताल (तहसील हल्द्वानी, कालाढूंगी, रामनगर का मैदानी क्षेत्र छोड़कर), देहरादून (तहसील ऋषिकेश, डोईवाला, देहरादून, विकासनगर और कालसी का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर) सम्मिलित हैं।
- (14) मैदानी क्षेत्र:- मैदानी क्षेत्र के अन्तर्गत जिला टिहरी गढ़वाल (नरेन्द्रनगर का मैदानी भाग), पौड़ी गढ़वाल (तहसील कोटद्वार का मैदानी भाग), चम्पावत (तहसील पूर्णागिरी का मैदानी भाग), नैनीताल (तहसील हल्द्वानी, कालाढूंगी, रामनगर का मैदानी क्षेत्र), देहरादून (तहसील ऋषिकेश, डोईवाला, देहरादून, विकासनगर और कालसी का मैदानी भाग), हरिद्वार एवं उधमसिंहनगर के सम्पूर्ण भाग सम्मिलित हैं।
- (15) "चुगान" का तात्पर्य नदी के जल प्रवाह को नदी के मध्य में केन्द्रित करने हेतु नदी द्वारा निक्षेपित/जमा उपखनिज बालू, बजरी व बोल्टर का मानव शक्ति से निकासी से है।
- (16) "अनुसूची" का तात्पर्य इस नियमावली से संलग्न अनुसूची से है।
- (17) "राज्य और "राज्य सरकार" का तात्पर्य क्रमशः उत्तराखण्ड राज्य और उत्तराखण्ड सरकार से है।
- (18) "परिवार" का तात्पर्य माता, पिता, पति, पत्नी, पुत्र, भाई, अविवाहित पुत्री व अविवाहित बहन से है।
- (19) "शब्द" और "पद" जो परिभाषित नहीं हैं, परन्तु साधारण खण्ड अधिनियम, 1897 में परिभाषित हैं, के वही अर्थ होंगे, जो उनके लिए उक्त अधिनियम में दिये गये हैं।

3. खनन संक्रियायें, खनन पट्टे या खनन अनुज्ञा-पत्र के अधीन होगी:-

- (1) कोई व्यक्ति राज्य के भीतर किसी क्षेत्र में ऐसे उप-खनिज की, जिस पर यह नियमावली प्रयोज्य हो, इस नियमावली के अधीन दिये गये खनन पट्टे या खनन अनुज्ञा पत्र की शर्तों और प्रतिबन्धों के अधीन और उनके अनुसार के अतिरिक्त कोई खनन संक्रियायें न कर सकेगा।

प्रतिबन्ध यह है कि किसी बात का प्रभाव इस नियमावली में प्रारम्भ होने से पूर्व यथाविधि दिये गये खनन पट्टा या अनुज्ञा-पत्र की शर्तों तथा प्रतिबन्धों के अनुरूप की गई खनन सक्रियाओं पर न पड़ेगा।

- (2) कोई खनन पट्टा या खनन अनुज्ञा-पत्र इस नियमावली के उपबन्धों से भिन्न प्रकार न दिया जायेगा।



Rajesh

लिए प्रवेश करने की अनुमति देगा और पट्टेदार ऐसे उपयुक्त व्यक्ति के साथ, जो पट्टेदार द्वारा सेवायोजित किया गया हो तथा जो खानों और खनिकर्म से परिचित हो, उक्त अधिकारी, अभिकर्ताओं, सेवकों और कर्मचारी (workman) को प्रत्येक ऐसे निरीक्षण करने में प्रभावपूर्ण रूप से सहायता देगा और उन्हे खानों की कार्यप्रणाली (working) से संबंध सभी सुविधायें व सूचना देगा, जिनकी वे उचित रूप में अपेक्षा करें और ऐसी सभी आज्ञाओं तथा विनियमों के अनुसार कार्य भी करेगा और उनका पालन करेगा, जिन्हे केन्द्रीय सरकार या राज्य सरकार ऐसे निरीक्षण के फलस्वरूप या अन्य प्रकार से समय-समय पर देना या बनाना उचित समझें।

42- पट्टेदार, दुर्घटना का प्रतिवेदन देगा :-

पट्टेदार अचिलम्ब जिला अधिकारी एवं जिला खान अधिकारी को प्रत्येक ऐसी दुर्घटना का प्रतिवेदन भेजेगा, जो पट्टे के अधीन किन्ही संक्रियाओं के दौरान हो जाये और जिसके कारण मृत्यु हो जाये या गंभीर शारीरिक चोट पहुंचें या सम्पत्ति को गंभीर क्षति पहुंचें या जिससे जीवन या सम्पत्ति पर गंभीर प्रभाव पड़े या वह संकट में पड़ जाये।

43- पट्टेदार आधुनिक तौल मशीन एवं सी०सी०टी०वी० कैमरा आदि की व्यवस्था करेगा :-

(क) पट्टाधारक के द्वारा चुगान/खनन पट्टा क्षेत्र के गेट पर कम्प्यूटाइज्ड धर्मकांटा एवं वाहनों के प्रवेश व निकासी पर निगरानी के लिये स्वयं के व्यय पर 360 डिग्रीकोण पर दृश्यता रिकार्डिंग के योग्य आधुनिक आई०पी० बेस्ड सी०सी०टी०वी० कैमरा लगाने सहित चैक पोस्ट/गेट का निर्माण करेगा। पट्टाधारक उक्त चैक पोस्ट/गेट पर आर०एफ० आई०डी० स्कैनर भी रखेगा, जिससे सम्बन्धित पट्टा क्षेत्र से उपखनिजों के परिवहन हेतु प्रयुक्त प्रत्येक यान के सापेक्ष निर्गत किये गये ई-स्वन्ना प्रपत्र एम०एम०-11 पर अंकित बारकोड का डाटा पढ़ने व सुरक्षित रखने की सुविधा होगी और उसका समुचित रूप से रख-रखाव करेगा एवं सदैव उसे चालू रूप में अनुरक्षित रखेगा। पट्टाधारक उक्त सी०सी०टी०वी० कैमरे और आर०एफ०आई०डी० स्कैनरों द्वारा की गयी समस्त रिकार्डिंग को कम से कम 30 दिनों तक सुरक्षित रखेगा और नियम-66 के उपबन्धों के अधीन प्राधिकृत अधिकारी के द्वारा रिकार्ड मांगे जाने पर उक्त रिकार्डिंग को उपलब्ध करायेगा। पट्टाधारक द्वारा उक्त के अनुपालन के सम्बन्ध में समय-समय पर राज्य सरकार द्वारा जारी दिशा-निर्देशों/आदेशों का कड़ाई से अनुपालन सुनिश्चित किया जायेगा।

(ख) पट्टेदार/अनुज्ञापत्र धारक के द्वारा स्वीकृत खनन क्षेत्र के प्रवेश/निकासी गेट पर स्वीकृत खनन क्षेत्र का पूर्ण विवरण यथा पट्टाधारक का नाम एवं पता, सम्पर्क/दूरभाष नम्बर, स्वीकृत क्षेत्रफल, स्वीकृति आदेश की संख्या एवं दिनांक, स्वीकृत पट्टावधि, खनिज का प्रकार, प्रतिवर्ष निकासी की स्वीकृत मात्रा एवं खनिमुख पर खनिज के विक्रय मूल्य की दर को प्रदर्शित करेगा।

44- उत्तराखण्ड पर्यावरण संरक्षण एवं प्रदूषण नियंत्रण बोर्ड से अनुमति:

पट्टाधारक द्वारा खनन पट्टा क्षेत्र में खनन संक्रियाओं हेतु उत्तराखण्ड पर्यावरण संरक्षण एवं प्रदूषण नियंत्रण बोर्ड से Consent to establish एवं Consent to operate की अनुमति प्राप्त किया जाना अपरिहार्य होगा।

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021

Dist. Commissioner

High Court of Uttarakhand

A. NAINITAL

No. 84602

26/12/23



Ayush

क्रम संख्या-151

पंजीकरण संख्या-यू0ए0/डी0ओ0/डी0डी0ए0/30/2015-17



सरकारी गजट, उत्तराखण्ड

उत्तराखण्ड सरकार द्वारा प्रकाशित

असाधारण

विधायी परिशिष्ट

भाग-4, खण्ड (ख)

(परिनियत आदेश)

देहरादून, शुक्रवार, 30 सितम्बर, 2016 ई०

आश्विन 08, 1938 शक सम्वत्

उत्तराखण्ड शासन

औद्योगिक विकास अनुभाग-1

संख्या 1561/VII-1/80-ख/2016

देहरादून, 30 सितम्बर, 2016

कार्यालय ज्ञाप


प० आ०-136

उत्तराखण्ड राज्य में विभिन्न प्रकार के खनिजों का दोहन आधुनिक एवं वैज्ञानिक विधि से सुनिश्चित करने, पर्यावरण एवं पारिस्थितिकी का संरक्षण करने, बेरोजगारों को रोजगार उपलब्ध कराने, खनिजों से राजस्व में वृद्धि करने तथा अवैध खनन/अवैध परिवहन की रोकथाम एवं प्रभावी नियंत्रण सुनिश्चित करने हेतु राज्य के आर्थिक एवं औद्योगिक विकास की पूर्ति एवं मानव संसाधनों को प्रोत्साहित करने के उद्देश्य से शासन के कार्यालय ज्ञाप संख्या-1033/VII-1/2015/146-ख/2010 दिनांक 31 जुलाई, 2015 द्वारा उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज (बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर, ईट आदि) नीति, 2015 का प्रख्यापन किया गया था। वर्तमान में उपखनिजों के बालू, बजरी एवं बोल्टर के चुगान कार्य के सरलीकरण हेतु इस संबंध में विद्यमान नीति और आदेशों को अतिक्रमित करते हुए खनिज विकास एवं राजस्व हित में राज्यपाल निम्नवत् उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज (बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर) चुगान नीति, 2016 प्रख्यापित किये जाने की सहर्ष स्वीकृति प्रदान करते हैं :-

उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज (बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर) चुगान नीति, 2016

- संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ
- (1) इस नीति का संक्षिप्त नाम उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज (बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर) चुगान नीति, 2016 है।
 - (2) यह तुरन्त प्रवृत्त होगी।


Ryush



परिभाषाएं

- 2 जब तक इस नीति में अन्य कोई बात अपेक्षित न हो—
- (क) "राज्यपाल" से उत्तराखण्ड का राज्यपाल अभिप्रेत है;
- (ख) "सरकार" से उत्तराखण्ड राज्य सरकार अभिप्रेत है;
- (ग) "आयुक्त" से किसी मण्डल के राजस्व प्रशासन का मुख्य भारधारक अधिकारी अभिप्रेत है;
- (घ) "कलेक्टर" से किसी जिले के राजस्व प्रशासन का मुख्य भार साधक अधिकारी अभिप्रेत है;
- (ङ) "निदेशक" से अभिप्रेत निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई, उद्योग निदेशालय, उत्तराखण्ड से है;
- (च) "निदेशक द्वारा प्राधिकृत अधिकारी" से अभिप्रेत जनपद स्तर पर तैनात सहायक भूवैज्ञानिक/खान अधिकारी, उप निदेशक/भूवैज्ञानिक/उप निदेशक/ज्येष्ठ खान अधिकारी से है;
- (छ) "स्थानीय अधिकारी" से अभिप्रेत नगर पंचायत, नगर पालिका, नगर निगम और जिला बोर्ड का निकाय या अन्य प्राधिकारी जो क्रमशः नगर पंचायत, नगर पालिका, नगर निगम और जिला पंचायत के नियंत्रण या प्रबन्ध का सरकार द्वारा न्यस्त है;
- (ज) "व्यक्ति" के अन्तर्गत कोई कम्पनी या संगम या व्यक्ति निकाय, चाहे निगमित हो या नहीं सम्मिलित है;
- (झ) पर्वतीय क्षेत्र : पर्वतीय क्षेत्र के अन्तर्गत जिला उत्तराकाशी, चमोली, रुद्रप्रयाग, बागेश्वर एवं पिथौरागढ़, जिला टिहरी गढ़वाल (तहसील नरेन्द्रनगर का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर), पौड़ी गढ़वाल (तहसील कोटद्वार का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर), अल्मोडा (सम्पूर्ण भाग), चम्पावत (तहसील पूर्णागिरी का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर), जिला नैनीताल (तहसील हल्द्वानी, कालादूंगी, रामनगर का मैदानी क्षेत्र छोड़कर), जिला देहरादून (तहसील ऋषिकेश, डोईवाला, देहरादून, विकासनगर और कालसी का मैदानी भाग छोड़कर) सम्मिलित है;
- (ट) मैदानी क्षेत्र :- मैदानी क्षेत्र के अन्तर्गत जिला टिहरी गढ़वाल (नरेन्द्रनगर का मैदानी भाग), पौड़ी गढ़वाल (तहसील कोटद्वार का मैदानी भाग), चम्पावत (तहसील पूर्णागिरी का मैदानी भाग), जिला नैनीताल (तहसील हल्द्वानी, कालादूंगी, रामनगर का मैदानी क्षेत्र), जिला देहरादून (तहसील ऋषिकेश, डोईवाला, देहरादून, विकासनगर और कालसी का मैदानी भाग), जिला हरिद्वार एवं जिला उधमसिंहनगर के सम्पूर्ण भाग सम्मिलित है;
- (ठ) "चुगान" का तात्पर्य नदी के जल प्रवाह को नदी के मध्य में केन्द्रित करने हेतु नदी द्वारा निक्षेपित/जमा उपखनिज बालू बजरी बोल्टर, का मानव शक्ति से निकासी ;
- (ड) "चुगान वर्ष" का तात्पर्य वर्षाकाल के उपरान्त 01 अक्टूबर से 30 जून तक की अवधि का है;
- (ढ) "शब्द" और "पद" जो परिभाषित नहीं है, परन्तु साधारण खण्ड अधिनियम, 1897 में परिभाषित है, के वही अर्थ होंगे, जो उनके लिए उक्त अधिनियम में दिये गये हैं;
- (क) उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में निक्षेपित उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर की अधिकतम मात्रा वही मानी जायेगी, जो पर्यावरणीय स्वीकृति में निर्धारित की गयी है अथवा भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म विभाग द्वारा आंगणित की गयी है। उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में निक्षेपित उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर की आंगणित मात्रा पर देय रायल्टी की धनराशि व अपरिहार्य भाटक धनराशि (Dead rent) का आंकलन संबंधित जनपद के निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा प्राधिकृत विभागीय अधिकारी द्वारा किया जायेगा।

खनिज की मात्रा 3 का आंकलन



Rajesh

- (ख) राजस्व नदी उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में सम्बन्धित निगम के द्वारा प्रत्येक वर्ष वर्षा काल के उपरान्त रेपिड सर्वे (Rapid Survey) भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई के अधिकारियों के साथ करने के उपरान्त संबंधित चुगान क्षेत्र में निकासी योग्य उपखनिज की मात्रा घोषित करेगा। उक्त घोषित मात्रा को उक्त चुगान क्षेत्र को निविदा प्रणाली से राज्य के स्थानीय व्यक्ति/संस्थाओं को आवंटित किये जाने हेतु निविदित आधार मात्रा माना जायेगा।
- (ग) निगमों द्वारा छोड़े गये लाटों को जनपद के निजी व्यक्तियों/संस्थाओं को निविदा प्रणाली के माध्यम से आवंटन किये जाने हेतु ई०आई०ए० की मात्रा का 50 प्रतिशत आधार मात्रा (Tender Base Quantity) होगा।
- (घ) नदी तल स्थित नाप भूमि चुगान क्षेत्रों में चुगान वर्ष हेतु पर्यावरणीय अनुमति में निर्धारित की गयी उपखनिज की मात्रा अथवा भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म विभाग के द्वारा आंगणित की गयी मात्रा चुगान हेतु मान्य होगी।
- (ङ) पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों हेतु रायल्टी दर तत्समय निर्धारित न्यूनतम रायल्टी दर का 50 प्रतिशत लागू होगा।
- (च) नदी चुगान क्षेत्रों अथवा नदी क्षेत्र के इतर चुगान क्षेत्रों से उप खनिज की निकासी अधिकतम 1.5 मी० की गहराई या under ground water table जो भी न्यून हो, तक चुगान किया जायेगा।

चुगान पट्टे
/अनुज्ञा हेतु
आवेदन

4. निगमों एवं निजी व्यक्तियों के द्वारा चुगान पट्टे हेतु आवेदन उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 (समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित) के नियम-6 में निर्धारित प्रारूप प्रपत्र एम०एम०-1 में तथा अल्प अवधि के अनुज्ञा हेतु आवेदन नियम-52 में निर्धारित प्रपत्र एम०एम०-8 में सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में चार प्रतियों में आवश्यक संलग्नकों सहित प्रस्तुत किया जायेगा।

चुगान पट्टे/
अनुज्ञा हेतु
आवेदन शुल्क

5. चुगान पट्टे हेतु आवेदन शुल्क ₹ 1,00,000/- तथा अल्प अवधि के चुगान अनुज्ञा हेतु आवेदन शुल्क ₹ 5000/- होगा, जो ट्रेजरी चालान के माध्यम से निर्धारित लेखाशीर्षक-0853 अलौह धातु खनन एवं धातुकर्म उद्योग में सम्बन्धित जनपद के कोषागार में आवेदक द्वारा जमा कराया जाना होगा।

उपखनिज क्षेत्रों के
चिन्हीकरण हेतु
समिति

6. (क) नदी तल उपखनिज क्षेत्रों के चिन्हीकरण एवं स्थलीय संयुक्त निरीक्षण हेतु निम्नानुसार जनपद स्तर पर समिति का गठन किया जाता है:-

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1- उपजिलाधिकारी | - अध्यक्ष। |
| 2- प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी के प्रतिनिधि | - सदस्य। |
| 3- सिंचाई विभाग के सहायक अभियन्ता | - सदस्य। |
| 4- भूवैज्ञानिक | - सदस्य। |
| 5- खान अधिकारी | - सदस्य सचिव। |

उप खनिज क्षेत्रों में
उप खनिज की
मात्रा के
आंकलन/सत्यापन
हेतु समिति

- (ख) प्रत्येक वर्ष वर्षाकाल के समाप्ति के उपरान्त 15 सितम्बर से 30 सितम्बर तक समस्त उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में निक्षेपित उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर की मात्रा का आंकलन/सत्यापन एवं स्थलीय निरीक्षण हेतु निम्नानुसार गठित समिति द्वारा किया जायेगा :-

- | | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| 1- उपजिलाधिकारी | - अध्यक्ष। |
| 2- भूवैज्ञानिक/खान अधिकारी | - सदस्य। |

उक्त समिति द्वारा संयुक्त निरीक्षण आख्या सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी को 30 सितम्बर तक उपलब्ध करायी जायेगी।



Rajesh

(ग) समिति द्वारा उपखनिज रेत, बजरी एवं बोल्टर के आंकलन के उपरान्त पट्टाधारकों के द्वारा निम्नवत सूचना भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म कार्यालय में उपलब्ध कराया जाना आवश्यक होगा :-

क. चुगान स्थल का सैटेलाइट फोटोग्राफ (Satellite Photograph)।

ख. जी0पी0एस0 लोकेशन कोर्डिनेट्स।

ग. जमा आर0बी0एम0 का आधुनिक उपकरण जैसे ड्रोन से लिया गया फोटोग्राफ।

उक्तानुसार कार्यवाही में आने वाला व्यय संबंधित निगम एवं संबंधित निजी पट्टाधारक द्वारा किया जायेगा।

उप खनिजों की निकासी हेतु न्यूनतम निर्धारित शुल्क

7 खनिजों की निकासी पर निगम एवं निजी पट्टाधारकों द्वारा निम्नलिखित शुल्क समान रूप से देय होंगे :- उपखनिज की रायल्टी दर, स्टाम्प शुल्क, रिवर ट्रेनिंग, विकास शुल्क एवं क्षतिपूर्ति। इस संबंध में शासन द्वारा पृथक से आदेश निर्गत किये जायेंगे। निगम/निजी व्यक्ति उक्त निर्धारित मर्दों के अतिरिक्त अन्य व्यय, जो निगम/निजी व्यक्ति उचित समझे, व्यापार कर/आयकर के साथ जोड़ कर प्रतिस्पर्धात्मक दृष्टि से निर्धारित किये जाने हेतु स्वतंत्र होंगे।

राजस्व भूमि/निजी भूमि के खनन पट्टा/अनुज्ञाधारक रिवर ट्रेनिंग, विकास शुल्क एवं क्षतिपूर्ति की धनराशि जिला खनिज फाउण्डेशन में जमा की जायेगी। वन क्षेत्रों हेतु वन संरक्षण अधिनियम, 1980 के अधीन प्राप्त अनुमति में उल्लिखित दिशा-निर्देशों के अनुसार जमा की जायेगी।

निगमों हेतु राजस्व नदी उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में चुगान की प्रक्रिया

8 (क) राज्य के राजस्व नदी उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में उपखनिज बालू, बजरी एवं बोल्टर के चुगान हेतु पट्टे गढ़वाल क्षेत्र में गढ़वाल मण्डल विकास निगम, कुमाऊं मण्डल क्षेत्र में कुमाऊं मण्डल विकास निगम को तथा वन क्षेत्र में प्रवाहित होने वाले नदी तल के उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में उपखनिज के चुगान के पट्टे उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम को उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 के प्रावधानानुसार निर्धारित प्रपत्र एम0एम0-1 में निर्धारित आवेदन शुल्क सहित आवेदन करने के उपरान्त तथा ई0आई0ए0 नोटिफिकेशन, 2006 के अन्तर्गत पर्यावरणीय अनुमति व अन्य वांछित अनुमतियां प्राप्त होने के उपरान्त पांच वर्ष की अवधि हेतु निदेशक भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म की संस्तुति के उपरान्त शासन द्वारा स्वीकृत किये जायेंगे।

(ख) निगमों द्वारा प्रत्येक वर्ष आवंटित राजस्व लाटों में Rapid survey के उपरान्त आंकलित उपखनिज की निकासी योग्य मात्रा के आधार पर निविदा की कार्यवाही की जायेगी। आधार मात्रा के ऊपर अधिकतम मात्रा की निविदा देने वाले निविदादाता को सफल निविदादाता घोषित किया जायेगा। निविदादाता द्वारा निविदा में लगाई गई अधिकतम निविदा ई0आई0ए0 में निर्धारित मात्रा से अधिक नहीं होगी। यदि निविदा में दो या दो से अधिक निविदादाताओं के द्वारा समान मात्रा की निविदा लगाई जाती है तो उस दशा में सफल निविदादाता का चयन संबंधित निविदादाताओं की उपस्थिति में लॉटरी प्रक्रिया से किया जायेगा। निविदा (Tender) प्रक्रिया के माध्यम से निविदित मात्रा के चुगान का चयनित व्यक्ति/संस्था द्वारा वर्षा काल के उपरान्त चुगान सत्र 01 अक्टूबर से आगामी 30 जून तक कराया जायेगा। निविदा हेतु राज्य के ऐसे उद्यमी/व्यक्ति/संस्था पात्र होंगे, जिनको पूर्व में खनन पट्टा/स्टोन केशर/स्कीनिंग प्लान्ट/मण्डारण अनुज्ञा स्वीकृत किया गया हो।

प्रतिबन्ध यह होगा कि मण्डारण अनुज्ञाधारक के पास कम से कम 5000 टन मण्डारण क्षमता के संचालन का न्यूनतम एक वर्ष का अनुभव तथा खनन पट्टाधारक के पक्ष में स्वीकृत खनन पट्टा संचालन का 01 वर्ष का अनुभव हो।



Riyush

- (ग) निगमों के द्वारा राजस्व चुगान क्षेत्रों को निविदा प्रक्रिया से आवंटन हेतु कार्यवाही 21 दिन की विज्ञप्ति स्थानीय समाचार पत्रों में प्रकाशित कर की जायेगी। प्रथम विज्ञप्ति के उपरान्त चुगान क्षेत्रों के आवंटन न होने पर दूसरी विज्ञप्ति एक सप्ताह के लिये प्रकाशित की जायेगी। दूसरी विज्ञप्ति के उपरान्त भी यदि चुगान क्षेत्र निविदा पर आवंटित नहीं हो पाता है, तो उस दशा में चुगान का कार्य स्वयं निगम के द्वारा किया जायेगा।
- (घ) चुगान वर्ष में निविदित मात्रा की निकासी हो जाने पर यदि सफल निविदादाता अथवा निगम द्वारा और अधिक मात्रा निकालने का अनुरोध करने पर जनपद स्तर पर गठित समिति की संस्तुति पर जिलाधिकारी अधिकतम पर्यावरणीय अनुमति में निर्धारित मात्रा तक अवशेष खनिज की मात्रा पर देय रायल्टी धनराशि सफल निविदादाता अथवा निगम से अग्रिम रूप से जमा कराने के उपरान्त अवशेष अवधि हेतु अनुमति प्रदान की जायेगी, जिसकी सूचना निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई को प्रेषित की जायेगी।
- (ङ) निगमों के द्वारा स्वीकृत क्षेत्र में चुगान कार्य करने से पूर्व एवं चुगान समाप्ति के समय का आधुनिक उपकरण जैसे ड्रोन से लिये गये फोटोग्राफ की प्रति भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई एवं सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में जमा कराया जाना आवश्यक होगा।

निगमों द्वारा छोड़े गये राजस्व/यन नदी तल उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में चुगान की प्रक्रिया

9 निगमों द्वारा छोड़े गये लाटों की गठित समिति की संयुक्त निरीक्षण आख्या के आधार पर पर्यावरणीय स्वीकृति भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा करायी जायेगी। तदोपरान्त निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा घोषित चुगान लाटों को संबंधित जनपद के जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा निविदा (Tender) प्रक्रिया के माध्यम से जनपद के स्थानीय व्यक्तियों/संस्थाओं को चुगान वर्ष 01 अक्टूबर से 30 जून तक के लिये आवंटित किया जायेगा।

जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा चुगान क्षेत्रों को निविदा प्रक्रिया से आवंटन हेतु कार्यवाही 21 दिन की विज्ञप्ति स्थानीय समाचार पत्रों में प्रकाशित कर की जायेगी। प्रथम विज्ञप्ति के उपरान्त चुगान क्षेत्रों के आवंटन न होने पर दूसरी विज्ञप्ति एक सप्ताह के लिये प्रकाशित की जायेगी। दूसरी विज्ञप्ति के उपरान्त भी यदि चुगान क्षेत्र टेण्डर पर आवंटित नहीं हो पाता है, तो उस दशा में संबंधित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा उक्त चुगान क्षेत्र में निक्षेपित उपखनिज की मात्रा का पुनः आंकलन कराये जाने हेतु निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई को कारण सहित सूचित करेगा। निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा उक्त चुगान क्षेत्र के सम्बन्ध में शासन को अवगत कराते हुये उक्त चुगान क्षेत्र में निक्षेपित उपखनिज का पुनः आंकलन कराते हुये सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी को पुनः निविदा के माध्यम से आवंटन हेतु सूचित करेगा।

चुगान वर्ष में निविदा में निर्धारित उपखनिज की मात्रा की निकासी के उपरान्त उक्त क्षेत्र में पट्टाधारक द्वारा अतिरिक्त जमा उपखनिज के चुगान हेतु अनुरोध करने पर उपजिलाधिकारी की अध्यक्षता में जनपद स्तरीय समिति की स्थलीय निरीक्षण आख्या के आधार पर अवशेष आंगणित उपखनिज की मात्रा पर देय रायल्टी धनराशि पट्टाधारक से अग्रिम रूप से जमा कराने के उपरान्त अवशेष अवधि हेतु अनुमति संबंधित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा प्रदान की जायेगी, जिसकी सूचना निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई को प्रेषित की जायेगी।

उक्त लाटों हेतु 01 चुगान वर्ष हेतु ई०आई०ए० अथवा भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा निर्धारित उपखनिज की मात्रा ही निकासी की अधिकतम मात्रा के रूप में मान्य होगी। सफल निविदादाता द्वारा स्वीकृत चुगान क्षेत्र में चुगान कार्य करने से पूर्व एवं उक्त



Rajesh

निजी व्यक्तियों को 10
नदी तल स्थित नाप
भूमि में चुगान की
प्रक्रिया

चुगान वर्ष में चुगान समाप्ति के समय का आधुनिक उपकरण जैसे ड्रोन से लिये गये फोटोग्राफ की प्रति भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई एवं सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में जमा कराया जाना आवश्यक होगा। उक्तानुसार कार्यवाही में आने वाला व्यय संबंधित सफल निविदादाता द्वारा स्वयं वहन किया जायेगा।

नदी तल से संबंधित निजी नाप भूमि में चुगान के पट्टे स्थानीय व्यक्तियों को उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 (समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित) के प्रावधानानुसार बिना विज्ञापिकरण के ई0आई0ए0 नोटिफिकेशन, 2006 के अन्तर्गत पर्यावरणीय अनुमति के उपरान्त चुगान वर्ष की अवधि (01 अक्टूबर से 30 जून तक) हेतु जिलाधिकारी एवं निदेशक भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की संस्तुति के आधार पर राज्य सरकार द्वारा स्वीकृत किये जायेंगे, जिसमें भूस्वामी अथवा भूस्वामी द्वारा सहमति प्राप्त आवेदक, जो उत्तराखण्ड राज्य का स्थायी निवासी हो तो उसे चुगान पट्टा दिया जायेगा। संबंधित चुगान लाट से निकासी हेतु अधिकतम मात्रा वही मान्य होगी, जो पर्यावरणीय अनुमति में निर्धारित की गयी हो अथवा भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई के अधिकारियों के द्वारा आंगणित की गयी हो। निकासी हेतु निर्धारित मात्रा पर देय रायल्टी की धनराशि का आंकलन निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा प्राधिकृत विभागीय अधिकारी के द्वारा किया जायेगा। पट्टाधारक के द्वारा चुगान वर्ष हेतु निर्धारित रायल्टी धनराशि का भुगतान जिलाधिकारी द्वारा निर्धारित किशतों में किया जायेगा।

निजी भूमि के पट्टों के संबंध में यदि भूमि अनुसूचित जाति/अनुसूचित जनजाति के व्यक्तियों की हैं, तो उक्त भूमि के पट्टे अनुसूचित जाति/अनुसूचित जनजाति के व्यक्तियों को ही आवंटित किये जायेंगे।

पट्टाधारक के द्वारा स्वीकृत चुगान क्षेत्र में चुगान कार्य करने से पूर्व एवं उक्त चुगान वर्ष में चुगान समाप्ति के समय का आधुनिक उपकरण जैसे ड्रोन से लिये गये फोटोग्राफ की प्रति भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई एवं सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में जमा कराया जाना आवश्यक होगा।

इस नीति के प्रख्यापन के पूर्व से स्वीकृत पट्टाधारकों के द्वारा प्रत्येक चुगान वर्ष के प्रारम्भ एवं समाप्ति में स्वीकृत चुगान पट्टा के संबंध में निम्नवत सूचना भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म कार्यालय में उपलब्ध कराया जाना आवश्यक होगा :-

क. चुगान स्थल का सैटेलाइट फोटोग्राफ (Satellite Photograph)।

ख. जी0पी0एस0 लोकेशन कोर्डिनेट्स।

ग. जमा आर0बी0एम0 का आधुनिक उपकरण जैसे ड्रोन से लिया गया फोटोग्राफ। पूर्व से स्वीकृत पट्टाधारकों के द्वारा उपरोक्त सूचना उपलब्ध न कराये जाने पर निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा प्राधिकृत विभागीय अधिकारी द्वारा ई-खन्ना निर्गत नहीं किया जायेगा।

इस नीति के प्रख्यापन से पूर्व निजी नाप भूमि में विभिन्न अवधियों हेतु स्वीकृत चुगान पट्टों का प्रत्येक वर्ष नवीनीकरण स्वीकृत अवधि तक जिलाधिकारी की संस्तुति पर निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा किया जायेगा।

चुगान पट्टे की 11 अवधि निगमों एवं निजी नाप भूमि में बालू, बजरी एवं बोल्टर के चुगान पट्टों की अवधि निम्नवत् रहेगी :-


(क) निगमों के लिए चुगान पट्टे की अवधि :- 05 वर्ष।

(ख) निगमों एवं निगमों द्वारा छोड़े गये लाटों पर निविदा के आधार पर लाट पर चुगान की अवधि :- 01 अक्टूबर से आगामी 30 जून तक।

(ग) निजी नाप भूमि में चुगान की अवधि :- 01 वर्ष।

परन्तु पूर्व से स्वीकृत निजी नाप भूमि के पट्टे स्वीकृत अवधि तक चलते रहेंगे।

चुगान अनुज्ञा की 12 अवधि उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 के नियम-51 के प्रावधानानुसार चुगान अनुज्ञा की अधिकतम अवधि 06 माह तक होगी।



Rajesh

- उपखनिज पर देय रायल्टी का निर्धारण 13 प्रत्येक चुगान क्षेत्र से निकाली किये गये उपखनिज पर रायल्टी का आंगणन उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 (समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित) के नियम-21 की प्रथम अनुसूची में निर्धारित उपखनिज की रायल्टी दर के अनुसार किया जायेगा।
- उपखनिज क्षेत्र का अपरिहार्य भाटक/पट्टा धनराशि का निर्धारण 14 चुगान पट्टे पर स्वीकृत क्षेत्र का वार्षिक अपरिहार्य भाटक (Dead rent) का आंगणन चुगान क्षेत्रों हेतु Rapid Survey द्वारा आंगणित मात्रा का 50 प्रतिशत मात्रा पर देय रायल्टी की धनराशि वार्षिक अपरिहार्य भाटक/पट्टा धनराशि के रूप में आंगणित की जायेगी, जिसे निगम एवं निजी पट्टाधारकों द्वारा निर्धारित लेखाशीर्षक में जमा कराया जाना अनिवार्य होगा।
आगामी वर्षों में अपरिहार्य भाटक/पट्टा धनराशि के आंकलन में वार्षिक वृद्धि नहीं की जायेगी जब तक नियमानुसार उपखनिजों की रायल्टी पुनर्निर्धारित नहीं होती है।
- पट्टा धनराशि/अपरिहार्य भाटक की धनराशि का भुगतान की प्रक्रिया 15 पट्टाधारक के द्वारा पट्टाधनराशि/अपरिहार्य भाटक की धनराशि का भुगतान निर्धारित लेखाशीर्षक में पट्टाविलेख में निर्धारित मासिक किशतों में निर्धारित समयान्तर्गत किया जायेगा।
प्रतिबन्ध यह होगा कि निकासी की रायल्टी या पट्टा धनराशि/अपरिहार्य भाटक की धनराशि, जो भी अधिक हो, देय होगा।
- पट्टा धनराशि/अपरिहार्य भाटक की धनराशि का भुगतान न किये जाने का परिणाम 18 पट्टाधारक के द्वारा पट्टा धनराशि/अपरिहार्य भाटक या रायल्टी की धनराशि का भुगतान समयान्तर्गत न किये जाने की दशा में उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 (समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित) के नियम-58 के अनुसार बकाया धनराशि पर 24 प्रतिशत प्रतिवर्ष की दर से साधारण ब्याज लिया जायेगा।
- चुगान पट्टा का पट्टाविलेख/एम०ओ०यू०/निविदा का एम०ओ०यू० 17 निजी भूमि पट्टाधारकों के द्वारा स्वीकृत चुगान पट्टा विलेख का निष्पादन उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 के नियम-14 के अन्तर्गत निर्धारित प्रारूप एम०एम०-3 में निर्धारित स्टाम्प पर कराया जाना होगा।
निगम चुगान प्रारम्भ करने से पूर्व निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई से समस्त औपचारिकतायें पूर्ण करते हुये निर्धारित प्रपत्र पर एम०ओ०यू० हस्ताक्षर करने के उपरान्त ही उपखनिज का चुगान प्रारम्भ करेंगे।
निगम क्षेत्र हेतु निविदा में घोषित सफल निविदाकार द्वारा प्रबन्ध निदेशक के साथ एम०ओ०यू० किया जायेगा तथा निगम द्वारा छोड़े गये लाट हेतु घोषित सफल निविदाकार द्वारा जिलाधिकारी के साथ पट्टा विलेख किया जायेगा।
- चुगान पट्टे का समर्पण 18 कोई पट्टेदार चुगान पट्टे के समर्पण हेतु राज्य सरकार को कम से कम 02 माह की लिखित नोटिस देने के पश्चात् ही चुगान पट्टा समाप्त करेगा। संबंधित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा पट्टेदार द्वारा प्रस्तुत चुगान पट्टे के समर्पण हेतु नोटिस के क्रम में चुगान लाट का सत्यापन उपजिलाधिकारी की गठित समिति से कराने के उपरान्त समर्पण स्वीकार करने हेतु प्रस्ताव निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई को प्रेषित किया जायेगा तथा निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की संस्तुति के उपरान्त पट्टे का समर्पण शासन द्वारा स्वीकार किया जा सकेगा।
- चुगान पट्टे का हस्तान्तरण 19 निजी चुगान पट्टाधारक की मृत्यु की दशा में स्वीकृत चुगान पट्टा उनके विधिक वारिस को पट्टे की अवशेष अवधि हेतु हस्तान्तरित होगा। पट्टाधारक की मृत्यु की तिथि से विधिक वारिस घोषित होने के उपरान्त राजस्व विभाग द्वारा विधिक वारिस के सत्यापन संबंधी आख्या उपलब्ध कराने तक चुगान क्षेत्र में कार्य स्थगन रहेगा। विधिक वारिस के सत्यापन आख्या उपलब्ध होने के 01 माह के अन्दर चुगान पट्टे के हस्तान्तरण हेतु जिलाधिकारी तथा निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की संस्तुति के उपरान्त शासन द्वारा अनुमति प्रदान की जायेगी।



Ryush

- सार्वजनिक स्थल, 20 (1) राज्य के वन नदी क्षेत्रों में नदी की कुल चौड़ाई का दोनों किनारों से एक चौथाई नदी पर निर्मित पुल, नदी के किनारे आदि से सुरक्षित दूरी
- (2) इसके अतिरिक्त पुल, शमशान, सार्वजनिक स्थल आदि से अपस्ट्रीम साईड में 100 मी0 तथा डाउन स्ट्रीम में भी 100 मी0 की क्षेत्र को प्रतिबन्धित करते हुये उपखनिज का चुगान कार्य अनुमत गहराई तक किया जायेगा।
- चुगान पट्टा/ 21 (1) निगम के चुगान क्षेत्रों में उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर के चुगान के लिये अनुज्ञा हेतु पर्यावरणीय अनुमति हेतु आशय पत्र (Letter of Intent) निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई, द्वारा जारी किया जायेगा।
- (2) निजी नाप भूमि में उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर एवं पत्थर के चुगान पट्टा/चुगान अनुज्ञा हेतु ई0आई0ए0 नोटिफिकेशन, 2006 के अन्तर्गत पर्यावरणीय अनुमति प्राप्त किये जाने हेतु आशय पत्र (Letter of Intent) शासन के द्वारा जारी किया जायेगा।
- चुगान प्रशासन का 22 (1) चुगान पट्टा क्षेत्रों से निकासी किये गये उपखनिज की मात्रा का आंगणन आयतन सफल संचालन (Volume) में न करके भार (Weight) के अनुसार किया जायेगा।
- (2) राज्य के समस्त नदी तल उपखनिज क्षेत्रों से उपखनिज का चुगान का कार्य निदेशक द्वारा उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 (समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित) के नियम 34 के अनुसार अनुमोदित खनन योजना के अनुसार किया जायेगा। इस हेतु आवेदन शुल्क ₹ 50,000/- देय होगा।
- (3) प्रत्येक पट्टाधारक के द्वारा चुगान पट्टा क्षेत्र के प्रवेश एवं निकासी गेटों पर कम्प्यूटाईज्ड धर्मकांटा एवं सी0सी0टी0वी0 कैमरा स्वयं के व्यय पर स्थापित किया जायेगा तथा रिकार्डिंग की सी0डी0 प्रत्येक माह भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म विभाग के जिला कार्यालय व जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत किया जायेगा। सी0डी0 के परीक्षणोपरान्त रिपोर्ट निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई के द्वारा शासन को प्रस्तुत की जायेगी।
- (4) चुगान पट्टा क्षेत्रों से खनिजों के परिवहन हेतु उपयोग में लाये जाने वाले पंजीकृत वाहन की सूचना पट्टाधारक के द्वारा निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई को उपलब्ध कराई जायेगी।
- (5) पट्टाधारक के द्वारा पट्टा क्षेत्र से निकासी किये गये खनिज का मासिक विवरण निर्धारित प्रारूप पर जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय, वाणिज्य कर विभाग एवं भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय के जनपद स्तरीय कार्यालयों में प्रत्येक माह प्रस्तुत किया जाना आवश्यक होगा। समयान्तर्गत मासिक विवरण प्रस्तुत न करने पर पट्टाधारक पर प्रत्येक माह ₹ 2000/- का अर्थदण्ड अधिरोपित किया जायेगा।
- (6) प्रत्येक पट्टाधारक/अनुज्ञापत्र धारक को चुगान कार्य प्रारम्भ करने से पूर्व वाणिज्य कर विभाग एवं भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म निदेशालय में पंजीकरण कराया जाना अनिवार्य होगा।
- 23 (1) जल विद्युत परियोजनाओं द्वारा जलाशय/सुरंग (Tunnel) आदि के निर्माण कार्य से निकलने वाले Muck (उपखनिज पत्थर/बोल्टर/बालू आदि) को परियोजना के निर्माण कार्य में उपयोग हेतु परियोजना के निर्माण आगणन (Estimate) की जांच/निरीक्षण व मूल्यांकन उपजिलाधिकारी की अध्यक्षता में गठित जनपद स्तरीय समिति की संस्तुति के आधार पर अल्प अवधि की अनुज्ञा संबंधित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा स्वीकृत किया जायेगा तथा खनन पट्टा जिलाधिकारी एवं निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की संस्तुति पर उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली,

विविध



Rajesh

2001 के नियम-68 के अन्तर्गत नियम-72 को शिथिल करते हुए नियमानुसार चुगान पट्टा परियोजना की समाप्ति अवधि अथवा याचित अवधि तक शासन द्वारा स्वीकृत किया जायेगा।

- (2) सरकारी निर्माण इकाईयों जैसे लोक निर्माण विभाग, ग्रामीण अभियन्त्रण सेवा, डी०जी०बी०आर(ग्रेफ), सिंचाई विभाग आदि द्वारा सड़क, पहुंच मार्ग आदि बनाये जाने के दौरान निर्माण स्थल से निकलने वाले बोल्टर, पत्थर, बजरी आदि को निर्माण कार्य में उपयोग हेतु निर्माण आगणन (Estimate) की जांच/निरीक्षण व मूल्यांकन उपजिलाधिकारी अध्यक्षता में गठित जनपद स्तरीय समिति से कराते हुए उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 68 के अन्तर्गत नियम 72 को शिथिल करते हुए नियमानुसार अनुज्ञा पत्र सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा स्वीकृत किया जायेगा। यह प्रक्रिया राज्य के जलाशयों एवं नहरों में जमा उपखनिज की सफाई/निकासी के लिए भी अपनायी जायेगी। सरकारी विभागों के प्रबन्धन वाले जलाशयों में संबंधित विभाग की संस्तुति पर उप जिलाधिकारी की अध्यक्षता में गठित समिति की संस्तुति पर संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत को जिलाधिकारी द्वारा अल्प अवधि (अधिकतम 06 माह) के लिए अनुज्ञा स्वीकृत की जायेगी।
- (3) भवनों के बेसमेन्ट से मिट्टी की खुदाई व निजी नाप भूमि में मिट्टी का समतलीकरण, व्यवसायिक उपयोग के लिए मिट्टी की खुदाई हेतु विकास हित में निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा प्राधिकृत विभागीय अधिकारी एवं उपजिलाधिकारी की स्थलीय निरीक्षण आख्या के आधार पर अल्प अवधि का अनुज्ञा सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली, 2001 के अध्याय-6 के अनुसार स्वीकृत किया जायेगा। भवनों के बेसमेन्ट से मिट्टी का खुदान व भूमि समतलीकरण का कार्य हेतु जे०सी०बी० का उपयोग किया जा सकेगा। समतलीकरण हेतु विशेष परिस्थितियों में निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा प्राधिकृत विभागीय अधिकारी द्वारा उल्लिखित करने पर ही मिट्टी के अन्यत्र स्थान पर परिवहन हेतु ई-रवन्ना/प्रपत्र एम०एम०-11 निर्गत किये जाने की अनुमति प्रदान की जायेगी।
- (4) नदी तल से लगे निजी नाप भूमि में उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर के समतलीकरण व मत्स्य पालन हेतु तालाब, जिससे बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर, मिट्टी खनिज निकलने की सम्भावना हो, जिसे आवेदक द्वारा निकासी के उपरान्त उक्त स्थल पर ही रखने की मंशा हो, के अल्प अवधि की अनुज्ञा संबंधित जिलाधिकारी द्वारा स्वीकृत की जायेगी, किन्तु उक्त कार्य के दौरान निकाले गये उपखनिज बालू, बजरी, बोल्टर के विक्रय/अन्यत्र प्रेषण किये जाने की स्थिति में इसकी अनुज्ञा उपजिलाधिकारी की अध्यक्षता में गठित जनपद स्तरीय समिति की निरीक्षण आख्या पर जिलाधिकारी एवं निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की संस्तुति के उपरान्त शासन द्वारा स्वीकृत की जायेगी।
- (5) वर्षाकाल के दौरान निजी नाप भूमि में गंधेरो से मलवा/पत्थर जमा होने की दशा में सम्बन्धित भूमिधर के द्वारा जमा मलवे/पत्थर को हटाने हेतु आवेदन करने पर संबंधित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा उपजिलाधिकारी की अध्यक्षता में गठित समिति की आख्या के आधार पर अधिकतम 03 माह की अवधि हेतु अनुज्ञा संबंधित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा स्वीकृत की जायेगी।
- (6) राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण, डी०जी०वी०आर (ग्रेफ), बी०आर०ओ०, आई०टी०बी०पी० के द्वारा राष्ट्रीय राजमार्गों के निर्माण कार्य हेतु रिक्त राजस्व नदी उपखनिज क्षेत्रों में चुगान पट्टा स्वीकृति हेतु निर्धारित प्रपत्र एम०एम०-1 में आवेदन शुल्क सहित आवेदन जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत करने पर गठित समिति की संयुक्त निरीक्षण आख्या के आधार पर पर्यावरणीय अनुमति हेतु चुगान पट्टा का आशय पत्र



Ryuan

सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी के द्वारा निर्गत किया जायेगा तथा पर्यावरणीय अनुमति के उपरान्त संबंधित जिलाधिकारी द्वारा परियोजना की समाप्ति तक अथवा 05 वर्ष की अवधि जो भी कम तक के लिये चुगान पट्टा की स्वीकृति इस शर्त के साथ प्रदान की जायेगी कि वे चुगान लाटों से निकले उपखनिजों का व्यापक उपयोग नहीं करेंगे।

- (7) राज्य सरकार किसी भी मामले में यदि उसकी राय हो तो विकास एवं राष्ट्र हित में लिखित आज्ञा द्वारा और उन कारणों से जो अभिलिखित किये जायेंगे ऐसा करना आवश्यक है, पूर्व में निगमों/निजी व्यक्तियों/संस्थाओं को स्वीकृत राजस्व चुगान लाटों को उनसे वापस लेते हुये राष्ट्रीय राज्य मार्गों/जल विद्युत परियोजनाओं के निर्माण कार्य हेतु परियोजना की समाप्ति तक अथवा 05 वर्ष की अवधि, जो भी कम तक के लिये चुगान पट्टे को देने या किसी खान का कार्य करने का प्राधिकार राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण, डी०जी०वी०आर (ग्रेफ), बी०आर०ओ०, एन०टी०पी०सी०, एन०एच०पी०सी० एवं यू०जे०वी०एन०एल० आदि को दे सकती है।
- (8) नदी तल से निकासी किये गये आर०बी०एम० (बालू, बजरी एवं बोल्टर) का राज्य से बाहर परिवहन/निर्यात पूर्णतः प्रतिबन्धित रहेगा, परन्तु क्रशड सामग्री (Crushed material) का परिवहन/निर्यात राज्य सरकार द्वारा निर्धारित शर्तों के अधीन किया जा सकेगा। राष्ट्रीय महत्व की परियोजनाओं एवं विशेष परिस्थितियों में शासन की अनुमति के उपरान्त आर०बी०एम० (बालू, बजरी एवं बोल्टर) का राज्य से बाहर परिवहन/निर्यात की अनुमति होगी।

- (9) उत्तराखण्ड एवं उत्तर प्रदेश की सीमा के 02 किमी० की परिधि में उपखनिजों के भण्डारण की अनुज्ञा स्वीकृत नहीं की जायेगी, परन्तु विशेष परिस्थितियों में निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की संस्तुति पर शासन द्वारा शिथिलता प्रदान करते हुए अनुमति प्रदान की जायेगी।

जिला खनिज
फाउन्डेशन
(District Mineral
Foundation)

- 24 (1) राज्य के प्रत्येक जनपद, जो खनन संक्रियाओं से प्रभावित है, के लिये राज्य सरकार अधिसूचना के माध्यम से एक लामरहित ट्रस्ट की स्थापना करेगी, जिसे जिला खनिज फाउन्डेशन कहा जायेगा।
- (2) जिला खनिज फाउन्डेशन का मुख्य उद्देश्य ऐसे व्यक्तियों एवं क्षेत्रों के हितों के लिये कार्य करना होगा जो खनन संक्रियाओं से प्रभावित हो जैसा कि राज्य सरकार द्वारा निर्धारित की जाय।
- (3) जिला खनिज संस्थान न्यास हेतु धनराशि, जैसा कि समय-समय पर राज्य सरकार द्वारा निर्धारित किया जायेगा, पट्टाधारकों द्वारा देय होगा।

नीति का स्पष्टीकरण
(Clarification)

नीति में किदे गये प्रावधान का कोई भी स्पष्टीकरण (Clarification) करने का अधिकार शासन में निहित होगा।

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021

Off. Commissioner

High Court of Uttarakhand
NAINITAL

.....

.....



आज्ञा से,

शैलेश बगौली,
सचिव।

Aayush



SUSTAINABLE SAND MINING MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES 2016



पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय

इंदिरा पर्यावरण भवन, जोर बाग रोड, नई दिल्ली - 110 003

Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change

Indira Paryavaran Bhavan, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi - 110 003

www.moef.nic.in



Rajesh



STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS FOR SAND MINING

Impact Category	S.No.	Environmental Conditions
Stakeholder Engagement	1	In the case of private land not owned by the lease holder an affidavit should be obtained regarding consent of the concerned land owner (s) for carrying out the mining operation.
	2	Stakeholder awareness and ability to raise concerns and getting it to be addressed.
	3	Implementation of Action Plan on the issues raised during the Public Hearing. The Proponent shall complete all the tasks as per the Action Plan submitted with the budgetary provisions during the Public Hearing.
	4	Having valid lease and all the permits is very much needed.
	5	To establish a Monitoring Committee including Local Panchayat, to check on traffic due to transportation and submit an annual report on the same.
	6	The directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide order dated 27.02.2012 in Deepak Kumar case [SLP(C) Nos. 19628-19629 of 2009] and order dated 05.08.2013 of the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal in application No. 171/2013 may be strictly followed.
	7	All the provisions made and restrictions imposed as covered in the Minor Mineral Rule, shall be complied with, particularly regarding Environment Management Practices and its fund management and Payment of compensation to the land owners.
Sustainable Mining Practices	8	District level Survey Report should be prepared and area suitable for mining and area prohibited for mining be identified.
	9	The depth of mining in Riverbed shall not exceed one meter or water level whichever is less, provided that where the Joint Inspection Committee certifies about excessive deposit or over accumulation of mineral in certain reaches requiring channelization, it can go up to 3 meters on defined reaches of the River.
	10	No River sand mining be allowed in rainy season.
	11	To submit annual replenishment report certified by an authorized agency. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production,



Ryush



		then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
	12	Ultimate working depth shall be up to 3.0 m from Riverbed level and not less than one meter from the water level of the River channel whichever is reached earlier. In hilly terrain this depth be preferably restricted to one meter.
	13	In River flood plain mining a buffer of 3 meter to be left from the River bank for mining.
	14	In mining from agricultural field a buffer of 3 meter to be left from the adjacent field.
	15	Mining shall be done in layers of 1 meter depth to avoid ponding effect and after first layer is excavated, the process will be repeated for the next layers.
	16	To maintain safety and stability of Riverbanks i.e. 3 meter or 10% of the width of the River whichever is more will be left intact as no mining zone.
	17	No stream should be diverted for the purpose of sand mining. No natural water course and/ or water resources are obstructed due to mining operations.
	18	No blasting shall be resorted to in River mining and without permission at any other place.
	19	Depending upon the location, thickness of sand, deposition, agricultural land/Riverbed, the method of mining may be manual, semi-mechanized or mechanized; however, manual method of mining shall be preferred over any other method.
Identification and Preparation of Mining Site	20	Mining should be done only in area / stretch identified in the District Level Survey Report suitable for mining and so certified by the Sub-Divisional Level Committee after site visit.
	21	Mining should begin only after pucca pillar marking the boundary of lease area is erected at the cost of the lease holder after certification by the mining official and its geo coordinates are made available to the District Level Committee.
	22	The top soil in case of surface land mining shall be stored temporarily in an earmarked site and concurrently used for land reclamation.



Ryush



Monitoring the Mining of Mineral and its Transportation	23	The EC holder shall keep a correct account of quantity of mineral mined out, dispatched from the mine, mode of transport, registration number of vehicle, person in-charge of vehicle and mine plan. This should be produced before officers of Central Government and State for inspection.
	24	For each mining lease site the access should be controlled in a way that vehicles carrying mineral from that area are tracked and accounted for.
	25	The State / District Level Environment Committee should use technology like Bar Coding, Information and Communications Technology (ICT), Web based and ICT enabled services, mobile SMS App etc. to account for weight of mineral being taken out of the lease area and the number of trucks moving out with the mineral.
	26	There should be regular monitoring of the mining activities in the State to ensure effective compliance of stipulated EC conditions and of the provisions under the Minor Mineral Concessions Rules framed by the State Government.
Noise Management	27	Noise arising out of mining and processing shall be abated and controlled at source to keep within permissible limit.
	28	Restricted working hours. Sand mining operation has to be carried out between 6 am to 7 pm.
Air Pollution and Dust Management	29	The pollution due to transportation load on the environment will be effectively controlled and water sprinkling will also be done regularly.
	30	Air Pollution due to dust, exhaust emission or fumes during mining and processing phase should be controlled and kept in permissible limits specified under environmental laws.
	31	The mineral transportation shall be carried out through covered trucks only and the vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded. Wheel washing facility should be installed and used.
Management of Visual Impact	32	The mining operations are to be done in a systematic manner so that the operations shall create a major visual impact on the site.
Bio-Diversity Protection	33	Restoration of flora affected by mining should be done immediately. Twice the number of trees destroyed by mining to be planted preferably of indigenous species. Each EC holder should plant and maintain for lease period at least 5 trees per hectare in area near lease.
	34	No mining lease shall be granted in the forest area without forest clearance in accordance with the provisions of the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and the rules made thereunder.

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No. 75/2014 - 612 / 2021

High Court, Dehradun, Uttarakhand

Sl. No. 54602

Dated 26/12/23




उत्तराखण्ड शासन
औद्योगिक विकास (खनन) अनुभाग-1
संख्या: 1921/VII-A-1/2021/158ख/04टीसी
देहरादून, दिनांक: 10 नवम्बर, 2021


अधिसूचना संख्या- 1874/VII-A-1/2021/158ख/04टीसी, दिनांक 10 नवम्बर, 2021 द्वारा उत्तराखण्ड खनिज (अवैध खनन, परिवहन एवं भण्डारण का निवारण) नियमावली, 2021 की प्रति निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:-

1. सचिव, मा० मुख्यमंत्री जी, उत्तराखण्ड शासन।
2. निजी सचिव, मुख्य सचिव, उत्तराखण्ड शासन को मुख्य सचिव महोदय के संज्ञानार्थ।
3. मण्डलायुक्त, कुमाऊं/गढ़वाल, नैनीताल/पौड़ी, उत्तराखण्ड।
4. महानिदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।
5. समस्त जिलाधिकारी, उत्तराखण्ड।
6. अपर निदेशक, राजकीय मुद्रणालय, रुड़की को इस आशय से प्रेषित कि उक्त अधिसूचना को असाधारण गजट, विधायी परिशिष्ट भाग-4 में मुद्रित कराकर इसकी 200 प्रतियां औद्योगिक विकास (खनन) अनुभाग-1 को यथाशीघ्र उपलब्ध कराना सुनिश्चित करें।
7. निदेशक, एन०आई०सी०, सचिवालय परिसर देहरादून।
8. गार्ड फाईल।

आज्ञा से


(लक्ष्मण सिंह)
संयुक्त सचिव





उत्तराखण्ड शासन
औद्योगिक विकास (खनन) अनुभाग-1
संख्या: 1874 / VII-1 / 2021 / 158ख-04टीसी
देहरादून, दिनांक: 10 नवम्बर, 2021

अधिसूचना


राज्यपाल, खान और खनिज (विकास और विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1957 (अधिनियम संख्या 67, सन् 1957) की धारा 23ग द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करके उत्तराखण्ड खनिज (अवैध खनन, परिवहन एवं भण्डारण का निवारण) नियमावली, 2020 तथा इस विषय पर विद्यमान समस्त नियमों और आदेशों का अधिक्रमित करते हुए राज्य में खनिजों के अवैध खनन, परिवहन और भण्डारण को निवारित करने की दृष्टि से निम्नलिखित नियमावली बनाते हैं, अर्थात् :-

उत्तराखण्ड खनिज (अवैध खनन, परिवहन एवं भण्डारण का निवारण) नियमावली, 2021

अध्याय-एक

प्रारम्भिक

- संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ 1. (1) इस नियमावली का संक्षिप्त नाम उत्तराखण्ड खनिज (अवैध खनन, परिवहन एवं भण्डारण का निवारण) नियमावली, 2021 है।
(2) यह तुरन्त प्रवृत्त होगी।
- परिभाषाएं 2. (1) जब तक कि संदर्भ से अन्यथा अपेक्षित न हो, इस नियमावली में :-
(क) "अधिनियम" से खान और खनिज (विकास और विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1957 (अधिनियम संख्या 67, सन् 1957) (समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित) अभिप्रेत है;
(ख) "प्राधिकृत अधिकारी" से ऐसा अधिकारी, जिसे राज्य सरकार द्वारा इस नियमावली के अधीन सरकारी गजट में अधिसूचना में विनिर्दिष्ट ऐसे क्षेत्र के लिए और ऐसे कृत्यों का पालन करने के लिए जिसके लिए उसे अधिसूचना द्वारा प्राधिकृत किया गया हो, अभिप्रेत है और वह भारतीय दण्ड संहिता, 1860 (अधिनियम संख्या 45, सन् 1860) की धारा 21 के अन्तर्गत लोक सेवक समझा जायेगा;
(ग) "वाहक" से किसी रीति, सुविधा या वाहन अभिप्रेत है जिसके द्वारा खनिज का परिवहन एक स्थान से दूसरे स्थान को किया जाय, जिसमें यांत्रिक युक्ति, व्यक्ति, पशु या गाड़ी भी सम्मिलित है;
(घ) "अनुसंधान कार्य" से बिना किसी वाणिज्यिक उद्देश्य के वैज्ञानिक अध्ययन के प्रयोजन के लिए और उद्योग में उपयोग हेतु खनिज के लाभार्थ और उच्चीकरण के लिए उसकी उपयुक्तता के परीक्षण के लिए किये गये कोई कार्य अभिप्रेत है;
(ङ) "नियमावली, 1960" से अधिनियम की धारा 13 के अधीन बनाई गई खनिज रियायत नियमावली, 1960 तथा खनिज (परमाणु और हाइड्रोकार्बन खनिज से भिन्न) रियायत नियमावली, 2016 अभिप्रेत है;
(च) "नियमावली, 2001" से अधिनियम की धारा 15 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन बनाई गयी "उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज (परिहार) नियमावली, 2001 (अनुकूलन एवं उपात्तरण आदेश, 2001) (समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित) अभिप्रेत है;



[Handwritten Signature]

भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की संस्तुति पर परियोजना की अवधि अथवा अथवा 05 (पांच) वर्ष की अवधि हेतु, जो भी न्यून हो, तक शासन द्वारा स्वीकृत किया जायेगा।

- (3) नवीनीकृत भण्डारण अनुज्ञा के आधार पर ई-पोर्टल पर अद्यतनीकरण (Updation) निदेशक, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई द्वारा किया जायेगा।

भण्डारण के
मानक एवं अन्य
शर्तें

12. (1) कोई व्यक्ति अनुज्ञापति प्राप्त किये बिना किसी स्थान पर किसी खनिज का भण्डारण नहीं करेगा।

- (2) रिटेल भण्डारण स्थल का सार्वजनिक स्थल, सरकारी वन, रेल मार्ग, नदी आदि से दूरी निम्न प्रकार होगी-

(i) पर्वतीय क्षेत्र :-

- क-धार्मिक स्थल से दूरी-50 मी०
ख-शैक्षणिक संस्थान से दूरी-100 मी०
ग-अस्पताल से दूरी- 100 मी०
घ-रेल मार्ग से दूरी-50 मी०
ङ-नदी (Perennial River) से दूरी-50 मी०
च-बरसाती नदी (Non Perennial River) से दूरी- 25 मी०
छ-सरकारी वन से दूरी-25 मी०

(ii) मैदानी क्षेत्र :-

- क-धार्मिक स्थल से दूरी-300 मी०
ख-शैक्षणिक संस्थान से दूरी-300 मी०
ग-अस्पताल से दूरी- 300 मी०
घ-रेल मार्ग से दूरी-50 मी०
ङ- नदी (Perennial River) से दूरी-500 मी०
च-बरसाती नदी (Non Perennial River) से दूरी- 50 मी०
छ-सरकारी वन से दूरी-100 मी०

परन्तु पर्वतीय एवं मैदानी क्षेत्रों में स्टोन क्रेशर, स्क्रीनिंग प्लांट, मोबाईल स्टोन क्रेशर, मोबाईल स्क्रीनिंग प्लांट, हॉट मिक्स प्लांट, रेडिमिक्स प्लांट व पल्वराईजर प्लांट के परिसर में खनिजों के भण्डारण हेतु दूरी के मानक वही होंगे, जो इन प्लांटों की स्थापना/संचालन हेतु राज्य सरकार द्वारा जारी स्टोन क्रेशर, स्क्रीनिंग प्लांट, मोबाईल स्टोन क्रेशर, मोबाईल स्क्रीनिंग प्लांट, हॉट मिक्स प्लांट, रेडिमिक्स प्लांट व पल्वराईजर प्लांट नीति के अनुसार होंगे;

परन्तु उक्त प्रावधान राष्ट्रीय/राज्य महत्व की परियोजनाओं की कार्यदायी संस्थाओं तथा उनके अनुबन्धित ठेकेदार पर लागू नहीं होगा।

- (3) कोई व्यक्ति किसी ऐसी भूमि, जो उसकी नहीं है या उसके/उसकी वैध किरायेदारी में नहीं है, का उपयोग खनिजों के भण्डारण के लिए नहीं करेगा।
- (4) राज्य सरकार भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई की विभागीय वेबसाईट पर तैयार ई-एप्लिकेशन सॉफ्टवेयर के द्वारा महानिदेशक द्वारा प्राधिकृत अधिकारी के माध्यम से भुगतान के आधार पर यथा स्थिति ई-फार्म "जे" अथवा ई-फार्म (ओ/एस) तथा आकस्मिक परिस्थिति हेतु मैनुअल "जे" प्रपत्र पुस्तिका की सम्पूर्ति का प्रबन्ध करेगी।
- (5) अनुज्ञाधारक द्वारा भण्डारण स्थल के परिसर में इलेक्ट्रॉनिक तोल मशीन तथा भण्डारण स्थल, प्रवेश व निकासी गेटों पर सी०सी०टी०वी० कैमरा स्वयं के व्यय पर स्थापित करना अनिवार्य होगा। अनुज्ञाधारक द्वारा स्थापित सी०सी०टी०वी० की



M

Dy. Secy

रिकॉर्डिंग को संरक्षित रखा जायेगा तथा सक्षम अधिकारी द्वारा समय-समय पर औचक निरीक्षण के समय रिकॉर्डिंग मांगे जाने पर, प्रस्तुत करेगा। यदि निरीक्षण के दौरान सी०सी०टी०वी० बन्द अथवा खराब पाया जाता है या उपलब्ध करायी गयी रिकॉर्डिंग में कोई गड़बड़ी पायी जाती है तो अनुज्ञाधारक के ऊपर ₹ 250/- प्रति मिनट की दर से अर्धदण्ड अधिरोपित किया जायेगा, जो कि अनुज्ञाधारक के द्वारा निर्धारित लेखा शीर्षक में जमा कराया जाना होगा।

- (6) अनुज्ञाधारक भण्डारण स्थल की चाहरदीवारी एवं अभिलेख रख-रखाव हेतु कार्यालय, धर्मकांटा, भरण (loading), भार उतारना (unloading) हेतु पर्याप्त स्थान की व्यवस्था अनिवार्य रूप से सुनिश्चित करेगा।
- (7) (i) स्टोन क्रेशर व स्क्रीनिंग प्लांट संचालकों को विक्रय की गयी मात्रा पर ₹ 1.00 प्रति कुन्तल की समतुल्य धनराशि तथा रिटेल भण्डारण अनुज्ञाधारकों द्वारा विक्रय की गयी उपखनिज की मात्रा पर ₹ 0.25 प्रति कुन्तल के समतुल्य धनराशि पर्यावरण एवं खनिज सम्पदा शुल्क के रूप में निर्धारित लेखाशीर्षक में जमा किया जाना अनिवार्य होगा।
- (ii) हाट मिक्स प्लान्ट एवं रेडिमिक्स प्लान्ट संचालकों द्वारा बालू या बजरी या बोल्टर या उसके उत्पाद अर्थात् कच्चा माल/पक्का के प्लान्ट में उपयोग की गई मात्रा पर ₹ 1.00 प्रति कुन्तल के समतुल्य धनराशि निर्धारित लेखाशीर्षक में अग्रिम जमा किया जाना अनिवार्य होगा।
- (iii) खनिज सोपस्टोन, सिलिकासैण्ड, मैग्नेसाईट, लाईमस्टोन आदि के भण्डारण अनुज्ञाधारकों एवं प्लवराईजर प्लांट भण्डारण अनुज्ञाधारकों पर उक्त खनिज की रायल्टी का 2 प्रतिशत के समतुल्य धनराशि निर्धारित लेखाशीर्षक में अग्रिम अतिरिक्त रूप से पर्यावरण एवं खनिज सम्पदा शुल्क के रूप में जमा किया जाना अपरिहार्य होगा।
- (iv) प्रदेश के बाहर से आयतित होने वाले खनिज या उसके उत्पाद तथा ईट या इनके कच्चे माल को राज्य में प्रवेश करने हेतु पंजीकृत अनुज्ञाधारक, खनिज उद्यमी द्वारा ई-रवन्ना वेब एप्लीकेशन में उपखनिज का नाम, मात्रा ऑनलाईन दर्ज किया जायेगा तथा अनुज्ञाधारक/खनिज उद्यमी द्वारा उपखनिज का अभिवहन पत्र (रवन्ना) एवं जी०एस०टी० नम्बर धारित बिल की मूल प्रति संरक्षित की जायेगी। महानिदेशक द्वारा प्राधिकृत जनपदीय अधिकारी के पास ऑनलाईन/ऑफलाईन पहुंचने पर स्टोन क्रेशर/स्क्रीनिंग प्लांट/भण्डारणकर्ता आदि द्वारा बाहरी राज्यों से लाये गये उपखनिज (क्रय/विक्रय) की मात्रा को अधिकतम 01 सप्ताह की समयवधि के अन्तर्गत जांचोपरान्त उसके ऑनलाईन स्टॉक (Capacity in hand) में जोड़ दिया जायेगा। ऐसे खनिज (आर०बी०एम० एवं बोल्टर छोड़कर) का लेखा एम०आई०एस० पोर्टल पर पृथक से दर्शाया जायेगा। इस प्रकार परिवहन कर लाये गये खनिजों पर ₹ 1.00 प्रति कुन्तल की दर से पर्यावरण एवं खनिज सम्पदा शुल्क वेब पोर्टल पर Credit in करने से पूर्व जमा किया जाना अपरिहार्य होगा। उक्त व्यवस्था प्रत्येक माह में न्यूनतम एक बार महानिदेशक अथवा महानिदेशक द्वारा प्राधिकृत अधिकारी द्वारा ऐसे सभी पंजीकृत अनुज्ञाधारक, खनिज उद्यमियों के अभिलेखों का परीक्षण (Scrutiny) कर किया जायेगा तथा किसी भी प्रकार की अनियमितता पाये जाने पर इस नियमावली के सुसंगत प्रावधानों के अन्तर्गत कार्यवाही की जायेगी।

A L
AA YUSH GAUR


Advocate

Reg. No. JK - 612 / 2021

Commissioner
 High Court of Uttarakhand
 BUNITAL

Sl. No. 54602

Dated 26/12/23



M


Ruyush

उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम


खनन लौट में उप खनिज चुगान की प्रक्रिया

1. उपखनिज चुगान का कार्य पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार द्वारा निर्धारित शर्तों के अनुरूप किया जाता है।
2. उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम में निर्धारित खनन क्षेत्र हेतु प्रभागीय लौंगिंग प्रबन्धक, नन्धौर खनन प्रभाग, हल्द्वानी का कार्यालय निर्धारित है।
3. प्रभागीय लौंगिंग प्रबन्धक, नन्धौर खनन प्रभाग, हल्द्वानी के सभी कार्यों का नियंत्रण/निरीक्षण क्षेत्रीय प्रबन्धक कार्यालय, कुमाँऊ क्षेत्र-हल्द्वानी द्वारा किया जाता है।
4. खनन क्षेत्र का सीमांकन खनन विभाग, राजस्व विभाग एवं वन विभाग के प्रतिनिधियों के परामर्श से किया जाता है। सामान्य भाषा में खनन क्षेत्र को "लौट" कहा जाता है।
5. उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम को सरकार द्वारा निर्धारित इस शर्त का पालन करना अनिवार्य है कि लौट में उप-खनिज चुगान का कार्य सूर्योदय व सूर्यास्त के मध्य किया जायेगा।
6. सूर्यास्त के बाद लौट के अन्दर रहने वाले उपखनिज सहित वाहन की रिपोर्ट प्रत्येक कार्यदिवस के अन्त पर प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी कार्यालय को सूचित की जाती है। आरक्षित वन क्षेत्र के अन्दर सूर्यास्त के बाद किसी भी वाहन को लौट के अन्दर रुकने की स्वीकृति केवल प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी द्वारा दी जा सकती है। भारतीय वन अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी द्वारा इस नियम का उल्लंघन करने पर वाहन स्वामी/चालक पर यथावश्यक कार्यवाही की जाती है। उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम भी उक्त वाहनों के विरुद्ध यथावश्यक निषेधात्मक कार्यवाही करता है।
7. श्रमिकों द्वारा उप-खनिज को नदी तल से मैनुअल रूप से वाहन में भरा जाता है। इस प्रक्रिया को "चुगान" कहते हैं।
8. श्रमिक स्वेच्छा से लौट में उप-खनिज चुगान कर अपना जीवन यापन करता है। उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम द्वारा चुगान करने वाले श्रमिकों एवं उनके परिवारों के कल्याण हेतु कई कार्यक्रम चलाये जाते हैं।
9. लौटों में वाहनों के प्रवेश एवं निकासी हेतु गेट स्थापित है। गेटों का नियंत्रण प्रभागीय लौंगिंग प्रबन्धक, नन्धौर खनन प्रभाग, हल्द्वानी एवं प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी कार्यालय द्वारा किया जाता है। इन गेटों पर वाहनों के प्रवेश एवं निकासी के जरूरी कागजात बनाये जाने हेतु अस्थायी कार्यालय की व्यवस्था है।
10. गेटों पर इलैक्ट्रॉनिकतोल कॉटे स्थापित किये जाते हैं। इन तोलकॉटो की आपूर्ति, चलाने एवं रखरखाव हेतु नीलामी द्वारा बाहरी स्रोत द्वारा किया जाता है (जिसका विस्तृत विवरण पृथक से उपलब्ध है)। खनन अवधि में लौट एवं धर्मकॉटों पर नियंत्रण सम्बन्धित प्रभागीय लौंगिंग प्रबन्धक, नन्धौर खनन प्रभाग, हल्द्वानी का रहता है।
11. गेट पर स्थापित गेट कार्यालय में एक समय पर वन निगम एवं वन विभाग के कर्मचारी तथा इलैक्ट्रॉनिक धर्मकॉटा संचालक के कर्मचारी तैनात रहते हैं। यह कार्यालय अस्थायी भवन रूप का है, जिसका क्षेत्रफल लगभग 100 वर्ग मीटर होता है, जिसमें पाँच कम्प्यूटर, तीन प्रिन्टर, ऑन लाईन पावर सप्लाई, यूपीएस, स्टोर अलमारी, एक्जिट व इन्ट्री, ई-एमएम-11 आदि की व्यवस्था है।
12. उप-खनिज चुगान हेतु लौटों की स्वीकृति वन एवं पर्यावरण मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा निश्चित मात्रा हेतु प्रदान की जाती है। सरकार द्वारा एक घ0मी0 उपखनिज 22 कुन्तल के बराबर माना जाता है। खनन से सम्बन्धित सभी प्रकार का डाटा रिकार्ड हेतु उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम द्वारा विकसित खनन मैनेजमेन्ट सिस्टम (KMS) द्वारा संकलित किया जाता है तथा ई-रवन्ना आनलाईन जारी किया जाता है।
13. खनन मैनेजमेन्ट सिस्टम (KMS) के रखरखाव हेतु प्रत्येक वाहन को Unique Identification Number दिया गया है, जो कि खनन मैनेजमेन्ट सिस्टम (KMS) के अन्तर्गत उसका पंजीकरण संख्या भी कहलाता है। सम्भागीय परिवहन अधिकारी (R.T.O.) द्वारा पंजीकृत वाहन संख्या को खनन मैनेजमेन्ट सिस्टम (KMS) में अलग से दर्शाया गया है। पंजीकृत वाहनों को ही प्रवेश देने हेतु वाहनों में आरएफआईडी चिप (RFID) लगाई जाती है।
14. उपखनिज चुगान कार्यों में संचालित होने वाले प्रत्येक वाहन का चुगान-पंजीकरण (Registration) एवं नवीनीकरण (Renewal) प्रभागीय लौंगिंग प्रबन्धक, नन्धौर खनन प्रभाग, हल्द्वानी के कार्यालय में निर्धारित शर्तों/मानकों के अनुरूप किया जाता है।
15. वाहन को गेट पर प्रवेश करते समय आगमन-पर्ची (Entry Slip) प्रदान की जाती है। इसे प्राप्त करने हेतु वाहन को कम्प्यूटराईज्ड तोलकॉटे के ऊपर से 10 कि0मी0 प्रति घण्टे से अनाधिक गति से गुजरना होता है। चालक/हेल्पर को अपने वाहन का रजिस्ट्रेशन नम्बर कम्प्यूटर आपरेटर को बताना होता है। इस पूर्ण प्रक्रिया की वीडियो रिकार्डिंग भी की जाती है। आरएफआईडी द्वारा भी वाहनों की निगरानी की जाती है।
16. खनिज के भार का प्रदर्शन निम्न तीन स्थानों पर किया जाता है:-
 1. कम्प्यूटर संचालक के समक्ष।
 2. गेट कार्यालय के अन्दरूनी दीवार पर स्टाफ हेतु 9" प्रदर्शन पट पर
 3. कार्यालय के बाहरी दीवार पर ट्रक चालक एवं आम जनता हेतु 9" प्रदर्शन पट।
17. आगमन पर्ची (Entry Slip) खनन मैनेजमेन्ट सिस्टम (KMS) द्वारा प्रिन्ट की जाती है। आगमन पर्ची (Entry Slip) अग्रिम धनराशि की प्राप्ति रसीद भी है।
18. आगमन पर्ची (Entry Slip) में कई सूचनायें होती हैं, जिसमें से मुख्य हैं :-
 1. वाहन की पंजीकरण संख्या
 2. प्रवेश का दिनांक एवं समय

Rygh



3. प्रवेश के समय वाहन का भार
4. प्रवेश के समय गेट पर अग्रिम जमा धनराशि
19. वाहन को गेट से बाहर जाते समय खनन मैनेजमेन्ट सिस्टम (KMS) द्वारा तैयार निर्गमन-पर्ची (Exit Slip) जारी की जाती है तथा आनलाईन जारी ई-एमएम-11 प्रपत्र दिया जाता है। इस हेतु वाहन को पुनः इलेक्ट्रॉनिक तोलकॉटे से गुजरना पड़ता है। सही माप के लिये वाहन की गति 10 कि०मी० प्रति घण्टे से कम होनी चाहिये। इस पूर्ण प्रक्रिया की वीडियो रिकार्डिंग भी की जाती है।
20. निर्गमन-पर्ची (Exit Slip) में कई सूचनायें हैं, जिसमें से प्रमुखतः निम्न हैं:-
 1. वाहन का कुल भार।
 2. उपखनिज का आंकलित भार।
 3. आगमन-पर्ची (Entry Slip) में दर्शित अग्रिम प्राप्त धनराशि के समायोजन के उपरांत शेष देय धनराशि एवं धन प्राप्ति की रसीद।
21. गेट से वाहन की निकारसी के समय उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम द्वारा वाहन चालक को प्रपत्र ई-एमएम-11 भी आनलाईन जारी कर दिया जाता है। एक वाहन हेतु केवल एक ही E-MM -11 प्रपत्र मान्य है।
22. प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी कार्यालय स्टाफ द्वारा वनोपज अभिवहन शुल्क/मार्ग संधारण शुल्क प्राप्त कर रसीद जारी की जाती है।
23. गेट पर प्रवेश करते समय अग्रिम धनराशि की देयता निम्नलिखित तथ्य एवं अनुभव पर आधारित है-
 1. गेटों में एकत्रित धनराशि को बैंक में अपराह्न चार बजे से पूर्व जमा करने की अनिवार्यता।
 2. कार्य दिवस में प्राप्त होने वाली कुल राजस्व की अधिकांश धनराशि उसी कार्य दिवस में अपराह्न तीन बजे तक बैंक में जमा कर दी जाती है।
 3. नदी से उपखनिजों के चुगान हेतु एक चक्कर में अधिक मात्रा एकत्रित करने हेतु कुछ वाहनों के आकार में परिवर्तन कर बढ़ा दिया जाता है। उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम उपखनिज निकारसी हेतु इलेक्ट्रॉनिक तोल कॉटों का इस्तेमाल कर रहा है जिसके विगत वर्षों के डाटा से यह निष्कर्ष निकलता है कि वाहन के प्रकार के सापेक्ष निर्धारित वजन से कहीं अधिक मात्रा का ढुलान कर राजस्व जमा कर रहे हैं।
 4. उप-खनिज चुगान से बड़े ट्रक को भरने में अधिकतम समय दो घण्टे से कम होता है। छोटी गाड़ी अधिकतम 1 घंटे में भर जाती है। अपराह्न 02:00 बजे के बाद लौट में प्रवेश नहीं दिया जाता है। अपराह्न तीन बजे के बाद वाहनों से राजस्व धनराशि कम प्राप्त होती है जो अगले कार्य दिवस को बैंक में जमा की जाती है।
 5. उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम को राज्य सरकार के खनन विभाग को चालान द्वारा अग्रिम मूल्य भुगतान के अनुसार ही उपखनिज मात्रा की निकारसी की अनुमति प्राप्त होती है।
 6. वास्तविक भार ले जाने की क्षमता पहचान कर वाहन के प्रकार के आधार पर उपखनिज की मात्रा के राजस्व के आंकलन के आधार पर अग्रिम की राशि निर्धारित निर्धारित की जाती है। क्रेता से पूर्व मूल्य भुगतान बैंक के माध्यम से भी प्राप्त किया जा सकता है जो कि उसके द्वारा ली जानी वाली उपखनिज की मात्रा के मूल्य में समायोजित होगा। इसके लिये क्रेता बैंक में प्रभागीय लौगिंग प्रबन्धक उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम नन्धौर खनन प्रभाग के खाते में धनराशि जमा कर जमा धनराशि का विवरण प्रभागीय लौगिंग प्रबन्धक उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम नन्धौर खनन के कार्यालय में सूचित करना आवश्यक होता है।
 7. इलेक्ट्रॉनिक लेखावन्धी द्वारा धन-प्राप्ति रसीद एक्जिट स्लिप तथा ई-रवन्ना का प्रिन्ट आउट वाहन के चालक को दिया जाता है। अतः लौट में प्रवेश करते समय देय अग्रिम धनराशि का समायोजन लौट से निकारसी के समय देय वास्तविक धनराशि से करना आसान है।
24. उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम के KMS Software में उपलब्ध आंकड़ों के आधार पर लौट में प्रवेश कर रहे वाहनों से उपखनिज हेतु अग्रिम धनराशि के लिये वाहनों की विभिन्न प्रकार के सापेक्ष भार ले जाने की अग्रिम धनराशि की मात्रा सम्बन्धित क्षेत्रीय प्रबन्धक द्वारा अधिसूचित की जायेगी।
25. किसी प्रकार की शिकायत/असुविधा हेतु प्रत्येक गेट में एक शिकायत-पेटी उपलब्ध रहेगी।
26. Electronic Weighing in Motion तोल कांटा की स्थापना के समय इसकी विशिष्टियों का सत्यापन उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम द्वारा प्राधिकृत विशेषज्ञों द्वारा समय-समय पर किया जायेगा।
27. Electronic Weighing in Motion तोल कांटा से वन विकास निगम की नियामक-फीस (Regulatory Fee) से प्राप्त की गई धनराशि का संचयन सम्बन्धित प्रभागीय लौगिंग प्रबन्धक खनन के खाते में किया जायेगा जिसका व्यय चुगान लौट के सफल संचालन एवं नियंत्रण हेतु विभिन्न मदों में किया जायेगा। कार्य के आंकलित व्यय के आधार पर वित्तीय स्वीकृति सम्बन्धित क्षेत्रीय प्रबन्धक/महाप्रबन्धक/प्रबन्ध निदेशक, उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम स्तर से प्राप्त की जायेगी।



Ryush

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021

Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand

Sl. No. 54602

Dated. 26/12/23

Chapter-3

Compliance Audit Observations Relating to Revenue Departments



Rajesh

3.1.8 Risk of illegal mining due to non-operative mines

The Report²¹ of the Oversight Committee, National Green Tribunal (NGT), Uttar Pradesh (January 2021) noted that areas where only few leases are operative and the rest are not settled/surrendered need to be carefully analysed. There could be a chance of cartel formation and mining of sand illegally from other vacant mining plots under the garb of the operative lease. On review of status of the operation of mining leases in Dehradun district (Table-3.1.6 below), Audit found that most of the mines were non-operational which increased the risk of illegal mining from non-operative mines.

Table-3.1.6: Status of mining in District Dehradun

Sl. No.	Description	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
1	Royalty	58.81	45.60	Awaited
2	Number of mining leases under Forest Department	5	5	5
3	Number of active mining leases under Forest Department	5	0	0
4	Number of mining leases under Garhwal Mandal Vikas Nigam Ltd (GMVN)	19	19	19
5	Number of active mining leases under GMVN	5	10	3

During exit conference, the Secretary (Mining) agreed (May 2022) that vacant laying mining areas were one of the main causes of illegal mining and assured that necessary steps would be taken for speeding up the process for sanctioning of these vacant areas on mining leases.

3.1.9 Unregistered Stockists/Dealers


Audit observed 219 traders were registered with State Tax Department to carry out trading of sand, stone, grit, *bajri* etc. in Dehradun district. However, out of these only 34 traders were registered in GMU/District Mining Officer (DMO) in Dehradun district. This shows GMU could not detect unregistered dealers on its own or with the help of State Tax Department.

During exit conference, the Secretary (Mining) assured (May 2022) to initiate enquiry on all traders which are registered in State Tax Department for mining related business but not registered in the Mining Department and after that necessary action would be taken for registration.

3.1.10 Unverified weighing machines

Verification and stamping of weight or measure (Section 24 of Chapter-IV) of the Legal Metrology Act 2009 provides that every person having any weight or measure in his possession, custody or control in circumstances indicating that such weight or measure is being, or is intended or is likely to be, used by him in any transaction or for protection, shall, before putting such weight or measure into such use, have such weight or measure

²¹ https://greentribunal.gov.in/sites/default/files/news_updates/REPORT%20BY%20OVERSIGHT%20COMMITTEE%20IN%20OA%20NO.%20360%20of%202015%20%28National%20Green%20Tribunal%20Bar%20Association%20vs%20Virender%20Singh%20%28State%20of%20Gujarat%29.pdf



Push

verified at such place and during such hours as the Controller may, by general or special order, specify in this behalf, on payment of such fees as may be prescribed.

Audit conducted (November-December 2021) Joint Physical verification of eight weighbridges (six at mining site and two at other sites) in Dehradun district with officials of the Metrology Department. It was found that two²² out of six weighbridge machines were not verified and stamped by Metrology Department and another one²³ did not have verified papers. Further, one²⁴ out of two weighbridges installed at places other than mining sites failed the standard weight test. In the light of suspect weighbridges, the quantity/weight mentioned in transit passes was suspect.

During exit conference, the Secretary, (Mining) assured (May 2022) that necessary action would be taken by giving direction to concerned Department.

3.1.11 Mining Surveillance System not adopted even after five years of its launch

Ministry of Mines, Government of India and Indian Bureau of Mines (IBM) developed a Mining Surveillance System (MSS), a satellite-based monitoring system which aims to establish a regime of responsive mineral administration by facilitating State Governments in curbing instances of illegal mining activity. The system works on the basic premise that most minerals occur in the continuity and their occurrence is not limited to the lease area but is likely to extend in the vicinity. The MSS checks a region of 500 metres around the existing mining lease boundary to search for any unusual activity which is likely to be illegal mining. Any discrepancy found is flagged-off as a trigger. These triggers would be examined at Remote Sensing Control Centre, IBM and then transmitted to the State Mining and Geology Department or the district level mining officials for field verification, who would check for illegality and submit compliance report of their inspections using mobile app or online portal.²⁵

The Government of India (Ministry of Mines²⁶) in its communication to the State Government stressed (October 2016) that the success of MSS would entirely depend on the support of the respective State Government and they were requested to take necessary action.

On review, Audit found that the MSS was yet to be adopted even after five years of its launch and communications from the Central Government.

The Department stated (March 2022) that implementation of Development & Maintenance of Mining Digital Transformation & Surveillance System is being initiated through E-tendering process.


²² M/s Pradeep Agrawal (GMVN), Song-1, Khiri Man Singh and M/s Ramesh Rautela(GMVN), Tons River.

²³ M/s Kailash Rawat, Song-1, Dubada, Maldevta.

²⁴ N S Dharmkanta, Matak Majri, Kulhal.

²⁵ A user-friendly mobile app for MSS has been created and launched on 24 January 2017.

²⁶ <https://mines.gov.in/writereaddata/UploadFile/WayforwardMSS636124955823215184.PDF>.



Rajesh

3.1.12 Responsibility for illegal mining


As mentioned in *paragraph 3.1.3* above, there was illegal mining at three sites (Dhakrani 23/3, Kulhal 21/3 on Yamuna River & Song-3 on Song river). Further, 37.17 lakh MT of mined material was used by the Government's own agencies without valid legal documents (Form M-11 or Form-J). Still further, the issue of illegal mining is in public knowledge in the form of media reports and public interest litigation. In light of widespread complaints regarding illegal mining, the NGT through its various orders *inter-alia* observed that district administration is fully accountable for ensuring compliance to its various directions/compliance with conditions of environmental clearance and that District Magistrates and Superintendents of Police have to seize all mining equipment and vehicles in case of illegal mining. Further, besides criminal proceedings, there has to be imposed exemplary penalty by District Magistrates in case of illegal mining.

In the light of above, all responsible authorities jointly and severally failed to prevent and detect illegal mining in Dehradun during 2017-21. Lapses at the level of each authority responsible for prevention and detection of illegal mining can be seen in the **Table-3.1.7** given below.

Table-3.1.7: Lapses at each Government authority level

Sl. No.	Authority	Details of Lapses
1	District Collector	Having been conferred power to regulate all stages in mining process (processing of mining leases, granting license to retailers, checking of illegal mining, transportation and storage, imposition of penalty, review of monthly statements, review of CCTV footage, monitoring of work of DMO) and having coordination and oversight responsibility in respect of all Departments in the district, he failed to ensure compliance with mining related Rules and Regulations and directions of the NGT. This also means that NGT's instructions that district administration will be fully accountable for illegal mining is not being seriously taken by the District Collector.
2	Geology Mining Unit /DMO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Ineffective enforcement mechanisms and inspections at different places (mining sites, transit/on road, storage, construction sites) to check compliance with environmental clearance conditions and applicable Rules and Policies. Accordingly, project proponents were operating without tracking of vehicles, without CCTV based monitoring at designated places. ➤ Did not coordinate with State Tax Department to know dealers who were dealing with minor minerals. Accordingly, Audit found mismatch²⁷ between number of Dealers/Stockists for Minor Minerals as per District Mining Unit /GMU and as per the State Tax Department. ➤ Failure to coordinate with the Government's construction agencies where illegally mined material was being consumed. ➤ Did not establish a system whereby the Government's own construction agencies could cross check authenticity of transit passes. ➤ Failure to implement Government of India's MSS, a satellite-based monitoring system, which sends triggers of illegal mining to the State Government authorities.

²⁷ As per State Tax Department the number of dealers in Dehradun were 219 whereas as per GMU the number of dealers were 34 in Dehradun.



Compliance Audit Report for the years ended 31 March 2020 and 31 March 2021

Sl. No.	Authority	Details of Lapses
3	Forest Department	Since illegal mining within forest land is a forest offence so the Department's enforcement mechanisms ²⁸ should have detected it just like other forest offences (illegal timbering, poaching). So, the presence of illegal mining in forest land also raises questions about effectiveness of Forest Department's enforcement activities in preventing and detecting other types of forest offences.
4	Project Proponent (UFDC, GMVN)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Did not establish any system to ensure compliance with conditions mentioned in environmental clearance certificate. This <i>inter-alia</i> included lack of CCTV based monitoring, issue of correct transit pass, checking of weight, correctness of Weighbridge. ➤ Did not undertake mining themselves whenever sub leasing to a private entity was not possible.
5	Transport	Transport authorities are obliged to undertake physical inspections to detect compliance with applicable Rules by commercial and private vehicles. However, the Department failed to prevent and detect plying of vehicles without proper registration, private vehicles carrying mined material and large number vehicles carrying overweight material.
6	Construction Agency like Public Works Department	Construction agencies allowed the contractors to use illegally mined minerals. Further, they did not inform authorities (District Collector, District Mining Officer, Police, Transport Department) about the illegalities being committed by the contractors.
7	Uttarakhand Pollution Control Board	Uttarakhand Environment Protection and Pollution Control Board (UEPPCB) gives Consent to establish and Consent to operate to mining lease holder before mining. Illegal mining/transportation of minor mineral pollute environment of that place wherever this activity has been done, UEPPCB failed to monitor those mining lots where illegal mining has been done.
8	Police	As per Uttarakhand Police Act, Police Department should check vehicle violating Rules of GMU, but Police Department failed to check vehicles involve in transportation of illegal minor minerals.
9	Legal Metrology	Metrology Department failed to verify weighing machine. In Joint physical verification done by audit team (November-December 2021) with officials of the Metrology Department at six mining sites and places other than mining sites of Dehradun district, it was found that out of six weighing machines, four weighing machines were installed at exit area of mining sites, out of those, two machines ²⁹ were found not verified and stamped by the Metrology Department and other one ³⁰ was found having no verified papers at the site. Out of other two weighing machines installed at places other than mining sites, one ³¹ found failed to the standard weight test.

During exit conference, the Secretary (Mining) assured (May 2022) that he would hold a meeting with all Government authorities concerned like GMU, District Administration, Transport Department, Police Department, Forest Department, GMVN, Van Vikas Nigam, State Pollution Control Board, Legal Metrology Department, whose responsibility are mentioned in their own departmental Rules and policies, to prevent illegal mining and illegal transportation. Further, he assured that the responsibility of the concerned Government Departments will be fixed.

²⁸ The Department's enforcement mechanisms like forest check posts, guarding /gasth by Beat Forest Guards, inspections by Rangers and DFO.

²⁹ M/s Pradeep Agrawal (GMVN), Song-1, Khiri Man Singh and M/s Ramesh Rautela (GMVN), Tons River.

³⁰ M/s Kailash Rawat, Song-1, Dubada, Maldevta.

³¹ N S Dharmkanta, Matak Majri, Kulhal.



AWUSH GAUR
Advocate
Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021
Oath Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand
ALM-NAINITAL
Sl. No. 54602
dated 26/12/23

Rajesh

102



Request

AR

AYUSH GAUR
Advocate
Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021
Oath Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand
NAINITAL

Sl. No. 54602

Dated 26/12/23

Annexure - NO - 15

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI VIPIN SANGHI
HON'BLE JUSTICE SRI RAKESH THAPLIYAL.

Judgment Reserved on : 21.09.2023

Judgment Delivered on : 26.10.2023

Writ Petition (M/B) No. 200 of 2023

Nandaurl Haldwani Ujjwal Dharam Kanta Owners Society

.....Petitioner

Versus

Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation & Ors.

.....Respondents.

With

Writ Petition (M/B) No. 235 of 2023

Aanchal Dharam Kanta Owners Society

.....Petitioner

Versus

Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation & Anr.

.....Respondents.

And

Writ Petition (M/B) No. 252 of 2023

Haldwani Lalkuan Dharam Kanta Owners Welfare Society

.....Petitioner

Versus

Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

Mr. Shobhit Saharia and Mr.
Birendra Singh Adhikari.

Counsel for the respondent/corporation:

Mr. Arvind Vashistha, Senior
Advocate assisted by Mr. Virender
Kaparawan.

Counsel for the private respondent:

Mr. Bhuwan Bhatt.

Upon hearing the learned Counsel, the Court made the following judgment: (per Sri Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)

1. All these three writ petitions raise almost identical questions and issues. Therefore, all these petitions are being decided by a common judgment.

2. Before discussing the issues, as raised in all these petitions, it is relevant to give reference of the reliefs as sought in all these petitions. Hence, the reliefs as sought in these petitions are being reproduced as under:-

Rakesh



(A) WPMB No. 200 of 2023.

(i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 03.08.2023 (Annexure No. 3) declaring only one bid of the private respondent as technically qualified and taking a decision to further the financial bid of the single, technical qualified bidder in order to grant him the contract.

(ii) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no. 1 and 2 to undertake re-tendering process calling for fresh bids strictly in consonance and in accordance with Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017 (as amended).

(B) WPMB No. 235 of 2023

(i) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the NIT dated 28.03.2023 (ANNEXURE NO. 1), more pertinently of not following e-bidding process/e-procurement, its condition with respect to EMD of 25L, Performance Guarantee of 2 crores, annual turnover of 10 Cr in each of preceding 3 years, condition of bidder to have "factory" of its own, not making single bid disqualified for financial assessment etc.


(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no. 1 and 2 to undertake re-tendering process calling for fresh bids strictly in consonance and in accordance with Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017 (as amended).

(C) WPMB No. 252 of 2023

(i) A writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned Tender Notice dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure-2) for non-following the mandatory conditions of Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017 and also for adding condition no. 8, 9 and 30 in part I of this impugned tender document.

(ii) A writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue fresh tender process

Ruysh



strictly in accordance with Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017.

3. In all these petitions the tender was floated by Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation for the purposes of establishing/running, maintenance of computerized weighbridge, CCTV, internet, RFID (radio frequency identification device) in Gola River as well as in Kosi River. Writ Petition (M/B) No. 235 of 2023 and Writ Petition (M/B) No. 252 of 2023 pertains to Gola River, whereas Writ Petition (M/B) No. 200 of 2023 pertains to Kosi River.

4. In Writ Petition (M/B) No. 235 of 2023 and Writ Petition (M/B) No. 252 of 2023, which relates to Gola River, e-tender notice was issued on 28.08.2023, whereas in Writ Petition (M/B) No. 200 of 2023, which pertains to Kosi River the tender was floated on 12.07.2023. Pursuant to the aforesaid tender notices floated by the respondents-Corporation, the tenders were invited through two bid system.

5. It is the contention of the petitioner that the respondents-Corporation carried out the tender process in an arbitrary manner and in the teeth of the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the Uttarakhand Rules 2017 for brevity) by incorporating the tailor-made conditions which suit the successful bidder.

6. At this juncture, the relevant condition as stipulated in the tender document are being reproduced herein:-

"19. निविदा में प्रतिभाग बनाये रखने हेतु कम सक कम प्रथम तीन (Top Three) सफल प्रतिभागियों को ही वित्तीय निविदा हेतु चयनित किया जायेगा एवं तदनुसार ही वित्तीय निविदा खोली जायेगी।"



Ryush


7. This clause 19 is in the tender, floated on 12.07.2023 which pertains to the Kosi River. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that in respect of the tender which was floated for Gola River on 28.08.2023, this condition (clause 19) which requires that at least three responsive technical bids shall be required for further evaluating financial bids was not incorporated. It is the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that in respect of Gola River, deliberately and with malafide intention this condition has not been included in the impugned NIT.

8. In Writ Petition (M/B) No. 235 of 2023, it is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the respondents-corporation proceeded with the tender, and the financial bid of the sole bidder, namely, M/s Leotronics Scales Private Limited, Amritsar was opened, and the justification given was that in pursuance of a subsequent amendment, which was never published, the financial bid of the sole bidder was opened.

9. Another allegation, which is almost identical in all these petitions, is that the tender was floated for awarding work for three years, i.e., 2023-24, 2024-25 and 2025-26. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that against the total tender value, Rs. 10 crores annual turnover in preceding three years is made as a mandatory condition. Condition no. 8 of tender notice floated for Gola River by tender notice dated 28.08.2023, and for Kosi River for which tender was floated on 12.07.2023 is reproduced as under:-

"तकनीकी निविदाओं (कम्प्यूटरीकृत धर्मकांटा निर्माण स्थापना एवं संचालन) हेतु निविदादाता का विगत 03 वर्षों में रू० 10.00 करोड़ का सालाना टर्नओवर होना आवश्यक है।"

Rajesh



The translation of this Clause reads :

"For technical bid about establishment, running and maintenance of computerized weighing bridges, bidder must have the annual turnover of Rs. 10 crores in preceding 3 years."


10. In respect of tender floated on 28.08.2023, the total quantity of the minerals proposed to be extracted during the period of three years is 54.25 lakhs cubic meter, which in terms of Clause 12 of part 2 of tender conditions comes to 54.25 lakhs x 22 = 11,93,50,000/- quintals. Clause 12 of part 2 is being reproduced hereunder:-

"उपखनिज चुगान हेतु लौटों की स्वीकृति वन एवं पर्यावरण मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा निश्चित मात्रा हेतु प्रदान की जाती है। सरकार द्वारा एक घनमीटर उपखनिज 22 कुन्तल के बराबर माना जाता है। खनन से सम्बन्धित सभी प्रकार का डाटा रिकार्ड हेतु उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम द्वारा विकसित खनन मैनेजमेन्ट सिस्टम (के0एम0एस0) द्वारा संकलित किया जाता है।"

11. Now, as per Clause 21 part I of the tender conditions, the rates were provided @ Rs. 0.075 per quintal including GST. A calculation for determining the total cost of tender valuation is mentioned in para 7 of Writ Petition (M/B) no. 252 of 2023, and this calculation is based upon Clause 12 of Part II of the tender conditions as well as Clause 21 of Part I of the tender conditions, and as per the calculation, as given in para 7, which is strictly as per the conditions mentioned in Part I and Part II, the total cost of tender comes to Rs. 2,68,53,750/-, i.e., about 2.68 crores approximately. Against this tender value, as per clause 8 of part I of tender conditions, the bidder should have a turnover of at least 10 crores in last three years.

12. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the turnover requirement in condition as mentioned in Clause 8(1) of part I of the tender has no rationale nexus with the value of the work under the

Ryush



contract, and such condition has been stipulated in the tender only with the intention to debar the small bidder not having such turnover, and to favour only the big bidders. It is also against the mandate of the spirit of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017.

13. Apart from this, the petitioner also questions condition no. 9 of Part I, wherein it is provided that the bidder should have own factory for manufacture of weighbridges, and, further questions the condition as stipulated in Clause 30 part 1, wherein it is provided that bidder should deposit Rs. 25 lakh as earnest money and the performance guarantee of Rs. 2 crores. In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioner relied upon Rule 36 of Uttarakhand Rules 2017, which provides that the work with estimated cost of more than Rs. 25 lakh, earnest money will be 2% of the estimated cost.

By giving reference to Rule 36 of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017, it is contended by the learned counsel that in respect of Gola River, the estimated cost of tender is Rs. 2.68 crores, and, therefore, 2% of the said estimate cost, as per the Rule 36 of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017 comes to Rs. 5.37 lakhs.

14. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that putting mandatory condition of depositing Rs. 25 lakhs as earnest money is wholly arbitrary, illegal and contrary to Rule 36 of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017. Similarly, in respect of the demand of performance guarantee, the contention of the petitioner is that as per the provisions of Uttarakhand Rules 2017, the performance guarantee cannot be more than 5% of the estimated cost. Since, in the present case, the estimated cost of tender is Rs. 2.68 crores (approx.), and, as such, 5% of the said amount

Rajesh



comes to Rs. 13,42,687/-, and, therefore, the demand of performance guarantee of Rs. 2 crores is totally arbitrary. The petitioner has relied upon Rules 20 (2)(16) of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017, which provides that in case, only one bid is received, then the bid should be cancelled. However, this condition was not incorporated in the tender notice dated 28.08.2023 floated for Gola River.

15. In WPMB No.235 of 2023, the petitioner submits that against the conditions, which the petitioners are questioning in these writ petitions, the petitioner filed the objections by submitting representation dated 01.09.2023, but no action was taken thereon. Even, a pre-bid meeting was not called, to enable the petitioner to raise the said objections.

16. The tender pertaining to the Gola River was floated on 28.08.2023; the last date for purchasing the bid document was 05.09.2023, and; the last date of submission of bid was 07.09.2023. Technical evaluation date was fixed for next working day, i.e., on 11.09.2023. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the first instance, bid of only one bidder was found to be technically responsive. In such eventuality, the tender was liable to be cancelled and the respondents should have gone for fresh tender process, but the respondents proceeded to open the financial bid of the sole bidder.

17. Subsequently, these writ petitions, i.e. Writ Petition (M/B) No. 252 of 2023 and Writ Petition (M/B) No. 235 of 2023, have been filed challenging the tender conditions, on the ground that these conditions are contrary to the provision of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017 and arbitrary.



Prajush

18. Similarly, in respect of the tender for Kosi River (challenged in Writ Petition (M/B) No. 200 of 2023), in which tender was floated on 12.07.2023, a total of four bidders submitted their bids, but on technical evaluation, only one bid was found to be responsive, i.e. of M/s Leotronics Scales Private Limited, Amritsar. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that since only one bid was declared to be technical responsive, the respondent should have cancelled the tender process and published a fresh tender notice, as per the condition of the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules, 2017. Surprisingly, instead of inviting a fresh tender, financial bid of only one bidder, namely, M/s Leotronics Scales Private Limited, Amritsar-Respondent No. 3, was opened.

19. It is the specific case of the petitioner, as pleaded in the petition (WPMB No. 200 of 2023), that prior to participating in the bid, the petitioner raised an objection against the conditions as stipulated in the tender by making a representation dated 19.07.2023 which was sent through mail, but, surprisingly the respondent-Corporation had not taken any action on it. Apart from this, further contention has been raised in this petition that as per Rule 20 of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017, in order to maintain fairness, transparency, competition and elimination of arbitrariness in the procurement process, clause (ix) requires that bids received should be evaluated in terms of the conditions already incorporated in the bidding document, and no new condition in the bidding document can be brought in for evaluation of bids, while in the case in hand, respondent Corporation issued a corrigendum on 28.07.2023 and changed the condition, and this change of condition was never advertised in any newspaper. This corrigendum dated 28.07.2023 is also brought on record as

Rajesh



Annexure-5. The corrigendum issued on 28.07.2023 is being extracted hereunder"

"उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम

प्रधान कार्यालय अरण्य विकास भवन, 73 नेहरू रोड, देहरादून

पत्रांक-3-1904 निविदा(2044) 2023


दिनांक 28 जुलाई

निविदा आमंत्रण संशोधन सूचना

उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम की पत्र सं 0-1002/ दिनांक 12 जुलाई 2023 के माध्यम आरक्षित वन क्षेत्र की नदी तल शारदा कोसीदाका एवं गंगा एवं सहायक नदियों हेतु कम्प्यूटरीकृत धर्मकांटा सी ०सी०टी०पी० आरएफआईडी एवं इंटरनेट आदि कार्यों की स्थापना संचालन रखरखाव एवं मरम्मत हेतु इच्छुक कर्मी / संस्थाओं से दो प्रस्ताव निविदा प्रणाली (Two Bit System) के द्वारा सीलबंद लिफाफे में निविदाएं आमंत्रित की गयी है। विचार -विमर्श के उपरांत निम्न प्रकार संशोधन किया जाता है।

शर्त सं०	पूर्व शर्त का विवरण	संशोधित शर्त
निविदा सूचना	03 वर्ष (खनन सत्र 2023-24 से 2026-27)	03 वर्ष (खनन सत्र 2023-24 से 2025-26)
भाग-1 बिंदु सं० 1	एक फर्म/कम्पनी/ व्यक्ति/ संस्था संयुक्त एक या एक एक से अधिक नदियों के लिए निविदायें प्रपत्र पृथक-पृथक प्रपत्र जमा करायेगा/ प्रस्तुत करायेगा/	एक फर्म/कम्पनी/ व्यक्ति/ संस्था संयुक्त एक या एक एक से अधिक नदियों के लिए निविदायें प्रपत्र पृथक-पृथक से प्रपत्र जमा करायेगा/ प्रस्तुत करायेगा/
भाग-1 बिंदु सं० 19	निविदा में प्रतिभाग बनाये रखने हेतु कम से प्रतिभागियों को ही वित्तीय निविदा हेतु निविदा हेतु चयनित किया जा येगा एवं तदनुसार तदनुसार ही वित्तीय निविदा खोली	निविदा में प्रतिभाग बनाये रखने हेतु तकनीकी निविदा में सफल प्रतिभागियों को ही वित्तीय निविदा हेतु हेतु चयनित किया जायेगा एवं तदनुसार तदनुसार ही वित्तीय निविदा खोली जायेगी।
भाग-1 बिंदु सं० 69	उपरोक्त शर्त सं० 70 पर तब ही विचार किया जा सकेगा जब प्रथम पक्ष के द्वारा किया गया कार्य संतोषजनक हो तथा उसके द्वारा उपखनिज निकासी कार्य के दौरान किसी प्रकार का विवाद अथवा व्यवधान उत्पन्न न किया गया हो एवं उसकी किसी वन अपराध में लिप्त न हो साथ ही साथ उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम की कोई देयता (Dues) न हो।	उपरोक्त शर्त सं 0-69 पर तब ही विचार किया जा सकेगा जब प्रथम पक्ष के द्वारा किया गया कार्य संतोषजनक हो तथा उसके द्वारा उपखनिज निकासी कार्य के दौरान किसी प्रकार का विवाद अथवा व्यवधान

Ryush



		उत्पन्न न किया गया हो एवं उसकी किसी वन अपराध में लिप्त न हो साथ ही साथ उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम की कोई देयता (Dues) न हो।
भाग-3 बिंदु सं0 3.2	ग-ऐसेट्रोका (ESSAE TERAOKA)	ऐसेट्रोका (ESSAE TERAOKA) लियोट्रोनिक (Leotronic)

निविदा प्रपत्र दिनांक 31.07.2023 04.00 बजे अपराहन प्रधान कार्यालय उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, अरण्य विकास भवन 73-नेहरू रोड में जमा किये जाने है एवं तकनीकी निविदायें दिनांक 01.08.2023 को प्रातः 11.00 बजे एवं वित्तीय निविदायें दिनांक 03.08.2023 को अम्य विकास भवन के सभागार में खोली जायेगी। इसके अतिरिक्त अन्य शर्त यथावत रहेगी।

प्रबंध निदेशक
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम

20. Writ Petition (M/B) No. 200 of 2023 came before this Court on 08.08.2023 and on that date, notice was issued and the learned Senior Advocate Mr. Arvind Vashistha assisted by Mr. Virendra Kaparuwan appeared for respondent no. 1 and 2. Mr. Bhuwan Bhatt, learned counsel appeared for respondent no. 3 - M/s M/s Leotronics Scales Private Limited. The respondents were granted time to file the counter affidavit and as an interim measure, this Court directed that till the next date of listing no further steps shall be taken in pursuance of the tender in question. Thereafter respondent no. 1 and 2 filed their counter affidavit and in reply thereto rejoinder affidavit was also filed. The private respondent also filed the counter affidavit.

21. In the counter affidavit, objections raised by the petitioner have been denied. In para 4 of the counter affidavit, the respondents have pleaded that the petitioners' bids were rejected being technically non responsive, as the petitioners did not possess the mandatory certificates under points 3, 4, 5 and 10. The

Ryush




reasons for declaring the petitioner, as technically non responsive, are stated to be as follows:-

(a) That part-I of the Tender (condition no. 18) clearly stipulates that if the eligibility conditions, as enumerated in serial nos. 8 to 17 are not met, then said tender will not be considered for technical evaluation, on grounds of non fulfilling the eligibility conditions.

(b) Nowhere has the petitioner challenged its disqualification, as above. Thus, once the petitioner's bid has been rightly rejected due to non eligibility which the petitioner has not disputed anywhere in the petition. Petitioner do not have any right to challenge the other tender conditions, since they would not make any difference whatsoever to the petitioner's bid, as the petitioner's bid did not even meet the basic eligibility criteria to participate in the tender in the first place.

22. Mr. Arvind Vashista, the learned Senior Advocate for the respondents-Corporation submits that in Writ Petition (M/B) No. 200 of 2023, the petitioner should have challenged the conditions of the tender before participating in the tender process, but he has challenged the condition when his technical bid was rejected due to lack of eligibility, and, hence, this petition is not maintainable. Learned senior counsel further submits that the case, which has been built up in the representation by the writ petitioners, is different from the grounds as taken in the present petitions and in respect of the objection, which pertained to the e-procurement, these objections were never raised at any stage, and this is for the first time that these objections have been raised in these petitions.

Rygh



23. This Court is not convinced with the arguments of the learned senior counsel for the respondents-Corporation, since, the tender which was submitted by the petitioner was effectively "under protest". The petitioner specifically pleaded in para 9 of the petition, that even before participating in the tender, the petitioner had raised the objections by representation dated 19.07.2023. Pertinently, in response to the said averment, the respondent has not disputed the fact of submission of this representation, and has merely stated that the same is a matter of record. Whether any decision was taken on the said representation, or not, the respondents are silent. It appears to us, that the respondent-Corporation deliberately avoided to deal with the petitioner's objections, which were submitted by the petitioner before participating in the tender process. Once the objection had been raised by any of the bidder before participating in the tender, the respondents who floated tender cannot be heard to say that the bidder is estopped from challenging the tender conditions, when there was no response/ decision taken on the objection.

24. On perusal of the counter affidavit, it appears that instead of giving response to the objection raised by the petitioner in respect of the certain conditions of the tender, what the respondents are contending is that, since the petitioners do not fulfil the eligibility criteria, as required under the terms and condition of the tender, therefore, petitioner were rightly declared to be technically non responsive. It is further contended that it is the prerogative of the procuring entity, which in the present case is the Forest Development Corporation, to decide the conditions that are best suited for the work at hand.

Rajesh



25. This argument is not sustainable, because it is an undisputed fact that the issues raised in these petitions regarding the tenders have not been addressed by the Corporation on merits. The violation of the Uttarakhand Rules, 2017 is blatant and completely arbitrary. The said rules are statutory in character, and the respondent themselves admit that these rules are applicable. The respondents have no authority to put the conditions in the tender, as per their own choice, contrary to the Rules, and which are arbitrary and whimsical, and bear no rational nexus to the object sought to be achieved.

26. With regard to the earnest money and performance guarantee, the learned senior counsel for the respondents-corporation submits that Part-4 of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017 does not contain any upper limit for the earnest money or performance guarantee and this part - 4 of the Rules pertains to the service contracts. In addition to this, the respondent further submits that if, for the sake of arguments, the petitioner's contention is accepted, then the other provisions of the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017 regarding earnest money and performance guarantee as contained in Rule 36 and 44 can be looked into and, on perusal of the same, it reveals that it only recommends a general norm to be followed in normal circumstances, which explicitly allows the procuring entity to deviate from these provisions, and set any other amount, as they deem fit with respect to the work at hand. In support of this submission the learned Senior Counsel for the respondent submits that the employer is the best person to understand and appreciate the requirements of the project and set down the tender terms and conditions. He further submits that since the tender in question is for the installation and maintenance of computerized electronic weighbridges,

Rajush



CCTV cameras, internet and RFID services and the Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation undertakes the extraction of mine minerals (sand, boulder and bajri), the introduction of this advanced computerized technique of mines and minerals, for which the tender was floated, is an important factor for State's economy as well as ecology the higher amount of earnest money, performance guarantee and annual turnover requirements cannot be said to be arbitrary, and it is in the interest of the State to engage reputed and financially sound firms/companies.

27. We do not find any substance in these arguments. Once the procedure has been prescribed under the Statutory Rules, the respondents being a government entity has no power to put any condition which is *de hors*, or contrary to the rules. A criteria has been laid down under the Rules for determination of earnest money; for furnishing the performance guarantee; as well as the stipulation of turnover, and, therefore, the respondents should go strictly as per the mandate of the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017. The respondents have not produced any record, which would show any application of mind to consciously deviate from the Procurement Rules relating to prescription of EMD, past experience, turnover etc. Even before us, no justification or reason has been placed to justify or explain as to why disproportionately high requirements were laid in respect of EMD Security Deposit and past turnover.

28. The petitioners' case is that as per Rule 20 (16) of the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules, 2017 in case, if only one bid is found to be responsive, then the bid should be cancelled and it should be re-advertised and if on re-advertisement also, again only one bid is received, then it

Rajesh



can be considered, subject to certain conditions. In response to this, the respondents' submission is that Rule 20 (16) is applicable only when one tender is received, and not in cases, where more than one tender is received but only one tender is found technically responsive. In writ petition, i.e. Writ Petition (M/B) No. 200 of 2023, four tenders were duly received, so there is no reason to cancel the tender under Rule 20 (16) of the Uttarakhand Rules 2017.

29. The arguments of the learned senior counsel for the respondents cannot be accepted, particularly, in view of the condition no. 19 of the tender which clearly stipulates that for the purpose of opening financial bids, there should be three successful bidders. It talks of top three bidders. Therefore, obviously, at least three should qualify. In other words, the correct interpretation of Clause 19 of the tender notice is that for the purpose of opening financial bid, at least three bidders should be declared technically qualified, but here, what the respondents have done, which is evident from their statement as given in para 12 of the counter affidavit, that the Corporation has issued Corrigendum on 28.07.2023 - which, according to the respondents was issued to ensure the compliance with Rule 58 of the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017, whereby condition no. 19 was modified. At this juncture Rule 58 of the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017, which the respondents have already mentioned in para 12 of the counter affidavit is being extracted hereunder:-

"58. Evaluation of financial bids of qualified bidders on technical basis:- Financial bids of only those bidders who are declared technically qualified by the Consultative Evaluation Committee should be opened for further analysis, evaluation, ranking and selection of the successful tenderer."




Ryush

30. The question is that, once the condition was stipulated in the tender document, that for the opening of the financial bids, there should be at least three technically responsive bidder, whether the action of the Respondents to incorporate a modification, by simple corrigendum which was issued on the last date of receiving the bids, could be said to be legal and justified. Pertinently, in para 17 of the petition, it is specifically pleaded that this corrigendum was never advertised in any newspaper and the date of accepting the tender was extended till 31.07.2023. In para 17 of the writ petition, it is specifically pleaded that **29.07.2023 was Saturday and 30.07.2023 was Sunday**. But surprisingly, there is no specific response or denial to this averment and merely in para 24 of the counter affidavit, it is stated that contents of para 17 of the petition are not admitted and are false, and this submission that petitioner could not show that he is eligible.

31. After examining the submissions, as advanced by Mr. Arvind Vashishta, this Court is of the view that the respondents have deliberately and, at the last moment, changed the conditions by issuing corrigendum, and further that corrigendum was not issued in any newspaper, and it appears to this court that the respondent corporation had deliberately and intentionally changed the condition at the last moment in order to award the work of tender to respondent no. 3.

32. The respondents have floated the tenders, which were not as per the provisions of Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017. Further, incorporating the condition for earnest money of Rs. 25 lakhs, annual turnover for the bidder of Rs. 10 crores, and the requirement of performance guarantee of Rs. 2 crores in two tenders (in WPMB No. 235

Rajesh



of 2023 and 252 of 2023) and Rs. 1.25 crores in respect of one tender in WPMB No. 200 of 2023, despite the fact that the cost of tender value is 2.68 crores in each case, was wholly unreasonable and contrary to the provisions of Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017. The respondents failed to give any justification for putting these conditions, which appears to be arbitrary, illegal and contrary to the Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017.

33. Last, but not the least, we are of the view that the tenders in question are fundamentally flawed, inasmuch as, they lay down the requirement of the bidder supplying the weighbridge of particular brands, namely Mettler Toledo, Minebea/Satorious, Essae Teraoka and Leotronic in terms of Clause 3.2 of the Technical Specifications in Part-3. The respondents should have laid down the specifications, of which the equipment was required to be installed under the tenders in question. However, they could not have limited the tender to only specific four brands, when there could be scores of other brands available in the market, which may be as good, if not better than the notified brands. This action of the respondents is clearly arbitrary, and the four brands appear to have been stipulated to favor only those brands. The requirement that the bidder should have a manufacturing plant, for manufacture of weighbridge of one of the specified brands, means that only the manufacturer of the specified brands could participate in the tenders, thereby excluding all others. It is completely absurd to require that the manufacturers should, themselves, participate in the tender for setting up, assembling and running the weighbridge. This action of the respondents is clearly in the teeth of the judgment of the Delhi High Court in **M/s Omega Elevators v. Union of India & Anr., W.P. (C)5385/2021** dated 08.09.2021.



Buysh

Therefore, this Court is of the view that all these writ petitions are liable to be allowed. We, therefore, allow these Writ Petitions, and quash the tenders in question.

34. The impugned tender notice dated 28.08.2023 which pertains to Gola River and is subject matter in WPMB No. 235 of 2023 and WPMB No. 252 of 2023 are hereby quashed and the respondents are directed to issue fresh tender strictly as per the provisions of Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017.

35. So far as WPMB No. 200 of 2023 is concerned, order dated 03.08.2023 is challenged. However, the tender which was floated for Kosi River on 12.07.2023 is not challenged pursuant to which tender process were initiated. Though the tender notice is not under challenged but the petitioner seriously attacked on the conditions as stipulated in the tender process as the same are contrary to the provisions of Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017. This Court is of the view that the conditions stipulated in the tender notice dated 12.07.2023 are contrary to the provisions of Uttarakhand Rules 2017. Therefore, by exercising powers as provided under Article 226 of the constitution of India, this Court can mould the relief, and consequently we also quash the tender notice dated 12.07.2023, as well as the action taken thereunder, including the order dated 03.08.2023, whereby only one bid of the private respondent was declared to be responsive. A writ of mandamus is issued to respondent no. 1 and 2 to undertake fresh tender process strictly under the provisions of Uttarakhand Procurement Rules 2017 which pertains to Kosi River and Gola river.



Rajesh

36. The Respondent authorities are subjected to costs of Rs. 50,000/- in each of these petitions, which shall be recovered personally from the tender issuing authority viz., the Managing Director of Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation. We further direct the Vigilance Department of the State to examine and look into the conduct of the Managing Director, and all other officers in the respondent corporation, who were responsible in issuing the patently illegal tenders in question. The Vigilance Report shall be placed before this Court in four months. If not placed, the Registry shall list the matters before Court for further orders.

VIPIN SANGHI, C.J.

RAKESH THAPLIYAL, J.

Dt: 26th OCTOBER, 2023
Parul

AJ
AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No.- UK - 612 / 2021

Chief Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand

ALMUNITAL

Sl. No. 54602

Dated.... 26/10/23



Rakesh

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)No(s). 25326-25328/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26-10-2023 in WPMB No. 200/2023 26-10-2023 in WPMB No. 235/2023 26-10-2023 in WPMB No. 252/2023 passed by the High Court Of Uttarakhand At Nainital)

UTTARAKHAND FOREST DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NANDAUR HALDWANI UJJWAL DHARAM
KANTA OWNERS SOCIETY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.234593/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.234594/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 21-11-2023 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. K M Natraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Punit Vinay, AOR
Mr. Mukesh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ishit Saharia, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

List the matters next week.

Learned counsel for the petitioner to serve the
copy on the other side.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
Nisha Khulbey
Date: 2023.11.21
16:32:58 IST
Reason: —

(NISHA KHULBEY)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT



(DIPTI KHURANA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Rajesh

ITEM NO.802

COURT NO.16

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).25326-25328/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26-10-2023 in WPMB No. 200/2023 26-10-2023 in WPMB No. 235/2023 26-10-2023 in WPMB No. 252/2023 passed by the High Court Of Uttarakhand At Nainital)

UTTARAKHAND FOREST DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NANDAUR HALDWANI UJJWAL DHARAM KANTA OWNERS SOCIETY &
ORS.Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.234593/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.234594/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 08-12-2023 These petitions were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Adv.(mentioned by)
Mr. Punit Vinay, AOR
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Kapoor, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ishit Saharia, AOR


UPON mentioning the Court made the following
O R D E R

List on 15.12.2023.

(INDU MARWAH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(MAMTA RAWAT)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
Indu Marwah
Date: 2023.12.08
17:14:59 IST
Reason: —



Rajesh

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.15

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).25326-25328/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26-10-2023 in WPMB No.200/2023, WPMB No.235/2023 and WPMB No.252/2023 passed by the High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital)

UTTARAKHAND FOREST DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NANDAUR HALDWANI UJJWAL
DHARAM KANTA OWNERS SOCIETY & ORS.

Respondent(s)


(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.234593/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.234594/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 15-12-2023 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. K M Natraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Punit Vinay, AOR
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Kapoor, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Jayant K Sud, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kartik Jasra, Adv.
Mr. Prannit Stefano, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Nagpal, Adv.
Ms. Sunanda Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Ishit Saharia, AOR


AAYUSH GAUR
Advocate
Reg. No. - UK - 612 / 2021
Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand
NAINITAL
Sl. No. 54602
Dated 26/12/23

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Issue notice, returnable on 09.01.2024
2. Mr. Ishit Saharia, learned counsel, appearing on caveat on behalf of respondent No.1 accepts notice.


In the meantime, he may file counter affidavit.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by RAVI ARORA
Date: 2023.12.16 13:55:42 IST
Reason: —

(RAVI ARORA)
COURT MASTER (SH)



(MAMTA RAWAT)
COURT MASTER (NSH)



भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई, उद्योग निदेशालय, उत्तराखण्ड,
भोपालपानी, रायपुर, देहरादून।

3554

संख्या / उपखनिज/भूखनि0ई0/मा0प्लान/2022-23,

दिनांक 08 दिसम्बर, 2022

कार्यालय ज्ञाप

शासनादेश संख्या 1057/VII-A-I/2022/11(ख)/2010, दिनांक 29 सितम्बर, 2022 के द्वारा जनपद नैनीताल की तहसील हल्द्वानी एवं लालकुआँ वन प्रभाग के क्षेत्रान्तर्गत गौला नदी, कुल क्षेत्रफल 1497 है0 नदी तल वन क्षेत्र में 10 वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उपखनिज रेता, बजरी एवं बोल्डर के चुगान हेतु शासनादेश संख्या 179/VII-2/11-ख/2010, दिनांक 24 जनवरी, 2013 के द्वारा पूर्व स्वीकृत खनन पट्टा की अवधि समाप्ति की तिथि दिनांक 23 जनवरी, 2023 से नवीन फॉरेस्ट क्लीयरेंस प्राप्त किये जाने की दशा में दिनांक 23 जनवरी, 2023 से 10 वर्ष की अवधि हेतु कतिपय शर्तों के अधीन खनन पट्टे का नवीनीकरण किया गया है तथा गौला नदी में स्वीकृत क्षेत्रफल 1497 है0 में से खनन योग्य उपलब्ध क्षेत्र 748.50 है0 है, से सम्बन्धित प्रस्तुत खनन योजना जोकि श्री भुवन जोशी, मु0ख0/आर0क्यू0पी0/डी0डी0एन0/01/2016 के द्वारा तैयार की गयी है, को वैज्ञानिक, तकनीकी एवं पर्यावरण सुरक्षा के दृष्टिकोण से खनन संक्रियाओं के सुनियोजित संचालन हेतु उपयुक्त पाये जाने के दृष्टिगत उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज परिहार नियमावली-2001 के नियम-34 एवं उत्तराखण्ड उपखनिज (बालू, बजरी, बोल्डर) चुगान नीति-2016 के विन्दु संख्या-22(2) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त अधिकार का प्रयोग करते हुए, प्रस्तुत खनन योजना का अनुमोदन निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन किया जाता है:-

शर्तें :-

1. खनन योजना का अनुमोदन शासनादेश संख्या 1057/VII-A-I/2022/11(ख)/2010, दिनांक 29 सितम्बर, 2022 द्वारा खनन पट्टे की नवीनीकृत अवधि दिनांक 23 जनवरी, 2023 से अग्रेत्तर 05 वर्ष की अवधि हेतु किया जा रहा है।
2. पट्टाधारक द्वारा पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार से प्राप्त पर्यावरणीय अनुमति संख्या J-11015/363/2009-IA.II (M), दिनांक 13 अप्रैल, 2011 एवं J-110105/363/2009-IA.II(M), दिनांक 01 मार्च, 2021 की समस्त शर्तों का अनुपालन किया जायेगा।
3. पट्टाधारक द्वारा पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार से प्राप्त वन अनापत्ति (Forest Clearance) F.No. 8-61/1999-FC, दिनांक 23 जनवरी, 2013 की अवधि की समाप्ति दिनांक 22 जनवरी, 2023 के उपरान्त वन, पर्यावरण एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार से वन अनापत्ति (Forest Clearance) प्राप्ति के उपरान्त ही खनन संक्रियायें सम्पादित की जायेगी।
4. पट्टाधारक शासनादेश संख्या 1057/VII-A-I/2022/11(ख)/2010, दिनांक 29 सितम्बर, 2022 की समस्त शर्तों का अनुपालन करेगा।
5. प्रस्तावित खनन क्षेत्र में खनन कार्य मैनुअल माइनिंग विधि से बिना ब्लारिस्टिंग के अनुमोदित खनन योजना के अनुसार किया जायेगा। खनन योजना के अनुसार वर्ष प्रथम से पंचम वर्ष की अवधि में प्रतिवर्ष 1,17,00,000 टन (117 लाख टन) उपखनिज का खनन/चुगान किया जायेगा।
6. प्रस्तावित खनन क्षेत्र में खनन/चुगान कार्य पर्यावरणीय अनुमति संख्या J--11015/363/2009-IA.II (M), दिनांक 13 अप्रैल, 2011 एवं J-110105/363/2009-IA.II(M), दिनांक 01 मार्च, 2021 में अनुमत अधिकतम गहराई 1.5 मी0 अथवा ग्राउन्ड वाटर लेबल जो भी कम हो तक, के अनुसार किया जायेगा।
7. पट्टाधारक द्वारा शासन की अधिसूचना संख्या 334/VII-A-1/2020/5(15)/19 दिनांक 04 मार्च 2020 के क्रम में यदि चुगान/खनन कार्य 3.0 मीटर अथवा ग्राउन्ड वाटर लेबल, जो भी कम हो तक, के अनुसार किये जाने का अनुरोध किया जाता, है तो उक्तानुसार पर्यावरणीय अनुमति में संशोधन कराया जाना होगा।
8. पट्टाधारक, अनुमोदित खनन योजना के अनुसार प्रत्येक खनन सत्र में किये गये खनन कार्य की Compliance Report निदेशालय को अनिवार्य रूप से प्रस्तुत करेगा।
9. पट्टाधारक द्वारा पर्यावरण एवं पारिस्थितिकी को दृष्टिगत रखते हुए खनन संक्रियायें वैज्ञानिक विधि से अनुमोदित खनन योजना के अनुसार खनन से सम्बन्धित विशेषज्ञ यथा खनन अभियन्ता/भूतज्ञानिक की देखरेख में कराया जायेगा।

Rajesh



10. पट्टाधारक द्वारा अनुमोदित खनन योजना का भू-सन्दर्भित खनन पट्टा प्लान्स अद्यारोपण उपरान्त भू-सन्दर्भित वैक्टोराइज्ड खसरा प्लान हार्ड एवं सॉफ्ट कॉपी में भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई के जिला कार्यालय एवं मुख्यालय, देहरादून में एक माह के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत की जायेगी।
11. यह खनन योजना अन्य किसी अधिनियम जो कि इस खान या क्षेत्र पर लागू होते हैं या समय-समय पर राज्य सरकार या केन्द्र सरकार या अन्य किसी सक्षम द्वारा प्रख्यापित किये जाते हैं, को छोड़ कर अनुमोदित की जायेगी।
12. यह खनन योजना वन (संरक्षण) अधिनियम-1980, वन संरक्षण नियमावली 1981 और अन्य सम्बन्धित अधिनियम और नियमावली, आदेश और दिशा निर्देश जो कि इस खनन पट्टे पर समय-समय पर दिये जाये लागू होंगे।
13. यह खनन योजना किसी भी प्रभावी क्षेत्रान्तर्गत माननीय न्यायालय के आदेश एवं दिशा निर्देश के लागू होने को बाधित नहीं करती है।
14. अनुमोदित अवधि में किये गये खनन कार्य के निरीक्षण के उपरान्त यदि खनन योजना में संशोधन हेतु आदेश दिये जाते हैं तब संशोधित खनन योजना प्रस्तुत करने का पूर्ण उत्तरदायित्व आवेदक का होगा।
15. किसी भी स्तर पर यदि यह पाया जाता है कि दस्तावेज में दी गई, उपलब्ध कराई गई सूचनाएं असत्य अथवा गलत ढंग से दर्शायी गई हैं तो दस्तावेज का अनुमोदन तत्काल प्रभाव से निरस्त कर दिया जाय।
16. आबद्ध/नियोजित श्रमिकों को सुरक्षात्मक उपकरण प्रदान करने तथा सुरक्षित खनन कार्य करने हेतु सभी आवश्यक सावधानियाँ बरतने का दायित्व पट्टाधारक का होगा।
17. अनुमोदित खनन योजना की एक-एक प्रमाणित प्रति सम्बन्धित जिलाधिकारी कार्यालय एवं जिला खान अधिकारी कार्यालय में अभिलेखार्थ यथाशीघ्र प्रस्तुत करने का दायित्व भी आवेदक का होगा।
18. अनुमोदित खनन योजना के अनुसार, आवेदक द्वारा खनन कार्य न किये जाने के, पाये जाने पर, पट्टाधारक के विरुद्ध पट्टे की शर्त का उल्लंघन माना जायेगा और तदनुसार कार्यवाही की जायेगी।
19. खनन योजना इस शर्त के साथ अनुमोदित की जा रही है कि पट्टाधारक श्रमिकों की सुरक्षा एवं स्वास्थ्य की उचित व्यवस्था करेगा।

संलग्नक:- खनन योजना की अनुमोदित प्रति।

09/12/2022
(एस0 एल0 पैट्रिक)
निदेशक

संख्या 3554 / उपखनिज / भू0खनि0ई0 / मा0प्लान / 2022-23, तददिनांकित

प्रतिलिपि:- निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

1. सचिव, खनन, उत्तराखण्ड शासन।
2. जिलाधिकारी, नैनीताल।
3. सदस्य सचिव, पर्यावरण प्रभाव निर्धारण प्राधिकरण (SEIAA), उत्तराखण्ड देहरादून।
4. अपर निदेशक/प्रभारी अधिकारी, भूतत्व एवं खनिकर्म इकाई, जनपद नैनीताल।
5. प्रबन्ध निदेशक, उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, जनपद देहरादून।

09/12/2022
(एस0 एल0 पैट्रिक)
निदेशक

AAYUSH GAUR

Advocate

Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021

Commissioner
of Uttarakhand

Sl. No. 54602

Dated. 26/12/23



Aayush

सेवा में,

श्रीमान महानिदेशक सूतत्वस्व खनिकर्म
इकाई उत्तराखण्ड

विषय : गौला नदी में नियमों के विरुद्ध बिना इलेक्ट्रिक तौल कांटों के उप खनिज की
निकासी के संदर्भ में।

महोदय,


सविनय निवेदन है कि जिला नैनीताल की हल्द्वानी-लालकुआं क्षेत्र से दिनांक
21-12- 2023 से शासन प्रशासन द्वारा नियमों के विरुद्ध गौला नदी के विभिन्न गेटों से बिना
इलेक्ट्रॉनिक कांटों के उप खनिज की निकासी की जा रही है जो कि केंद्र की नदी की खनन
गाइडलाइंस के नियमों के विरुद्ध है। बिना तौल कांटों की उप खनिज निकासी मैनुअल तरीके से
अवैध खनन एवं ओवरलोडिंग को बढ़ावा दे रही है जिससे क्षेत्र में अराजकता वह अशांति फैलने की
प्रबल संभावना है।

अतः उपरोक्त संदर्भ में आपसे अनुरोध किया जाता है कि गौला के विभिन्न निकासी गेटों पर
मैनुअल निकासी को प्रतिबंधित कर इलेक्ट्रिक तौल कांटे लगाने की कृपा करें।

दिनांक : 23.12.2023

भवदीय

पीयूष जोशी पुत्र श्री गिरीश चंद जोशी
निवासी : ग्राम दौलिया डी-क्लास,
हल्दूचौड़, तह. लालकुआं
जिला-नैनीताल (उत्तराखण्ड)



Rajesh

सेवा में,

श्रीमान जिला अधिकारी
नैनीताल जिला नैनीताल

विषय : गौला नदी में नियमों के विरुद्ध बिना इलेक्ट्रिक तौल कांटों के उप खनिज की निकासी के संदर्भ में।

महोदय,


सविनय निवेदन है कि जिला नैनीताल की हल्द्वानी-लालकुआं क्षेत्र से दिनांक 21-12- 2023 से शासन प्रशासन द्वारा नियमों के विरुद्ध गौला नदी के विभिन्न गेटों से बिना इलेक्ट्रॉनिक कांटों के उप खनिज की निकासी की जा रही है जो कि केंद्र की नदी की खनन गाइडलाइंस के नियमों के विरुद्ध है। बिना तौल कांटों की उप खनिज निकासी मैनुअल तरीके से अवैध खनन एवं ओवरलोडिंग को बढ़ावा दे रही है जिससे क्षेत्र में अराजकता वह अशांति फैलने की प्रबल संभावना है।

अतः उपरोक्त संदर्भ में आपसे अनुरोध किया जाता है कि गौला के विभिन्न निकासी गेटों पर मैनुअल निकासी को प्रतिबंधित कर इलेक्ट्रिक तौल काँटे लगाने की कृपा करें।

दिनांक : 23-12-2023

भवदीय

पीयूष जोशी पुत्र श्री गिरीश चंद जोशी
निवासी : ग्राम दौलिया डी-क्लास,
हल्द्वचौड़, तह. लालकुआं
जिला-नैनीताल (उत्तराखण्ड)



Ryush

सेवा में,

श्रीमान श्वन्न साधिव
 मूतव्व श्व श्वानिकर्षिकार्ड
 उत्तराखण्ड

विषय : गौला नदी में नियमों के विरुद्ध बिना इलेक्ट्रिक तौल कांटों के उप खनिज की निकासी के संदर्भ में।

महोदय,

सविनय निवेदन है कि जिला नैनीताल की हल्द्वानी-लालकुआं क्षेत्र से दिनांक 21-12- 2023 से शासन प्रशासन द्वारा नियमों के विरुद्ध गौला नदी के विभिन्न गेटों से बिना इलेक्ट्रॉनिक कांटों के उप खनिज की निकासी की जा रही है जो कि केंद्र की नदी की खनन गाइडलाइंस के नियमों के विरुद्ध है। बिना तौल कांटों की उप खनिज निकासी मैनुअल तरीके से अवैध खनन एवं ओवरलोडिंग को बढ़ावा दे रही है जिससे क्षेत्र में अराजकता वह अशांति फैलने की प्रबल संभावना है।

अतः उपरोक्त संदर्भ में आपसे अनुरोध किया जाता है कि गौला के विभिन्न निकासी गेटों पर मैनुअल निकासी को प्रतिबंधित कर इलेक्ट्रिक तौल काँटे लगाने की कृपा करें।

दिनांक : 23.12.2023

भवदीय

पीयूष जोशी पुत्र श्री गिरीश चंद जोशी
 निवासी : ग्राम दौलिया डी-क्लास,
 हल्दूचौड़, तह. लालकुआं
 जिला-नैनीताल (उत्तराखण्ड)



Ruyush

सेवा में,

श्रीमान
लोगीश प्रबन्धक खनन प्रभाग
हल्द्वानी जिला नैनीताल

विषय : गौला नदी में नियमों के विरुद्ध बिना इलेक्ट्रिक तौल कांटों के उप खनिज की निकासी के संदर्भ में।

महोदय,

सविनय निवेदन है कि जिला नैनीताल की हल्द्वानी-लालकुआं क्षेत्र से दिनांक 21-12-2023 से शासन प्रशासन द्वारा नियमों के विरुद्ध गौला नदी के विभिन्न गेटों से बिना इलेक्ट्रॉनिक कांटों के उप खनिज की निकासी की जा रही है जो कि केंद्र की नदी की खनन गाइडलाइंस के नियमों के विरुद्ध है। बिना तौल कांटों की उप खनिज निकासी मैनुअल तरीके से अवैध खनन एवं ओवरलोडिंग को बढ़ावा दे रही है जिससे क्षेत्र में अराजकता वह अशांति फैलने की प्रबल संभावना है।

अतः उपरोक्त संदर्भ में आपसे अनुरोध किया जाता है कि गौला के विभिन्न निकासी गेटों पर मैनुअल निकासी को प्रतिबंधित कर इलेक्ट्रिक तौल काँटे लगाने की कृपा करें।

दिनांक : 23-12-2023

भवदीय

पीयूष जोशी पुत्र श्री गिरीश चंद जोशी
निवासी : ग्राम दौलिया डी-क्लास,
हल्दूचौड़, तह. लालकुआं
जिला-नैनीताल (उत्तराखण्ड)



AYUSH GAU
Advocate
Reg. No. UK - 612 / 2021
Commissioner
High Court of Uttarakhand
NAINITAL
SI. No. 54602
Dated. 26/12/23

Piyush

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL**

STAY APPLICATION NO. OF 2023

IN

WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. OF 2023
(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

DISTRICT – NAINITAL


Piyush Joshi (Male) aged about 25 years S/o Sri Girish Chandra Joshi R/o Halduchaur Dauliya, D Class, Lalkuan, District Nainital.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

1. State of Uttarakhand through its Secretary, Industrial Development Department, Mining, Government of Uttarakhand Dehradun.
2. Director, Geology and Mining, Geology and Mining Unit, Uttarakhand, Bhopalpani Dehradun.
3. Managing Director, Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, Dehradun.
4. Regional Manager, Kumaun Area, Uttarakhand Forest Development Corporation, Dehradun.
5. District Magistrate Nainital/Chairperson District Anti-Illegal Mining Force Nainital.
6. District Mining Officer, Nainital.
7. Regional Transport Officer, Haldwani.
8. Union of India through Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, New Delhi through its Secretary.

.....Respondents



Piyush

To,

The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and his other companion Judges of the aforesaid Court.

The humble application of the above named applicant/petitioner most respectfully showeth as under:-

1. That the full facts and circumstances have been stated in the accompanying writ petition, which forms the part of this application.
2. That for the facts, reasons and circumstances stated in the accompanying writ petition supported by an affidavit, it is expedient and necessary in the interest of justice that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to stay the effect and operation of the impugned official communication dated 18.12.2023, issued by Respondent no. 1; the official communication dated 22.12.2023 issued by Respondent No. 6; and the official communication dated 25.12.2023 issued by office of Respondent No. 1, and may further pleased to direct the respondents to refrain from permitting any transportation of RBM from the mining sites of Respondent No. 3 in District Nainital before installation of electronic weighbridges and other modern weight monitoring apparatus for mined RBM laden vehicles, during the pendency of the present writ petition, otherwise public at large shall suffer an irreparable loss and injury.



Prakash

PRAYER

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to stay the effect and operation of the impugned official communication dated 18.12.2023, issued by Respondent no. 1; the official communication dated 22.12.2023 issued by Respondent No. 6; and the official communication dated 25.12.2023 issued by office of Respondent No. 1, and may further pleased to direct the respondents to refrain from permitting any transportation of RBM from the mining sites of Respondent No. 3 in District Nainital before installation of electronic weighbridges and other modern weight monitoring apparatus for mined RBM laden vehicles, during the pendency of the present writ petition, otherwise public at large shall suffer an irreparable loss and injury.


Dated /12/2023

Dushyant Mainali

Nikhil Bhatt

Advocate

Counsel for the Petitioner



Rijus

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL**

AFFIDAVIT

IN

STAY APPLICATION NO. OF 2023

IN

WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. OF 2023

(Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

DISTRICT - NAINITAL

Piyush Joshi (Male) aged about 25 years

.....Petitioner

VERSUS



State of Uttarakhand and others

.....Respondents

Affidavit of Piyush Joshi (Male)
aged about 25 years S/o Sri
Girish Chandra Joshi R/o
Halduchaur Dauliya, D Class,
Lalkuan, District Nainital.

Deponent


2023
AFFIDAVIT
54603
HIGH COURT OF
UTTARAKHAND
₹ 20/-



26/12/23
I sign / RTI on TV
photograph in my presence

I, the deponent above named do hereby solemnly affirm
and declare as under:-

1. That the deponent is the sole petitioner in the above noted writ petition (PIL) as well as in the aforesaid stay application and as such he is well acquainted with the facts of the case deposed in the accompanying writ petition.



Piyush Joshi

I, the deponent above named do hereby solemnly affirm on oath and verify that the contents of paragraph no. 1, 2, ... of the stay application and the contents of paragraph no. ... 1, of the affidavit are based on my personal knowledge and those of paragraph no. of the affidavit are based on perusal of records and those of paragraph no. of the affidavit are based on legal advice, which I believe to be true and no part of this writ petition is false and nothing material has been concealed.

So Help Me God

Piyush
Deponent

I, Dushyant Mainali, Advocate, do hereby identify Piyush Joshi, the deponent from his Aadhaar Card No. 726861094779 which he produced before me and I am satisfied that he is the same person making this affidavit.

Advocate
Reg.No.UA-228/2005
Bar Reg.No.D-517

Solemnly affirmed before me on this ... ^{26th} day of December, 2023 at about 10:43 a.m./p.m. by the deponent, who has been identified by aforesaid identifier.

I have satisfied myself by examining the deponent that the deponent has understood the contents of this affidavit, which has been read over and explained to him by me.



AJ
AAYUSH GAUR
(OATH COMMISSIONER)

Reg. No. - UK - 612 / 2021

Oath Commissioner

High Court of Uttarakhand
ALM-NAINITAL

Sl. No. ... *54603* ...

Dated ... *26/12/23* ...

Piyush